

MSE (training set):

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \hat{y}_i)^2 = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \hat{f}(x_i))^2$$

MSE (test set):

denote a test set obs. by  $(x_0, y_0)$

~~If we have a big # of test set obs, could calculate~~

~~Average  $\{y_0 - \hat{f}(x_0)\}^2$~~

~~average over many test set observations~~  
Expected test MSE (at a particular value  $x_0$ )

$$E[\{y_0 - \hat{f}(x_0)\}^2] = \text{Var}\{\hat{f}(x_0)\} + [\text{Bias}\{\hat{f}(x_0)\}]^2 + \text{Var}(\epsilon)$$

Expected test MSE:

Expected/Average squared error across samples

- all possible training sets from the population
- all possible values of  $y_0$  that could "go with"  $x_0$

↑  
how much could  $\hat{f}(x_0)$  change if we used a different training set?  
↳ more flexible method  
→ higher variance

↑  
on average, how different is  $\hat{f}(x_0)$  from the "true"  $f(x_0)$ ?  
↳ more flexible method  
→ lower bias

↑  
not in our control

Want a method w/ low MSE, i.e. low variance & low bias

More flexible methods → higher variance, lower bias

Less flexible methods → lower variance, higher bias

Where should we fall? Depends on how easy it is to find signal vs. noise

- sample size (larger  $n$  → more flexible method OK)
- # of predictors (larger  $p$  → more structured/less flexible method required)
- complexity of "true"  $f$  (more complex → more flexible method required)
- ~~depends on underlying~~
- larger  $\text{Var}(\epsilon)$  (more structured/less flexible method required)