## Causality

POST 8000 – Foundations of Social Science Research for Public Policy

Steven V. Miller

Department of Political Science



# Goal for Today

 $Introduce\ students\ to\ causality,\ and\ distinguishing\ causality\ from\ association.$ 

### The Problem, in Quotes

- "That correlation is not causation is perhaps the first thing that must be said." -Barnard, 1982 (p. 387)
- "If statistics cannot relate cause effect, they add to the rhetoric." Smith, 1980 (p. 1000 [stylized by me])

#### Associational Inference

A set of tools to understand how a response variable corresponds with some attribute. Tools include:

- Probability distributions (conditional, joint)
- Correlation
- Regression(?)

"Associational inference consists of [estimates, tests, posterior distributions, etc.] about the associational paramters relating Y and A [from units in U]. In this sense, associational inference is simply descriptive statistics." - Holland, 1986 (p. 946)

### **Probability Distributions**

**Joint probability**, in the event *A* and *B* are independent from each other:

$$p(A,B) = p(A) * p(B)$$

**Conditional probability**, in the event that *A* depends on *B* having already occurred:

$$p(A \mid B) = \frac{p(A, B)}{p(B)}$$

5/16

## Correlation (via Pearson's r)

$$\sum \frac{\left(\frac{x_i - \overline{x}}{s_x}\right)\left(\frac{y_i - \overline{y}}{s_y}\right)}{n - 1}$$

#### ...where:

- $x_i$ ,  $y_i$  = individual observations of x or y, respectively.
- $\overline{x}$ ,  $\overline{y}$  = sample means of x and y, respectively.
- $s_x$ ,  $s_y$  = sample standard deviations of x and y, respectively.
- n = number of observations in the sample.

## Properties of Pearsons *r*

- 1. Pearson's *r* is symmetrical.
- 2. Pearson's *r* is bound between -1 and 1.
- 3. Pearson's *r* is standardized.

#### Standardization

$$z = \frac{\text{Deviation from the mean}}{\text{Standard unit}} \tag{1}$$

The standard unit will vary, contingent on what you want.

- If you're working with just one random sample, it's the standard deviation.
- If you're comparing sample means across multiple random samples, it's the standard error.

#### Standardization

Larger *z* values indicate greater difference from the mean.

• When z = 0, there is no deviation from the mean (obviously).

Standardization has a lot of cool properties you'll see through the semester.

• For now: it's a way to express a variable's scale.

### Causal Inference





#### The Problem in a Nutshell

An individual (i) who is offered a treatment ( $Z_i$  = 1) with two potential outcomes:

- ullet An outcome to be revealed if s/he accepts the treatment ( $T_i$  = 1):  $Y_i(T_i=1|Z_i=1)$
- ullet An outcome to be revealed if untreated ( $T_i$  = 0):  $Y_i(T_i=0|Z_i=1)$

This is a missing data problem of a kind.

- We can only observe one.
- No perfect counterfactuals.
- Unicorns don't exist.

#### The Solution

For  $T_i=0$  and  $T_i=1$ , given both offered treatment ( $Z_i=1$ ):

Individual Treatment Effect for 
$$i=Y_i(T_i=1|Z_i=1)-Y_i(T_i=0|Z_i=1)$$

Think in terms of population averages.

- Per Rubin, there is an important population parameter to estimate.
- Hence why we (and he) referred to it as "effect of the treatment on the treated."
   (i.e. TOT)
- Also: the "average treatment effect" (i.e. ATE)

### The Importance of Random Assignment

Random assignment (to treatment/control) helps us with ATE because it's tough to imagine cases where ( $Z_i=1$  and  $T_i=0$ ).

- Per random assignment: participants assigned to treatment/control must be same on average in the population ("equal in expectation").
- i.e.  $E[Y_i(T_i=0|Z_i=1)]$  must be equal to  $E[Y_i(T_i=0|Z_i=0)]$

By substitution:

$$TOT = E[Y_i(T_i = 1|Z_i = 1)] - E[Y_i(T_i = 0|Z_i = 0)]$$

When unbiased, a difference in sample means is sufficient:

$$T\hat{O}T = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n_1} Y_i}{n_1} - \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n_0} Y_i}{n_0}$$

13/16

# Some Other Important Assumptions

- Unit homogeneity
- Conditional independence
- SUTVA

# Criteria for Evaluating Causal Arguments

- Falsifiability
- Internal consistency
- Careful selection of DV
- Concreteness
- "Encompassibility" (sic)

### **Table of Contents**

Causality
Introduction
Associational Inference
Causal Inference