Lecture 9: Central Limit Theorem

STAT 324

Ralph Trane

University of Wisconsin-Madison

Spring 2020

Previously on STAT 324...

Scenario 1:

The data (i.e. X_1, \ldots, X_n) are normally distributed, independent, and **we know** σ . Then

$$ar{X} \sim N(\mu, \sigma^2/n) \qquad ext{and} \qquad rac{ar{X} - \mu}{\sigma/\sqrt{n}} \sim N(0, 1),$$

SO

$$P\left(ar{X} - z_{lpha/2}rac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}} < \mu < ar{X} + z_{lpha/2}rac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}
ight) = 1-lpha$$

where $z_{lpha/2}$ is the number such that $P(Z>z_{lpha/2})=lpha/2$

Scenario 2:

The data (i.e. X_1, \ldots, X_n) are normally distributed, independent, but we **do not** know σ . Then

$$ar{X} \sim N(\mu, \sigma^2/n) \qquad ext{and} \qquad rac{ar{X} - \mu}{s/\sqrt{n}} \sim t_{n-1},$$

SO

$$P\left(ar{X} - t_{n-1,lpha/2}rac{s}{\sqrt{n}} < \mu < ar{X} + t_{n-1,lpha/2}rac{s}{\sqrt{n}}
ight) = 1-lpha$$

where $t_{n-1,lpha/2}$ is the number such that $P(T_{n-1}>t_{n-1,lpha/2})=lpha/2$

Critical value (recall, df = n - 1):

Create 95% confidence interval WITHOUT assuming we know the true SD. Need mean, sd, and critical value.

Mean and SD:

```
paint_thickness %>%
  summarize(mean = mean(thickness), sd = sd(thickness))

## # A tibble: 1 x 2

## mean sd

## <dbl> <dbl>
## 1 1.35 0.339
```

We can find the confidence interval as

$$ar{x} \pm t_{15,0.025} \cdot rac{s}{\sqrt{16}}$$

```
## # A tibble: 1 x 4
## mean sd LL UL
## <dbl> <dbl> <dbl> <dbl> ## 1 1.35 0.339 1.17 1.53
```

Some vocabulary and intuition

Our *estimate* of the true mean paint thickness is 1.348 - also call this the *point* estimate.

The interval 1.168 to 1.529 is a 95% confidence interval - also call this an interval estimate.

We are 95% confident the true paint thickness is between 1.168 and 1.529.

Compare to 95% CI when knowing SD: 1.182 to 1.515. When SD unknown, CI larger.

Intuitively, we know less, so less confident.

What if we do not know if X_1, \ldots, X_n are normally distributed?

Or maybe we know they are in fact NOT normally distributed. Then what?

Central Limit Theorem

If X_1, \ldots, X_n are iid random variables with $E(X_i) = \mu$ and $\operatorname{Var}(X_i) = \sigma^2$. For "large enough" n,

$$ar{X} \sim N\left(\mu, rac{\sigma^2}{n}
ight) \quad ext{(approximately)}$$

n "large enough" depends on the true distribution of X_i 's. If "close to normal", smaller n needed.

Generally, $n \geq 30$ is a good rule of thumb for "large enough".

I, personally, find it easier to remember that $\bar{X}\sim N(E(\bar{X}),\mathrm{Var}(\bar{X}))$. (Note: this is the same, since $E(\bar{X})=\mu$ and $\mathrm{Var}(\bar{X})=\sigma^2/n$.)

- An accounting firm has a large list of clients, each client has a file with information.
- Noticed some files contain errors
- What proportion of all files contain errors?

Parameter of interest: π = true proportion of files containing errors.

Don't want to go through all files, so take a simple random sample of size 50. Let X_1, \ldots, X_{50} be the random variables denoting if the files have an error or not. If file number i has an error, $X_i = 1$. Otherwise, $X_i = 0$.

Distribution of X_i is Bernoulli (π) .

A good estimator of the true proportion of files with errors is $P=rac{\sum_{i=1}^{50}X_i}{n}$ the sample proportion.

$$E(P) = \pi$$

$$\operatorname{Var}(P) = rac{\pi(1-\pi)}{n}$$

To find a CI for π , we need the distribution of P. Since $P=\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{50}X_i$, P is an average, CLT tells us that, for n large enough, $P\sim N(E(P),\mathrm{Var}(P))$, or equivalently $P\sim N(\pi,\pi(1-\pi)/n)$.

Since we do not know $\operatorname{Var}(P)$, we will use $\widehat{\operatorname{SD}}(P) = \sqrt{P(1-P)/n}$.

 $P \sim N(\pi, \pi(1-\pi)/n).$ So what is the distribution of $rac{P-\pi}{\sqrt{P(1-P)/n}}$?

$$rac{P-\pi}{\sqrt{P(1-P)/n}} = rac{P-E(P)}{\widehat{\mathrm{SD}}(P)} = Z \sim N(0,1).$$

Why not t? Because estimating π with P gives us $\widehat{SD}(P)$ for free! No extra estimation required.

Now, we can find values x_1, x_2 such that $P(Z \le x_1) + P(Z \ge x_2) = \alpha$. Let's for simplicity use $\alpha = 0.05$. I.e. we want to find x_1, x_2 such that this area is 0.05.

If we decide the two areas in the tails are the same, $x_1 = -x_2$.

 x_2 is by definition the lpha/2 (0.025 in this case) critical value, $z_{lpha/2}$ - it cuts

So,

$$1-lpha=P(-z_{lpha/2}\leq Z\leq z_{lpha/2})$$

$$=P\left(-z_{lpha/2}\leq rac{P-\pi}{\sqrt{P(1-P)/n}}\leq z_{lpha/2}
ight)$$

$$I=P\left(-z_{lpha/2}\sqrt{P(1-P)/n}\leq P-\pi\leq z_{lpha/2}\sqrt{P(1-P)/n}
ight)$$

$$=P\left(-P-z_{lpha/2}\sqrt{P(1-P)/n}\leq -\pi\leq -P+z_{lpha/2}\sqrt{P(1-P)/n}
ight)$$

$$=P\left(P+z_{lpha/2}\sqrt{P(1-P)/n}\geq\pi\geq P-z_{lpha/2}\sqrt{P(1-P)/n}
ight)$$

$$I=P\left(P-z_{lpha/2}\sqrt{P(1-P)/n}\leq\pi\leq P+z_{lpha/2}\sqrt{P(1-P)/n}
ight)$$

So, a $(1-\alpha)\cdot 100\%$ Confidence Interval for the true population proportion π is $[P-z_{\alpha/2}\sqrt{P(1-P)/n},P+z_{\alpha/2}\sqrt{P(1-P)/n}].$

Say we observe 10 files with errors and 40 files without.

Our estimate would be $p=rac{1}{50}\sum_{i=1}^n x_i=rac{1}{50}(10\cdot 1+40\cdot 0)=0.2.$

The estimated SD would be $\sqrt{p(1-p)/n} = \sqrt{0.2 \cdot 0.8/50} pprox 0.057$.

So a 95% CI for the true population proportion has lower limit

$$p - z_{lpha/2} \widehat{ ext{SD}}(P) = 0.2 - 1.96 \cdot 0.057 = 0.089$$

and upper limit

$$p + z_{lpha/2} \widehat{ ext{SD}}(P) = 0.2 + 1.96 \cdot 0.057 = 0.311$$


There's a pretty strong pattern here: if \bar{X} is normally distributed, then a $(1-\alpha)\cdot 100\%$ CI for the true value of $E(\bar{X})$ (which is also the true value of $E(X_i)$) is

- $ar{X}\pm z_{lpha/2}\widehat{ ext{SD}}(ar{X})$ if calculating $ar{X}$ gives us $\widehat{ ext{SD}}(ar{X})$ "for free",
- $ar{X} \pm t_{lpha/2} \widehat{\mathrm{SD}}(ar{X})$ if we still need to estimate $\widehat{\mathrm{SD}}(ar{X})$.

This "average \pm critical value \times standard deviation" pattern comes up all the time.

Do you like snow?

True distribution:



Not normal because:

- not symmetrical
- not even continuous!!!

Do you like snow?

Say we didn't have the entire population data. Just a sample of 20 students:

$$1, 1, 1, 0, 1, 0, 1, 1, 1, 1, 0, 0, 1, 1, 0, 1, 1, 0, 1, 1$$

Estimated propotion: $p = \frac{14}{20} = 0.7$.

Estimated standard deviation of *P*:


$$\widehat{\mathrm{SD}}(P) = \sqrt{p(1-p)/n} = \sqrt{0.7 \cdot 0.3/20} = 0.102.$$

So a 95% CI is $0.7 \pm 1.96 \cdot 0.102 = [0.5, 0.9]$.

For once, we know the truth: 0.637.


Do you like snow?

Let's repeat the process many, many times. Actually, I redo this 5000 times!



Do you like snow?


If the confidence interval correct, it should contain the true value 95% of the time. Here are the first 100:




Proportion of all 5000 CIs containing the true value: 0.961. Pretty good!

What do you think of math?

True distribution:





Not normal because:

- not symmetrical (left skewed)
- not even continuous!!!

What do you think of math?

Say we didn't have the entire population data. Just a sample of 20 students:

Estimated mean: $\bar{x}=6.9$.

Estimated standard deviation of $ar{X}$: $\widehat{\mathrm{SD}}(ar{X}) = 0.4223932$.


So a 95% CI is

$$egin{aligned} ar{x} \pm t_{19,0.025} s / \sqrt{20} &= 6.9 \pm 2.0930241 \cdot 0.4223932 \ &= [6.072, 7.728] \end{aligned}$$

For once, we know the truth: 7.363.


What do you think of math?

Let's repeat the process many, many times. Actually, I redo this 5000 times!



What do you think of math?

If the confidence interval correct, it should contain the true value 95% of the time. Here are the first 100:



Proportion of all 5000 CIs containing the true value: 0.949. Pretty good!

Confidence Intervals: Summary I

It is all about finding an estimator for parameter of interest, and finding the distribution of that estimator. To find the distribution, the Central Limit Theorem is a powerful ally.

• If data are from a normal distribution and σ known: $\bar{X}\sim N$ and $\bar{X}\pm z_{\alpha/2}{
m SD}(\bar{X})$ contains the true value of $E(X_i)~(1-\alpha)\cdot 100\%$ of the time

$$\circ \operatorname{SD}(\bar{X}) = \sigma/\sqrt{n}$$

• If data are from a normal distribution and σ unknown: $\bar{X}\sim N$ and $\bar{X}\pm t_{n-1,\alpha/2}\widehat{\mathrm{SD}}(\bar{X})$ contains the true value of $E(X_i)$ $(1-\alpha)\cdot 100\%$ of the time

$$\circ \; \widehat{ ext{SD}}(ar{X}) = S/\sqrt{n} = rac{\sqrt{rac{1}{n-1}\sum_{i=1}^n(X_i-ar{X})^2}}{\sqrt{n}}$$

Confidence Intervals: Summary II

• If data are binary, and n "large enough": $P\sim N$ and $P\pm z_{lpha/2}\widehat{\mathrm{SD}}(P)$ contains the true value of $E(X_i)$ $(1-lpha)\cdot 100\%$ of the time

$$\circ \widehat{\mathrm{SD}}(P) = \sqrt{P(1-P)/n}$$

- $\circ \ \ n$ is large enough if $n \cdot \pi > 5$ and $n \cdot (1 \pi) > 5$.
- We check this using the estimated value of π , i.e. p. So we check if $n \cdot p > 5$ and $n \cdot (1-p) > 5$.
- If data are NOT from a normal distribution, and n "large enough": $\bar{X}\sim N$ and $\bar{X}\pm t_{n-1,\alpha/2}\widehat{\mathrm{SD}}(\bar{X})$ contains the true value of $E(X_i)$ $(1-\alpha)\cdot 100\%$ of the time

$$\circ \; \widehat{\mathrm{SD}}(ar{X}) = S/\sqrt{n} = rac{\sqrt{rac{1}{n-1}\sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - ar{X})^2}}{\sqrt{n}}$$

 \circ usually, $n \geq 30$ satisfies n "large enough"