

Binary II

We continue our exploration of the world of 0 and 1.

Activity 1 (Palindromes).

Goal: find palindromes in binary and decimal numeral system.

In English a palindrome is a word (or a sentence) that can be read in both directions, for example “RADAR” or “A MAN, A PLAN, A CANAL: PANAMA”. In this activity, a *palindrome* will be a list, which has the same elements when browsing from left to right or right to left.

Examples:

- $[1, 0, 1, 0, 1]$ is a palindrome (with binary numeral system),
 - $[2, 9, 4, 4, 9, 2]$ is a palindrome (with decimal numeral system).
1. Program a function `is_palindrome(mylist)` that tests if a list is a palindrome or not.
Hints. You can compare the items at ranks i and $p - 1 - i$ or use `list(reversed(liste))`.
 2. We are looking for integers n such that their binary numeral system is a palindrome. For example, the binary notation of $n = 27$ is the palindrome $[1, 1, 0, 1, 1]$. This is the tenth integer n having this property.
What is the thousandth integer $n \geq 0$ whose binary notation is a palindrome?
 3. What is the thousandth integer $n \geq 0$ whose decimal notation is a palindrome?
For example, the digits of $n = 909$ in decimal notation, form the palindrome $[9, 0, 9]$. This is the hundredth integer n having this property.
 4. An integer n is a *bi-palindrome* if its binary notation *and* its decimal notation are palindromes. For example $n = 585$ has a decimal notation which is a palindrome and also is its binary notation $[1, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 1]$. This is the tenth integer n having this property.
What is the twentieth integer $n \geq 0$ to be a bi-palindrome?

Lesson 1 (Logical operations).

We consider that 0 represents “False” and 1 is “True”.

- With the logical operation “OR”, the result is true as soon as at least one of the two terms is true. This is written:
 - $0 \text{ OR } 0 = 0$
 - $0 \text{ OR } 1 = 1$
 - $1 \text{ OR } 0 = 1$
 - $1 \text{ OR } 1 = 1$
- With the logical operation “AND”, the result is true only when both terms are true. This is written:

- $0 \text{ AND } 0 = 0$
- $0 \text{ AND } 1 = 0$
- $1 \text{ AND } 0 = 0$
- $1 \text{ AND } 1 = 1$
- The logical operation “NOT”, exchange true and false values:
 - $\text{NOT } 0 = 1$
 - $\text{NOT } 1 = 0$
- For numbers in binary notation, these operations range from bits to bits, i.e. digit by digit (starting with the digits on the right) as one would add (without carry).
For example:

	1.0.1.1.0		1.0.0.1.0
AND	1.1.0.1.0	OR	0.0.1.1.0
	1.0.0.1.0		1.0.1.1.0

If the two systems do not have the same number of bits, we add non-significant 0 on the left (example of $1.0.0.1.0 \text{ OR } 1.1.0$ on the figure at the right).

Activity 2 (Logical operations).

Goal: program the main logical operations.

1. (a) Program a function `NOT()` which corresponds to the negation for a given list. For example, `NOT([1,1,0,1])` returns `[0,0,1,0]`.
 (b) Program a function `OReq()` which corresponds to “OR” with two lists of equal length. For example, with `mylist1 = [1,0,1,0,1,0,1]` and `mylist2 = [1,0,0,1,0,0,1]`, the function returns `[1,0,1,1,1,0,1]`.
 (c) Do the same work with `ANDeq()` for two lists having the same length.
2. Write a function `zero_padding(mylist,p)` that adds zeros at the beginning of the list to get a list of length `p`. Example: if `mylist = [1,0,1,1]` and `p = 8`, then the function returns `[0,0,0,0,1,0,1,1]`.
3. Write two functions `OR()` and `AND()` which correspond to the logical operations, but with two lists that do not necessarily have the same length.

Example:

- `mylist1 = [1,1,1,0,1]` and `mylist2 = [1,1,0]`,
- it should be considered that `mylist2` is equivalent to the list `mylist2bis = [0,0,1,1,0]` of the same length as `mylist1`,
- so `OR(mylist1,mylist2)` returns `[1,1,1,1,1]`,
- then `AND(mylist1,mylist2)` returns `[0,0,1,0,0]` (or `[1,0,0]` depending on your choice).

Hints. You can take over the content of your functions `OReq` and `ANDeq`, or you can first add zeros to the shortest list.

Activity 3 (De Morgan's laws).

Goal: generate all possible lists of 0 and 1 to check a proposition.

1. First method: use binary notation.

We want to generate all possible lists of 0 and 1 of a given size p . Here's how to do it:

- An integer n runs all integers from 0 to $2^p - 1$.
- For each of these integers n , we calculate its binary notation (in the form of a list).
- We add (if necessary) 0 at the beginning of the list, in order to get a list of length p .

Program this method.

Example: for $n = 36$, its binary notation is $[1, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0]$. If you want a list of $p = 8$ bits, you add two 0: $[0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0]$.

2. Second method (optional): a recursive algorithm.

We want to generate again all the possible lists of 0 and 1 of a given size. We adopt the following procedure: if we know how to find all the lists of size $p - 1$, then to obtain all the lists of size p , we just have to add one 0 at the beginning of each list of size $p - 1$, then to start again by adding one 1 at the beginning of each list of size $p - 1$.

For example, there are 4 lists of length 2: $[0, 0]$, $[0, 1]$, $[1, 0]$, $[1, 1]$. I deduct the 8 lists of length 3:

- 4 lists by adding 0 at the front: $[0, 0, 0]$, $[0, 0, 1]$, $[0, 1, 0]$, $[0, 1, 1]$,
- 4 lists by adding 1 at the front: $[1, 0, 0]$, $[1, 0, 1]$, $[1, 1, 0]$, $[1, 1, 1]$.

This gives the following algorithm, which is a recursive algorithm (because the function calls itself).

Algorithm.

Use: `every_binary_number(p)`

Input: an integer $p > 0$

Output: the list of all possible lists of 0 and 1 of length p

- If $p = 1$ return the list $[[0], [1]]$.
- If $p \geq 2$, then:
 - get all lists of size $p-1$ by the call `every_binary_number(p-1)`
 - for each item in this list, build two new items:
 - on the one hand add 0 at the beginning of this element;
 - on the other hand add 1 at the beginning of this element;
 - then add these two items to the list of lists of size p .
- Return the list of all the lists with a size p .

3. De Morgan's laws.

De Morgan's laws state that for booleans (true/false) or bits (1/0), we always have these properties:

$$\text{NOT}(b_1 \text{ OR } b_2) = \text{NOT}(b_1) \text{ AND } \text{NOT}(b_2) \quad \text{NOT}(b_1 \text{ AND } b_2) = \text{NOT}(b_1) \text{ OR } \text{NOT}(b_2).$$

Experimentally checks that these equations are still true for any list ℓ_1 and ℓ_2 of exactly 8 bits.