

# Notes taken during class on day 2 (raw and unpolished)

## 1 The Becker-Shapley-Shubik model / discrete optimal transport

If employee  $x$  matches with college  $y$ , then

job amenity  $\alpha_{xy}$  – GIVEN

production  $\gamma_{xy}$  – GIVEN

Assume that there are  $n_x$  employees of type  $x$ , and  $m_y$  colleges of type  $y$ .

Total output generated by an  $xy$  pair

$$\Phi_{xy} = \alpha_{xy} + \gamma_{xy}$$

Introduce wages  $w_{xy}$  paid by  $y$  to  $x$  – ENDOGENOUS; DETERMINED AT EQUILIBRIUM.

After transfer,

$x$  gets indirect utility

$$u_x = \max_y \{ \alpha_{xy} + w_{xy}, 0 \}$$

and  $y$  gets indirect utility  $v_y$

$$v_y = \max_x \{ \gamma_{xy} - w_{xy}, 0 \}$$

If unmatched, then  $x$  and  $y$  get 0.

We are looking for an equilibrium / stable matching  $\mu_{xy}$  = number of  $xy$  pairs.

Constraints are given by the populations:

$$\sum_y \mu_{xy} \leq n_x$$

$$\sum_x \mu_{xy} \leq m_y$$

Conditions for  $\mu_{xy}$  to be an equilibrium matching???

$$\mu_{xy} > 0 \implies \begin{cases} y \in \arg \max_y \{ \alpha_{xy} + w_{xy} \} \\ x \in \arg \max_x \{ \gamma_{xy} - w_{xy} \} \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_{xy} > 0 &\implies \begin{cases} u_x = \alpha_{xy} + w_{xy} \\ v_y = \gamma_{xy} - w_{xy} \end{cases} \\ &\implies u_x + v_y = \alpha_{xy} + \gamma_{xy} = \Phi_{xy} \end{aligned}$$

For any  $x$  and any  $y$  we have  $u_x + v_y \geq \Phi_{xy}$ .

$$u_x \geq 0$$

$$v_y \geq 0$$

To recap,  $(\mu, u, v)$  is an equilibrium matching iff

(1)  $\mu$  satisfies the populations constraints

$$\sum_y \mu_{xy} \leq n_x$$

$$\sum_x \mu_{xy} \leq m_y$$

(2) pairwise stability:

For any  $x$  and any  $y$  we have  $u_x + v_y \geq \Phi_{xy}$ .

$$u_x \geq 0$$

$$v_y \geq 0$$

$$(3) \mu_{xy} > 0 \implies u_x + v_y = \Phi_{xy}$$

$$\sum_y \mu_{xy} < n_x \implies u_x = 0$$

$$\sum_x \mu_{xy} < m_y \implies v_y = 0$$

These are optimality conditions associated with the linear programming problem whose primal formulation is

$$\begin{aligned} \max_{\mu \geq 0} \quad & \sum_{xy} \mu_{xy} \Phi_{xy} \\ & \sum_y \mu_{xy} \leq n_x \quad [u_x \geq 0] \\ & \sum_x \mu_{xy} \leq m_y \quad [v_y \geq 0] \end{aligned}$$

and dual formulation

$$\begin{aligned} \min_{u \geq 0, v \geq 0} \quad & \sum n_x u_x + \sum m_y v_y \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & u_x + v_y \geq \Phi_{xy} \quad [\mu_{xy} \geq 0] \end{aligned}$$

$$\mu_{xy} > 0 \implies u_x + v_y = \Phi_{xy}$$

$$\sum_y \mu_{xy} < n_x \implies u_x = 0 \quad [u_x > 0 \implies \sum_y \mu_{xy} = n_x]$$

$$\sum_x \mu_{xy} < m_y \implies v_y = 0$$

## 1.1 Recovering the wages

For any  $x$  and  $y$ ,

$$u_x \geq \alpha_{xy} + w_{xy}$$

$$v_y \geq \gamma_{xy} - w_{xy}$$

this implies

$$u_x - \alpha_{xy} \geq w_{xy} \geq \gamma_{xy} - v_y$$

## 2 The Choo-Siow model / regularized optimal transport

Choo Siow JPE (2006)

Galichon Salanie (2020)

### 2.1 Smooth-max

Replace the max

$$\max_y \{a_y\}$$

By the smooth-max

$$T > 0$$

$$T \log \sum_y \exp(a_y/T) = \max_y \{a_y\} + T \log \sum_y \exp\left(\frac{a_y - \max_y \{a_y\}}{T}\right)$$

$$0 \leq T \log \sum_{y \in Y} \exp\left(\frac{a_y - \max_y \{a_y\}}{T}\right) \leq T \log |Y|$$

### 2.2 Smooth version of the above model

$$u_x = T \log \left(1 + \sum_y \exp\left(\frac{\alpha_{xy} + w_{xy}}{T}\right)\right) = E[\max_y \{\alpha_{xy} + w_{xy} + T\varepsilon_y, T\varepsilon_0\}]$$

$$v_y = T \log \left(1 + \sum_x \exp\left(\frac{\gamma_{xy} - w_{xy}}{T}\right)\right)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Pr\left(y \in \arg \max_y \{\alpha_{xy} + w_{xy} + T\varepsilon_y, T\varepsilon_0\}\right) &= \frac{\exp\left(\frac{\alpha_{xy} + w_{xy}}{T}\right)}{1 + \sum_y \exp\left(\frac{\alpha_{xy} + w_{xy}}{T}\right)} \\ &= \exp\left(\frac{\alpha_{xy} + w_{xy} - u_x}{T}\right) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \Pr\left(0 \in \arg \max_y \{\alpha_{xy} + w_{xy} + T\varepsilon_y, T\varepsilon_0\}\right) &= \frac{1}{1 + \sum_y \exp\left(\frac{\alpha_{xy} + w_{xy}}{T}\right)} \\ &= \exp(-u_x/T) \end{aligned}$$

But  $\Pr(y \in \arg \max_y \{\alpha_{xy} + w_{xy} + T\varepsilon_y, T\varepsilon_0\}) = \mu_{xy}/n_x$ , thus

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_{xy} &= \exp\left(\frac{\alpha_{xy} + w_{xy} - u_x + T \ln n_x}{T}\right) \\ \mu_{x0} &= \exp(-u_x + T \ln n_x) \end{aligned}$$

similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_{xy} &= \exp\left(\frac{\gamma_{xy} - w_{xy} - v_y + T \ln m_y}{T}\right) \\ \mu_{0y} &= \exp(-v_y + T \ln m_y) \end{aligned}$$

Introduce

$$\begin{aligned} a_x &= u_x - T \ln n_x \\ b_y &= v_y - T \ln m_y \end{aligned}$$

so that we have

$$\mu_{xy} = \exp \left( \frac{\alpha_{xy} + w_{xy} - a_x}{T} \right)$$

similarly,

$$\mu_{xy} = \exp \left( \frac{\gamma_{xy} - w_{xy} - b_y}{T} \right)$$

Multiplying the previous two equations pairwise, we get

$$\mu_{xy}^2 = \exp \left( \frac{\Phi_{xy} - a_x - b_y}{T} \right)$$

where it is recalled that  $\Phi_{xy} = \alpha_{xy} + \gamma_{xy}$  is the total output. Similarly,

$$\mu_{x0} = \exp \left( -\frac{a_x}{T} \right) \text{ and } \mu_{0y} = \exp \left( -\frac{b_y}{T} \right)$$

To summerize, we arrive at Choo and Siow's formula

$$\mu_{xy} = \sqrt{\mu_{x0}\mu_{0y}} \exp \left( \frac{\Phi_{xy}}{2T} \right).$$

Recall the population equations:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_y \mu_{xy} + \mu_{x0} &= n_x \\ \sum_x \mu_{xy} + \mu_{0y} &= m_y \end{aligned}$$

Plug in Choo-Siow's formula into the population equations

$$\begin{cases} \sum_y \sqrt{\mu_{x0}\mu_{0y}} \exp \left( \frac{\Phi_{xy}}{2T} \right) + \mu_{x0} = n_x \\ \sum_x \sqrt{\mu_{x0}\mu_{0y}} \exp \left( \frac{\Phi_{xy}}{2T} \right) + \mu_{0y} = m_y \end{cases}$$

### 3 Computation issues

Given  $\alpha_{xy}$  and  $\gamma_{xy}$ , compute  $w_{xy}$  and  $\mu_{xy}$ .

We will see 2 directions to solve this system

- the “optimization way” – see this system as foc of a convex optimization problem

$$\min_{a,b} F(a,b)$$

where  $F$  is convex

- the “gross substitute way” – see this system as an equilibrium problem with gross substitutes

$$e(p) = q$$

where  $e$  has the GS property – and hence Coordinate Update algorithms (such as Gauss-Seidel or Jacobi) can be used.

### 3.1 Convex optimization formulation

Recall the system to be solved

$$\begin{aligned}\mu_{xy} &= \exp\left(\frac{\Phi_{xy} - a_x - b_y}{2T}\right) \\ \mu_{x0} &= \exp\left(-\frac{a_x}{T}\right) \text{ and } \mu_{0y} = \exp\left(-\frac{b_y}{T}\right)\end{aligned}$$

we have

$$\begin{cases} -\sum_y \exp\left(\frac{\Phi_{xy} - a_x - b_y}{2T}\right) - \exp\left(-\frac{a_x}{T}\right) + n_x = 0 \\ -\sum_x \exp\left(\frac{\Phi_{xy} - a_x - b_y}{2T}\right) - \exp\left(-\frac{b_y}{T}\right) + m_y = 0 \end{cases}$$

I want to interpret as

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial F(a, b)}{\partial a_x} &= 0 \\ \frac{\partial F(a, b)}{\partial b_y} &= 0\end{aligned}$$

Exercise. What is  $F$  such that

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial F(a, b)}{\partial a_x} &= n_x - \sum_y \exp\left(\frac{\Phi_{xy} - a_x - b_y}{2T}\right) - \exp\left(-\frac{a_x}{T}\right) \\ \frac{\partial F(a, b)}{\partial b_y} &= m_y - \sum_x \exp\left(\frac{\Phi_{xy} - a_x - b_y}{2T}\right) - \exp\left(-\frac{b_y}{T}\right)\end{aligned}$$

it is

$$\begin{aligned}F(a, b) &= \sum_x n_x a_x + \sum_y m_y b_y \\ &\quad + 2T \sum_{x,y} \exp\left(\frac{\Phi_{xy} - a_x - b_y}{2T}\right) \\ &\quad + T \sum_x \exp\left(-\frac{a_x}{T}\right) + T \sum_y \exp\left(-\frac{b_y}{T}\right)\end{aligned}$$

when  $T \rightarrow 0$ ?

the smooth penalization  $2T \sum_{x,y} \exp\left(\frac{\Phi_{xy} - a_x - b_y}{2T}\right)$

becomes a hard penalization that is equal to zero if  $\Phi_{xy} - a_x - b_y \leq 0$  and  $+\infty$  else

therefore enforcing the constraint  $a_x + b_y \geq \Phi_{xy}$ .

Similarly, the smooth penalization  $T \sum_x \exp\left(-\frac{a_x}{T}\right)$  becomes  $a_x \geq 0$

Therefore the problem becomes

$$\begin{aligned}\min & \sum_x n_x a_x + \sum_y m_y b_y \\ \text{s.t.} & a_x + b_y \geq \Phi_{xy}, a_x \geq 0, b_y \geq 0\end{aligned}$$

### 3.2 Equilibrium with gross substitutes formulation

How can we reformulate the system of equations in  $(a, b)$

$$\begin{cases} -\sum_y \exp\left(\frac{\Phi_{xy}-a_x-b_y}{2T}\right) - \exp\left(-\frac{a_x}{T}\right) + n_x = 0 \\ -\sum_x \exp\left(\frac{\Phi_{xy}-a_x-b_y}{2T}\right) - \exp\left(-\frac{b_y}{T}\right) + m_y = 0 \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{cases} -\sum_y \exp\left(\frac{\Phi_{xy}-a_x-b_y}{2T}\right) - \exp\left(-\frac{a_x}{T}\right) = -n_x \\ \sum_x \exp\left(\frac{\Phi_{xy}-a_x-b_y}{2T}\right) + \exp\left(-\frac{b_y}{T}\right) = m_y \end{cases}$$

as

$$e_z(p) = q_z$$

where  $e_z(p)$  is increasing in  $p_z$  and weakly decreasing in  $p_{z'}$ , for  $z' \neq z$ ?

$$Z = X \cup Y$$

$$p_x = a_x \text{ for } x \in X$$

$$e_x(p) = -\sum_y \exp\left(\frac{\Phi_{xy}-a_x-b_y}{2T}\right) - \exp\left(-\frac{a_x}{T}\right)$$

$$q_x = -n_x$$

$$q_y = m_y$$

### 3.3 Initialization

Let's initialize  $p_x^0 = -T \ln n_x$  and  $p_y^0 = -\infty$ .

Then update  $p_y^1$  so that  $e_y((p_x^0)_x, p_y^1) = q_y (= m_y)$ .

we solve  $\sum \exp(\Phi_{xy} - p_x^0 + p_y^1) + \exp(p_y^1) = m_y$

Because the value of  $p_y^1$  is finite,

we have

$$p_y^0 = -\infty \leq p_y^1$$

Then update  $p_x^1$  so that  $e_x(p_x^1, (p_y^1)_y) = q_x (= -n_x)$ . We have

$$\sum_y \exp(\Phi_{xy} - p_x^1 + p_y^1) + \exp\left(-\frac{p_x^1}{T}\right) = n_x \geq \exp\left(-\frac{p_x^1}{T}\right)$$

$$\text{thus } \exp\left(-\frac{p_x^0}{T}\right) = n_x \geq \exp\left(-\frac{p_x^1}{T}\right)$$

thus

$$p_x^0 \leq p_x^1$$

We have  $m_y \geq \exp(p_y/T)$ , thus  $p_y \leq T \ln m_y$ .

$$p_y = -b_y \text{ for } y \in Y$$

$$\begin{aligned}
e_x(p) &= -\sum_y \exp\left(\frac{\Phi_{xy} - p_x + p_y}{2T}\right) - \exp\left(-\frac{p_x}{T}\right) \\
e_y(p) &= \sum_x \exp\left(\frac{\Phi_{xy} - p_x + p_y}{2T}\right) + \exp\left(\frac{p_y}{T}\right)
\end{aligned}$$

We have

$$De = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial e_x}{\partial p_x} & \frac{\partial e_x}{\partial p_y} \\ \left(\frac{\partial e_x}{\partial p_x}\right)^\top & \frac{\partial e_y}{\partial p_y} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \text{diag}\left(\frac{1}{2T} \exp\left(\frac{\Phi_{xy} - p_x + p_y}{2T}\right) + \frac{1}{T} \exp\left(-\frac{p_x}{T}\right)\right) & \\ & -\frac{1}{2T} \exp\left(\frac{\Phi_{xy} - p_x + p_y}{2T}\right) \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{diag}\left(\frac{1}{2T} \exp\left(\frac{\Phi_{xy} - p_x + p_y}{2T}\right) + \frac{1}{T} \exp\left(\frac{p_y}{T}\right)\right)$$

Let's run the Gauss-Seidel algorithm

- Solve for  $a_x$  in the first set of equations

$$-\sum_y \exp\left(\frac{\Phi_{xy} - a_x - b_y}{2T}\right) - \exp\left(-\frac{a_x}{T}\right) + n_x = 0$$

- Solve for  $b_y$  in the second set of equations

$$\sum_x \exp\left(\frac{\Phi_{xy} - a_x - b_y}{2T}\right) + \exp\left(-\frac{b_y}{T}\right) = m_y$$

Introduce new unknowns  $A_x = \exp\left(-\frac{a_x}{2T}\right)$  and  $B_y = \exp\left(-\frac{b_y}{2T}\right)$  and  $K_{xy} = \exp\left(\frac{\Phi_{xy}}{2T}\right)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_y K_{xy} A_x B_y + A_x^2 &= n_x \\
\sum_x K_{xy} A_x B_y + B_y^2 &= m_y
\end{aligned}$$

thus

$$A_x^2 + 2A_x \left(\frac{1}{2} \sum_y K_{xy} B_y\right) + \left(\frac{1}{2} \sum_y K_{xy} B_y\right)^2 = n_x + \left(\frac{1}{2} \sum_y K_{xy} B_y\right)^2$$

thus

$$\begin{aligned}
A_x &= \sqrt{n_x + \left(\frac{1}{2} \sum_y K_{xy} B_y\right)^2} - \frac{1}{2} \sum_y K_{xy} B_y \\
B_y &= \sqrt{m_y + \left(\frac{1}{2} \sum_x K_{xy} A_x\right)^2} - \frac{1}{2} \sum_x K_{xy} A_x
\end{aligned}$$

## 4 Identification issues

Given  $\mu_{xy}$  and possibly  $w_{xy}$ , can we compute  $\alpha_{xy}$  and  $\gamma_{xy}$ .  
Recall Choo and Siow's formula

$$\mu_{xy} = \sqrt{\mu_{x0}\mu_{0y}} \exp\left(\frac{\Phi_{xy}}{2T}\right).$$

Scale  $T = 1$ , and we get

$$\alpha_{xy} + \gamma_{xy} = \Phi_{xy} = \ln \frac{\mu_{xy}^2}{\mu_{x0}\mu_{0y}}.$$

Assume  $\mu_{xy}$  is observed, then one can identify  $\alpha + \gamma$  only.

Now assume  $\mu_{xy}$  and  $w_{xy}$  are observed, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha_{xy} &= \ln \frac{\mu_{xy}}{\mu_{x0}} - w_{xy} \\ \gamma_{xy} &= w_{xy} + \ln \frac{\mu_{xy}}{\mu_{0y}}\end{aligned}$$

## 5 Taxes

Assume “flat tax” ie  
 $x$  gets indirect utility

$$u_x = \max_y \{\alpha_{xy} + (1 - \tau) w_{xy}, 0\}$$

and  $y$  gets indirect utility  $v_y$

$$v_y = \max_x \{\gamma_{xy} - w_{xy}, 0\}$$

Equilibrium:

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_y \mu_{xy} + \mu_{x0} &= n_x \\ \sum_x \mu_{xy} + \mu_{0y} &= m_y \\ u_x &\geq 0, v_y \geq 0 \\ u_x &\geq \alpha_{xy} + (1 - \tau) w_{xy} \text{ with equality if } \mu_{xy} > 0 \\ v_y &\geq \gamma_{xy} - w_{xy} \text{ with equality if } \mu_{xy} > 0.\end{aligned}$$

Rewrite as:

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_y \mu_{xy} + \mu_{x0} &= n_x \\ \sum_x \mu_{xy} + \mu_{0y} &= m_y \\ u_x &\geq 0, v_y \geq 0 \\ u_x &\geq \alpha_{xy} + (1 - \tau) w_{xy} \text{ with equality if } \mu_{xy} > 0 \\ (1 - \tau) v_y &\geq (1 - \tau) \gamma_{xy} - (1 - \tau) w_{xy} \text{ with equality if } \mu_{xy} > 0.\end{aligned}$$



Denote  $\tilde{v}_y = (1 - \tau) v_y$  and  $\tilde{\gamma}_{xy} = (1 - \tau) \gamma_{xy}$  and  $\tilde{w}_{xy} = (1 - \tau) w_{xy}$  the indirect utility of the firm and the output measured in post-tax dollars, then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_y \mu_{xy} + \mu_{x0} &= n_x \\
\sum_x \mu_{xy} + \mu_{0y} &= m_y \\
u_x &\geq 0, v_y \geq 0 \\
u_x &\geq \alpha_{xy} + \tilde{w}_{xy} \text{ with equality if } \mu_{xy} > 0 \\
\tilde{v}_y &\geq \tilde{\gamma}_{xy} - \tilde{w}_{xy} \text{ with equality if } \mu_{xy} > 0. \\
\text{THEREFORE} \\
\sum_y \mu_{xy} + \mu_{x0} &= n_x \\
\sum_x \mu_{xy} + \mu_{0y} &= m_y \\
u_x &\geq 0, v_y \geq 0 \\
u_x + \tilde{v}_y &\geq \alpha_{xy} + \tilde{\gamma}_{xy} \text{ with equality if } \mu_{xy} > 0
\end{aligned}$$

Thus  $\mu_{xy}$  and  $(u_x, \tilde{v}_y)$  are solution to

$$\begin{aligned}
&\max \sum_{xy} \mu_{xy} (\alpha_{xy} + (1 - \tau) \gamma_{xy}) \\
s.t. \quad &\sum_y \mu_{xy} \leq n_x \\
&\sum_x \mu_{xy} \leq m_y
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
&\min \sum_x n_x u_x + \sum_y m_y \tilde{v}_y \\
s.t. \quad &u_x + \tilde{v}_y \geq \alpha_{xy} + \tilde{\gamma}_{xy} \\
&u_x \geq 0, \tilde{v}_y \geq 0
\end{aligned}$$

## 5.1 Embedding in a Choo-Siow model

$$W(\theta, \lambda) = \min \sum \mu (\alpha\theta + \gamma\lambda) - (T_1\theta + T_2\lambda) \sum \mu \ln \mu$$

thus

$$\frac{\partial W}{\partial \lambda} = \sum \mu \gamma - T_2 \sum \mu \ln \mu = \Gamma$$

therefore  $\frac{\partial \Gamma}{\partial \lambda} \geq 0$

Similarly,  $W = \theta A + \lambda \Gamma$  and  $\Gamma = \theta \frac{\partial A}{\partial \lambda} + \Gamma + \lambda \frac{\partial \Gamma}{\partial \lambda}$

hence  $\frac{\partial \Gamma}{\partial \lambda} = -\frac{\theta}{\lambda} \frac{\partial A}{\partial \lambda}$

so with  $\theta = 1$ , one has

$$\frac{\partial A}{\partial \lambda} = -\lambda \frac{\partial \Gamma}{\partial \lambda} \leq 0$$

and

$$\frac{\partial(A + \Gamma)}{\partial \lambda} = (1 - \lambda) \frac{\partial \Gamma}{\partial \lambda}$$