Problem set 3

The R script sim.R defines a function sim() that simulates a model observer in a 2AFC depth discrimination task with binocular disparity and touch cues. The first line of the function's definition is

```
sim <- function( depth1, depth2 )</pre>
```

To simulate showing depth stimuli to the model observer sim(), you can pass input arguments that specify the depths you want to show. The argument depth1 specifies the depths in the first stimulus interval, and depth2 specifies the depths in the second stimulus interval. Depths are measured in cm. Each argument must be an atomic vector with two elements, e.g., c(1.2, 1.25). The first element specifies the depth from binocular disparity, and the second element specifies the depth from touch. If you do not want to have a disparity or touch depth cue, set the corresponding element to NA. For example, to get the model observer's response on a trial where interval 1 has a disparity cue indicating a depth of 1.5 cm, and interval 2 has a touch cue indicating 2.0 cm, you would call:

```
r \leftarrow sim(depth1 = c(1.5, NA), depth2 = c(NA, 2.0)
```

sim() returns a value of 1 or 2, to indicate which interval it judges as having greater depth. As with human observers, there is a random component in sim()'s decision rule, so if you call sim() with the same input arguments twice, you do not necessarily get the same answer each time.

Does sim() combine disparity and touch cues optimally? Measure a psychometric function where sim() judges whether stimuli at a range of depths in interval 1 have more or less depth than a 10 cm reference stimulus in interval 2. Do this for disparity stimuli, touch stimuli, and combined disparity-and-touch stimuli. Fit normal cdf's to these three psychometric functions and use the fitted σ parameters to test for optimal cue combination. (Review find_sigma.R from lecture 7 to see how to estimate σ from a psychometric function. Recall that equation (3) in Ernst and Banks (2002) shows how the σ parameters for individual and combined depth cues are related when cue combination is optimal.)

In a real experiment, you would of course use statistical tests. You don't need to use statistical tests for this problem set. I've written sim() so that the answers are clear enough without statistical tests.

Due date: April 21