Ensembles: Combine predictions from multiple models Main Goal: Reduce vorionce Secondary Goal (sometimes): Reduce bias

Example: Majority vote.

Suppose I have 3 classification models, 2 possible classes. Each makes correct predictions for test set with probability 0.7 independently.

The majority vote dossifier makes whatever predoction is made by at least 2 of the classifiers.

Made by at least 2 of the classifiers.

What is the probability the majority vote classifier is correct?

Define the following events:

P(A) = 0.7

A: first classifier is correct. Ac: first classifier incorrect. P(14) = 0.3

P(B)=0.7 B: second classifier correct

C: third classifier correct P(C) = 0.7

P(Majority vote classifier correct) = P(at loss 2 correct)

= P(A and B and C') + P(A and B' and C) + P(A' and B and C) + P(A and B and C)

= 0,7·0,7·0,3 +0,7·0,3 +0,7 +6,3·0,7·0,7 +0,7·0,7

= 0.784

So the majority vote classifier dos better than any individual classifier.

Caveat: Good classification nethods are usually correlated.

What if the 3 classifiers all make identical predictions?

L) total lack of independence.

- · either all 3 are correct, or all 3 are incorrect
- . Majority vote classifier does the same thing as any of the individual models. No improvement from ensemble.

Ensembles are most effective when the baseline models you're combining are uncorrelated

One more comment on majority vote:

if you want class membership probabilities,
take average!

 $\hat{f}_{1}^{(mu)}(x) = \frac{1}{m3}(\hat{f}_{1}^{(i)}(x) + \hat{f}_{1}^{(i)}(x) + \hat{f}_{1}^{(i)}(x))$

Simple Ensembles for Pagression Yi is a number.

Consider an ensemble that takes the average of predictions from 3 stage 1 regression models:

$$\hat{\varphi}^{\text{(ensemble)}} = \frac{1}{3} \left(\hat{\varphi}^{\text{(i)}} + \hat{\varphi}^{\text{(3)}}_{i} + \hat{\varphi}^{\text{(3)}}_{i} \right)$$

Suppose component models are independent? Experted test set have bixs 0 and have the same $\int MSE O + O^2 + UE (E)$ warrance of predicted values O^2 unionce of predicted values O^2 $O(2) = f(x_1)$ $O(2) = f(x_2)$ $O(2) = f(x_1)$

 $E[\hat{q}_{i}^{(\alpha)}] = E[\hat{q}_{i}^{(\alpha)}] = E[\hat{q}_{i}^{(\alpha)}] = f(\pi_{i})$

Therefore $E[\hat{q}_{i}^{(ensemble)}] = E[\frac{1}{3}(\hat{q}_{i}^{(1)} + \hat{q}_{i}^{(2)} + \hat{q}_{i}^{(3)})]$

$$= \frac{1}{3} \left(E[\hat{\gamma}^{(2)}] + E[\hat{\gamma}^{(2)}] \right) + E[\hat{\gamma}^{(2)}]$$

$$=\frac{1}{3}.3.f(x_i)=f(x_i)$$

So Gilensemble) also has bias O.

· Variance: Var [G(ensemble)] = Var [= (G(i) + G(2)) + G(3))] $=\frac{1}{9}\left[\operatorname{Var}(\hat{G}_{i}^{(2)})+\operatorname{Var}(\hat{G}_{i}^{(2)})+\operatorname{Var}(\hat{G}_{i}^{(2)})\right]$ $= \frac{1}{3}\sigma^2$

· Expected test set MSE 02 to 0° + Var(E)

. Decs n't help if model predictions perfectly correlated!

Stacking: Fit a model that takes predictions from "Component models" as input, predicts response, · Basic motivation: some models are better than others, we should give them more weight. $\hat{V}^{(ensemble)} = W, \hat{V}^{(1)} + W_2 \hat{V}^{(2)} + W_3 \hat{V}^{(3)} \\
= \beta_0^0 + \beta_1 \hat{V}^{(1)} + \beta_2 \hat{V}^{(2)} + \beta_3 \hat{V}^{(3)} \\
= \beta_0^0 + \beta_1 \hat{V}^{(1)} + \beta_2 \hat{V}^{(2)} + \beta_3 \hat{V}^{(3)} \\
= \beta_0^0 + \beta_1 \hat{V}^{(1)} + \beta_2 \hat{V}^{(2)} + \beta_3 \hat{V}^{(3)} \\
= \beta_0^0 + \beta_1 \hat{V}^{(1)} + \beta_2 \hat{V}^{(2)} + \beta_3 \hat{V}^{(3)} \\
= \beta_0^0 + \beta_1 \hat{V}^{(1)} + \beta_2 \hat{V}^{(2)} + \beta_3 \hat{V}^{(3)} \\
= \beta_0^0 + \beta_1 \hat{V}^{(1)} + \beta_2 \hat{V}^{(2)} + \beta_3 \hat{V}^{(3)} \\
= \beta_0^0 + \beta_1 \hat{V}^{(1)} + \beta_2 \hat{V}^{(2)} + \beta_3 \hat{V}^{(3)} \\
= \beta_0^0 + \beta_1 \hat{V}^{(1)} + \beta_2 \hat{V}^{(2)} + \beta_3 \hat{V}^{(3)} \\
= \beta_0^0 + \beta_1 \hat{V}^{(1)} + \beta_2 \hat{V}^{(2)} + \beta_3 \hat{V}^{(3)} \\
= \beta_0^0 + \beta_1 \hat{V}^{(1)} + \beta_2 \hat{V}^{(2)} + \beta_3 \hat{V}^{(3)} \\
= \beta_0^0 + \beta_1 \hat{V}^{(1)} + \beta_2 \hat{V}^{(2)} + \beta_3 \hat{V}^{(3)} \\
= \beta_0^0 + \beta_1 \hat{V}^{(1)} + \beta_2 \hat{V}^{(2)} + \beta_3 \hat{V}^{(3)} \\
= \beta_0^0 + \beta_1 \hat{V}^{(1)} + \beta_2 \hat{V}^{(2)} + \beta_3 \hat{V}^{(3)} \\
= \beta_0^0 + \beta_1 \hat{V}^{(1)} + \beta_2 \hat{V}^{(2)} + \beta_3 \hat{V}^{(3)} \\
= \beta_0^0 + \beta_1 \hat{V}^{(1)} + \beta_2 \hat{V}^{(2)} + \beta_3 \hat{V}^{(3)} \\
= \beta_0^0 + \beta_1 \hat{V}^{(1)} + \beta_2 \hat{V}^{(2)} + \beta_3 \hat{V}^{(3)} \\
= \beta_0^0 + \beta_1 \hat{V}^{(1)} + \beta_2 \hat{V}^{(2)} + \beta_3 \hat{V}^{(3)} \\
= \beta_0^0 + \beta_1 \hat{V}^{(1)} + \beta_2 \hat{V}^{(2)} + \beta_3 \hat{V}^{(3)} \\
= \beta_0^0 + \beta_1 \hat{V}^{(1)} + \beta_2 \hat{V}^{(2)} + \beta_3 \hat{V}^{(3)} \\
= \beta_0^0 + \beta_1 \hat{V}^{(1)} + \beta_2 \hat{V}^{(2)} + \beta_2 \hat{V}^{(3)} + \beta_3 \hat{V}^{(3)} \\
= \beta_0^0 + \beta_1 \hat{V}^{(1)} + \beta_2 \hat{V}^{(2)} + \beta_2 \hat{V}^{(3)} + \beta_3 \hat{V}^{(3)} + \beta_3 \hat{V}^{(3)} \\
= \beta_0^0 + \beta_1 \hat{V}^{(1)} + \beta_2 \hat{V}^{(1)} + \beta_2 \hat{V}^{(2)} + \beta_3 \hat{V}^{(3)} + \beta_$ we could optionally impose the constraint that Oswmel and Ewm=1. · We can estimate model weights based on training set performance we should get weights based on cross-validated performance, or else we will give too much weight to models that overfit treining dates. Process: 1. Get cross-valiabled predictions for each "stage 1" or "compaent" Estimation! 2. Create a new data set where the "explorationy warebles" are design netric X the cross-validated predictions from the component models 3. Fit a "stige 2" /enemble model to predict the repone based on composent model predictions 4. Pe-fit each composent model to the full training set of get predictions for the lest set set with test set predictions som composent models 5. Create a new dester set with test set predictions som composent models 6. Predict using steps 2 model som step 3 & predictions show step 5.