

# Bagging, Feature Subsets, and Random Forests

## Motivation:

- Ensembles can help reduce variance or improve classification accuracy.
- The improvement over stage 1 models is largest if predictions from stage 1 models are uncorrelated.

## Our Goal:

- Manufacture a large # of uncorrelated component models to use in an ensemble

## 2 Strategies:

- 1) Train different component models on different sets of rows of the data (bagging)
- 2) Train different component models on different sets of columns of the data (feature subsets)  
→ or at least, don't use columns in the same way.

## Bagging (bootstrap aggregation):

- Train  $B$  (often  $B = 500$  or  $1000$ ) models, each to its own data set of observations drawn with replacement from the original data.

↗ a bootstrap sample

### Algorithm:

1. Allocate space to save test set predictions for all  $B$  models
2. For  $b = 1, \dots, B$ :
  - a. Draw a bootstrap sample from the original data
  - b. Fit model to the bootstrap sample from step a.
  - c. Obtain test set predictions and save them
3. Ensemble combines component model predictions by majority vote or average.

## Feature subsets:

- Similar to bagging, but each model is trained on a different randomly selected subset of the explanatory variables.

## Random Forests:

Combine the following

~~bagging~~

- component models are classification or regression trees
- bagging: each tree is estimated using a different bootstrap sample
- when growing trees, for each possible split consider only splits that can be made with a randomly selected subset of explanatory variables.

↳ In caret::train, parameter is mtry

\* smaller mtry means more bias  
(may omit important explanatory variables)

\* smaller mtry means lower variance  
(less of a chance different trees in the forest will use the same variable to split  
→ less correlated predictions from different trees  
→ lower variance )

# Estimating Test Set Performance with Bagging:

- Each tree in random forest trained using a bootstrap sample (sampled with replacement)
- For each tree, some observations not used to fit that tree.  
↳ use these to estimate test set performance

"Out-of-bag" (OOB) procedure for estimating test set error:

- 1) Obtain the out-of-bag prediction for each training set observation  $i = 1, \dots, n$ 
  - there will be about  $B/3$  bootstrap samples that did not include observation  $i$
  - $\hat{y}_i^{OOB}$  is the mean (regression) or majority vote (classification) prediction from models not trained using observation  $i$ .
- 2) Use the OOB predictions  $\hat{y}_1^{OOB}, \dots, \hat{y}_n^{OOB}$  to estimate test set performance.

• Estimate test set MSE:  $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \hat{y}_i^{OOB})^2$

• Estimate test set classification error rate:

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{I}(y_i \neq \hat{y}_i^{OOB})$$



What proportion of observations are not in ~~any~~ a particular bootstrap samples?

$$P(\text{Obs. } i \text{ not in bootstrap sample})$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= P(\text{Obs. 1 in bootstrap sample is not } i) \\ &\quad * P(\text{Obs. 2 in bootstrap sample is not } i) \\ &\quad * \dots \\ &\quad * P(\text{Obs. } n \text{ in bootstrap sample is not } i) \end{aligned}$$

$$= \left(\frac{n-1}{n}\right)^n$$

For example  $0.99^{100} = 0.366$  so a given bootstrap sample will tend to contain about 63% of the observations in the original data set.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Also, } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{n-1}{n}\right)^n &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \exp\left[\log\left\{\left(\frac{n-1}{n}\right)^n\right\}\right] \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \exp\left[n\{\log(n-1) - \log(n)\}\right] \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \exp\left[\frac{\log(n-1) - \log(n)}{1/n}\right] \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \exp\left[\frac{\frac{1}{n-1} - \frac{1}{n}}{-\frac{1}{n^2}}\right] \quad \text{L'Hopital's rule} \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \exp\left(\frac{-n^2}{n-1} + \frac{n(n-1)}{n-1}\right) \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \exp\left(\frac{-n}{n-1}\right) = e^{-1} = \frac{1}{e} \approx 0.368 \end{aligned}$$

## Variable importance from Bagging:

2 approaches; ~~here is the default:~~

Total decrease in RSS (for regression)

or Gini index (classification)

associated with splits on a certain variable,  
averaged across, all trees in the forest.