

# 'MATH+ECON+CODE' MASTERCLASS ON MATCHING MODELS, OPTIMAL TRANSPORT AND APPLICATIONS

Alfred Galichon (New York University)

Thursday: "Multinomial choice"  
Block 10. Nonparametric multinomial choice

- ▶ Emax operator and generalized entropy of choice
- ▶ The Daly-Zachary-Williams theorem
- ▶ The GEV class

- ▶ [OTME], App. E
- ▶ McFadden (1981). “Econometric Models of Probabilistic Choice,” in C.F. Manski and D. McFadden (eds.), *Structural analysis of discrete data with econometric applications*, MIT Press.
- ▶ McFadden (1989). “A Method of Simulated Moments for Estimation of Discrete Response Models Without Numerical Integration”. *Econometrica*.
- ▶ Berry, Levinsohn, and Pakes (1995). “Automobile Prices in Market Equilibrium,” *Econometrica*.
- ▶ Train. (2009). *Discrete Choice Methods with Simulation*. 2nd Edition. Cambridge University Press.
- ▶ G and Salanié (2017). “Cupid’s invisible hands”. Preprint.
- ▶ Chiong, G and Shum, “Duality in Discrete Choice Models”. *Quantitative Economics*, 2016.
- ▶ Greene and Hensher (1997) Multinomial logit and discrete choice models.

# Section 1

## EMAX OPERATORS AND DEMAND MAPS

- ▶ Assume a consumer is facing a number of options  $y \in \mathcal{Y}_0 = \mathcal{Y} \cup \{0\}$ , where  $y = 0$  is a default option. The consumer is drawing a utility shock which is a vector  $\varepsilon = (\varepsilon_0, \dots, \varepsilon_{|\mathcal{Y}|}) \sim \mathbf{P}$  such that the utility of option  $y$  is  $U_y + \varepsilon_y$ , while the outside option yields utility  $\varepsilon_0$ .
- ▶  $U$  is called vector of *systematic utilities*;  $\varepsilon$  is called vector of *utility shocks*.
- ▶ We assume throughout that  $\mathbf{P}$  has a density with respect to the Lebesgue measure, and has full support.
- ▶ The preferred option is the one which attains the maximum in

$$\max_{y \in \mathcal{Y}} \{U_y + \varepsilon_y, \varepsilon_0\}.$$

- Let  $s_y = \sigma_y(U)$  be the probability of choosing option  $y$ , where  $\sigma$  is given by

$$\sigma_y(U) = \Pr(U_y + \varepsilon_y \geq U_z + \varepsilon_z \text{ for all } z \in \mathcal{Y}_0).$$

The map  $\sigma$  is called *demand map*, and the vector  $s$  is called vector of market shares, or vector of choice probabilities.

- Note that if  $s = \sigma(U)$ , then  $s_y > 0$  for all  $y \in \mathcal{Y}_0$  and  $\sum_{y \in \mathcal{Y}_0} s_y = 1$ .
- Note that because the distribution  $\mathbf{P}$  of  $\varepsilon$  is continuous, the probability of being indifferent between two options is zero, and hence we could have indifferently replaced weak preference  $\geq$  by strict preference  $>$ . Without this, choice probabilities may not have been well defined.

- ▶  $\sigma_y(U)$  is increasing in  $U_y$ .
- ▶  $\sigma_y(U)$  is weakly decreasing in  $U_{y'}$  for  $y' \neq y$ .
- ▶ If one replaces  $(U_y)$  by  $(U_y + c)$ , for a constant  $c$ , one has  $\sigma(U + c) = \sigma(U)$ .

- Because of the last property, we can normalize the utility of one of the alternatives. We will normalize the utility of the utility associated to  $y = 0$ , and hence take

$$U_0 = 0.$$

- Thus in the sequel,  $\sigma$  will be seen as a mapping from  $\mathbb{R}^{\mathcal{Y}}$  to the set of  $(s_y)_{y \in \mathcal{Y}}$  such that  $s_y > 0$  and  $\sum_{y \in \mathcal{Y}} s_y < 1$ , and the choice probability of alternative  $y = 0$  is recovered by

$$s_0 = 1 - \sum_{y \in \mathcal{Y}} s_y.$$



- Define the expected indirect utility of consumers by

$$G(U) = \mathbb{E} \left[ \max_{y \in \mathcal{Y}} (U_y + \varepsilon_y, \varepsilon_0) \right]$$

This is called *Emax operator*, a.k.a. *McFadden's surplus function*.

- As the expectation of the maximum of terms which are linear in  $U$ ,  $G$  is convex function in  $U$  (strictly convex in fact), and

$$\frac{\partial G}{\partial U_y}(U) = \Pr(U_y + \varepsilon_y \geq U_z + \varepsilon_z \text{ for all } z \in \mathcal{Y}_0).$$

But the right-hand side is simply the probability  $s_y$  of choosing option  $y$ ; therefore, we get:

**Theorem (Daly-Zachary-Williams).** *The demand map  $\sigma$  is the gradient of the Emax operator  $G$ , that is*

$$\sigma(U) = \nabla G(U). \quad (1)$$

## Section 2

# EXAMPLES

- Assume that  $\mathbf{P}$  is the distribution of i.i.d. *centered type I extreme value* a.k.a. *centered Gumbel* terms, which has c.d.f.

$$F(z) = \exp(-\exp(-x + \gamma))$$

where  $\gamma = 0.5772\dots$  (Euler's constant). The mean of this distribution is zero.

- Basic fact from extreme value theory: if  $\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n$  are i.i.d. Gumbel distributions, then  $\max\{U_y + \varepsilon_y\}$  has the same distribution as  $\log\left(\sum_{y=1}^n \exp U_y\right) + \epsilon$ , where  $\epsilon$  is also a Gumbel. (Proof of this fact later).
- Notes:
  - This distribution is sometimes called the “Gumbel max” distribution, to contrast it with the distribution of its opposite, which is then called “Gumbel min”.
  - The literature usually calls “standard Gumbel” the distribution with c.d.f.  $\exp(-\exp(-x))$ ; but that distribution has mean  $\gamma$ , which is why we slightly depart from the convention.

## EXAMPLE 1: LOGIT, EMAX FUNCTION AND DEMAND MAP

- The Emax operator associated with the logit model can be given in closed form as

$$G(U) = \log \left( 1 + \sum_{y \in \mathcal{Y}} \exp(U_y) \right)$$

where  $s_0 = 1 - \sum_{y \in \mathcal{Y}} s_y$ . This is called a *log-partition function*.

- As a result, the choice probability of alternative  $y$  is proportional to the exponential of the systematic utility associated with  $U$ , that is

$$\sigma_y(U) = \frac{\exp U_y}{1 + \sum_{y' \in \mathcal{Y}} \exp(U_{y'})}$$

which is called a *Gibbs distribution*.

- Assume that the random utility shock is scaled by a factor  $T$ . Then

$$\sigma_y(U) = \frac{\exp(U_y / T)}{1 + \sum_{y' \in \mathcal{Y}} \exp(U_{y'} / T)}$$

which is sometimes called the *soft-max operator*, and converges as  $T \rightarrow 0$  toward

$$\max_{y \in \mathcal{Y}} \{U_y, 0\}.$$

## EXAMPLE 2: THE GENERALIZED EXTREME VALUE (GEV) CLASS

Let  $\mathbf{F}$  be a cumulative distribution such that function  $g$  defined by

$$g(x_1, \dots, x_n) = -\log \mathbf{F}(-\log x_1, \dots, -\log x_n) \quad (2)$$

is positive homogeneous of degree 1. (This inverts into  $\mathbf{F}(u_1, \dots, u_n) = \exp(-g(e^{-u_1}, \dots, e^{-u_n}))$ ). We have by a theorem of McFadden (1978):

### THEOREM

Let  $(\varepsilon_y)_{1 \leq y \leq n}$  be a random vector with c.d.f.  $\mathbf{F}$ , and define

$$Z = \max_{y=1, \dots, n} \{U_y + \varepsilon_y\}.$$

Then  $Z$  has the same distribution as  $\log g(e^{U_1}, \dots, e^{U_n}) + \gamma + \epsilon$ , where  $\epsilon$  is a standard Gumbel. In particular,

$$\mathbb{E} \left[ \max_{y=1, \dots, n} \{U_y + \varepsilon_y\} \right] = \log g(e^{U_1}, \dots, e^{U_n}) + \gamma$$

where  $\gamma$  is the Euler constant  $\gamma \simeq 0.5772$ .

### PROOF.

Let  $F_Z$  be the c.d.f. of  $Z = \max_{y=1,\dots,n} \{U_y + \varepsilon_y\}$ . One has

$$\begin{aligned} F_Z(z) &= \Pr \left( \max_{y=1,\dots,n} \{U_y + \varepsilon_y\} \leq z \right) = \Pr (\forall y : \varepsilon_y \leq z - U_y) \\ &= \mathbf{F}(z - U_1, \dots, z - U_n) = \exp \left( -g \left( e^{U_1 - z}, \dots, e^{U_n - z} \right) \right) \\ &= \exp \left( -e^{-z} g \left( e^{U_1}, \dots, e^{U_n} \right) \right) = \varphi \left( z - \log g \left( e^{U_1}, \dots, e^{U_n} \right) - \gamma \right) \end{aligned}$$

where  $\varphi(z) := \exp \left( -e^{-(z-\gamma)} \right)$  is the cdf of the standard Gumbel distribution. Hence  $Z$  has the distribution of  $\log g \left( e^{U_1}, \dots, e^{U_n} \right) + \gamma + \epsilon$ , where  $\epsilon$  is a standard Gumbel. □

- ▶ As a result, the choice probability of alternative  $y$  is

$$\sigma_y(U) = \frac{\frac{\partial g}{\partial x_y}(e^{U_1}, \dots, e^{U_n})}{g(e^{U_1}, \dots, e^{U_n})} e^{U_y}.$$

- ▶ The GEV framework has several commonly used examples: logit, nested logit, mixture of logit...
- ▶ We just saw the logit model, in which  $g(x_1, \dots, x_n) = e^{-\gamma} \sum_{y=1}^n x_y$ . In this case, the distribution of

$$Z = \max_{y=1, \dots, n} \{U_y + \varepsilon_y\}$$

is  $\log \sum_{y=1}^n e^{U_y} + \epsilon$ , where  $\epsilon$  is a standard Gumbel.

### EXAMPLE 3: NESTED LOGIT MODEL

- ▶ The nested logit model is an instance of GEV model where alternatives can be grouped in nests. Eg, people choose their means of transportation (nest), and within this nest, a particular operator.
- ▶ Let  $\mathcal{X}$  be the set of nests and assume that for each nest  $x$ , there is a set  $\mathcal{Y}_x$  alternatives. Let  $U_{xy}$  be utility from alternative  $y$  in nest  $x$ , and  $\lambda_x \in [0, 1]$  and

$$g(U_{xy}) = e^{-\gamma} \sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} \left( \sum_{y \in \mathcal{Y}_x} U_{xy}^{1/\lambda_x} \right)^{\lambda_x}.$$

- ▶ In this case,

$$G(U) = \mathbb{E} \left[ \max_{x \in \mathcal{X}} \max_{y \in \mathcal{Y}_x} \{U_{xy} + \varepsilon_{xy}\} \right] = \log \sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} \left( \sum_{y \in \mathcal{Y}_x} e^{U_{xy}/\lambda_x} \right)^{\lambda_x}$$
$$\sigma_{xy}(U) = \frac{\left( \sum_{y \in \mathcal{Y}_x} e^{U_{xy}/\lambda_x} \right)^{\lambda_x}}{\sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} \left( \sum_{y \in \mathcal{Y}_x} e^{U_{xy}/\lambda_x} \right)^{\lambda_x}} \frac{e^{U_{xy}/\lambda_x}}{\left( \sum_{y \in \mathcal{Y}_x} e^{U_{xy}/\lambda_x} \right)}$$

so the demand map has an interesting interpretation as “choice of nest then choice of alternative”.



### EXAMPLE 3: NESTED LOGIT MODEL (CTD)

- ▶ Assume that  $(\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2)$  have a nested logit distribution with two nests, that is, their cdf is given by

$$\mathbf{F}(u_1, u_2) = \exp \left( -e^{-\gamma} \left( e^{-u_1/\lambda} + e^{-u_2/\lambda} \right)^\lambda \right).$$

- ▶ Particular cases:

- ▶ When  $\lambda = 1$ ,  $\varepsilon_1$  and  $\varepsilon_2$  are independent and one recovers the logit model.

- ▶ When  $\lambda \rightarrow 0$ ,

$$\mathbf{F}(u_1, u_2) = \exp \left( -e^{-\gamma} e^{\max\{-u_1, -u_2\}} \right) = \min \{ \mathbf{F}(u_1), \mathbf{F}(u_2) \} \text{ and}$$

therefore  $\varepsilon_1$  and  $\varepsilon_2$  are perfectly correlated.

- ▶ In general one can show that

$$\lambda = \sqrt{1 - \text{cor}(\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2)}$$

This formula, due to Tiago de Oliveira, is not straightforward to prove and is the object of an optional problemset.

- ▶ Probit model (later)
- ▶ Berry-Pakes' pure characteristics model (later)
- ▶ Berry-Levinsohn-Pakes' mixed logit coefficient model (later)

## Section 3

# DEMAND INVERSION

- ▶ In many settings, the econometrician observes the market shares  $s_y$  and wants to deduce the corresponding vector of systematic utilities. That is, we would like to solve:

**Problem.** *Given a vector  $s$  with positive entries satisfying  $\sum_{y \in \mathcal{Y}} s_y < 1$ , characterize and compute the set*

$$\sigma^{-1}(s) = \left\{ U \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathcal{Y}} : \sigma(U) = s \right\}.$$

- ▶ This problem is called “demand inversion,” or “conditional choice probability inversion,” or “identification problem.” It is a central issue in econometrics/industrial organization and will be a key building block for matching models.

- We saw in Lecture 3 how to invert gradient of convex functions: if  $G$  is strictly convex and  $C^1$ , then

$$\sigma^{-1}(s) = \nabla G^{-1}(s) = \nabla G^*(s).$$

- $G^*$  is the Legendre-Fenchel transform of  $G$ ; we call it the *entropy of choice*, defined by

$$G^*(s) = \max_U \left\{ \sum_{y \in \mathcal{Y}} s_y U_y - G(U) \right\}. \quad (3)$$

- Hence,  $\sigma^{-1}(s)$  is the vector  $U$  such that

$$U \in \arg \max_U \left\{ \sum_{y \in \mathcal{Y}} s_y U_y - G(U) \right\}.$$

- Convex duality implies that if  $s$  and  $U$  are related by  $s \in \partial G(U)$ , then

$$G(U) = \sum_{y \in \mathcal{Y}} s_y U_y - G^*(s). \quad (4)$$

- But letting  $Y = \arg \max_y \{U_y + \varepsilon_y\}$ ,  $G(U) = \mathbb{E}[U_Y + \varepsilon_Y]$  implies

$$G(U) = \sum_{y \in \mathcal{Y}} s_y U_y + \mathbb{E}[\varepsilon_Y],$$

thus one has

$$G^*(s) = -\mathbb{E}[\varepsilon_Y]. \quad (5)$$

Hence, the entropy of choice  $G^*(s)$  is interpreted as minus the expected amount of heterogeneity needed to rationalize the choice probabilities  $s$ .

- Then

$$G^*(s) = s_0 \log(s_0) + \sum_{y \in \mathcal{Y}} s_y \log s_y$$

where  $s_0 = 1 - \sum_{y \in \mathcal{Y}} s_y$ . Hence,  $G^*$  is a bona fide entropy function when  $\mathbf{P}$  is Gumbel—hence the name of *entropy of choice* in general.

- As a result,

$$\sigma_y^{-1}(s) = \log \frac{s_y}{s_0}$$

which is the celebrated *log-odds ratio formula*: the log of the odds of alternatives  $y$  and 0 identify the difference between the systematic utilities of these alternatives.

## EXAMPLE: ENTROPY OF CHOICE AND IDENTIFICATION, NESTED LOGIT MODEL

- The entropy of choice  $G^*$  in the nested logit model is given by

$$G^*(s) = \sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} \sum_{y \in \mathcal{Y}_x} \lambda_x s_{xy} \ln s_{xy} + \sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} (1 - \lambda_x) \left( \sum_{z \in \mathcal{Y}_x} s_{xz} \right) \ln \left( \sum_{z \in \mathcal{Y}_x} s_{xz} \right) \quad (6)$$

if  $s_{xy} \geq 0$  and  $\sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} \sum_{y \in \mathcal{Y}_x} s_{xy} = 1$ ,  $G^*(s) = +\infty$  otherwise.

- Identification in the nested logit model: with normalization

$\sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} \left( \sum_{y \in \mathcal{Y}_x} e^{U_{xy}/\lambda_x} \right)^{\lambda_x} = 1$ , one has

$s_{xy} = \left( \sum_{y \in \mathcal{Y}_x} e^{U_{xy}/\lambda_x} \right)^{\lambda_x - 1} e^{U_{xy}/\lambda_x}$ , thus

$\sum_{y \in \mathcal{Y}_x} e^{U_{xy}/\lambda_x} = \left( \sum_{y \in \mathcal{Y}_x} s_{xy} \right)^{1/\lambda_x}$ , therefore

$$U_{xy} = \lambda_x \log s_{xy} - (\lambda_x - 1) \log \sum_{y \in \mathcal{Y}_x} s_{xy}.$$

- And now, let's code!