MATH 60604A Exercise 6

6.1 The dataset and the description below come from OpenBugs examples, following the study

H. Goldstein *et al.* (1993). *A Multilevel Analysis of School Examination Results*, Oxford Review of Education, **19** (4), pp. 425–433.

The authors analyse exam results from inner London schools and student to study study the between-school variation in order to provide ranking of school.

Standardized mean examination scores were available for 1978 pupils from 38 different schools. Pupil-level covariates included gender plus a standardized London Reading Test (LRT) score and a verbal reasoning (VR) test category (1, 2 or 3, where 1 represents the highest ability group) measured when each child was aged 11. Each school was classified by gender intake (all girls, all boys or mixed) and denomination (Church of England, Roman Catholic, State school or other); these were used as categorical school-level covariates. Both the London reading test score and the verbal reasoning test were performed at the beginning of the year.

The goldstein data contains the following variables:

- score: standardized end-of-year exam score for each pupil,
- school: school id,
- LRT: London reading test score,
- VR: verbal reasoning (VR) test category (1, 2 or 3, where 1 represents the highest ability group and 3 the lowest),
- gender: gender of pupil, either female (0) or male (1),
- type: type of school, either all-girl schools, all-boy school or mixed,
- · denom: denomination of school, either Church of England, Roman Catholic, state or other.
- (a) Give the range of the number of pupils per school and use this information to determine if it is feasible to estimate a fixed (group-)effect for school.
- (b) Write down the equation of the postulated model for score that includes LRT, VR, sex, type and denom as fixed effects and school as random effect, with VR=3, mixed for type and other for denom as baseline categories. Fit the model and interpret the significant parameters associated to the fixed effects.
- (c) One could consider adding VR as random effect as opposed to fixed effect. Which of the two makes the most sense and how do the models conceptually differ?
- (d) Using the fitted model (with a random intercept for school), obtain the estimated covariance matrix for school 37 and explain how to obtain it given the estimated covariance parameters. Write down the proportion of the total variance due to school.
- (e) Produce a normal quantile-quantile plot of the predicted random effects for school and hence comment on the model assumption for the random effect.
- (f) The goal of Goldstein *et al.* (1993) was to rank schools. What is the benefit of pooling information from schools in order to estimate their average score? Plot the predicted school random effect as a function of school id, with prediction intervals based on the formula $\hat{b}_i \pm 1.96 \text{se}(\hat{b}_i)$ (you may need to perform obtain the bounds manually). What is the predicted top five ranking? Why is this information alone not sufficient for ranking the schools?

 $page\ 1\ of\ 2$

MATH 60604A Exercise 6

6.2 **Gender disparities in GSCE scores**: the data are from *The Associated Examining Board* in Guildford, and contains 1905 records. They have been used to examine the relationship between candidates' sex and their examination performances in

Cresswell, M.J. (1990). *Gender Effects in GCSE: Some Initial Analyses*, Associated Examining Board Research Report RAC/517.

The General Certificate of Secondary Education (GSCE) is a national exam administered in the UK that leads to high school degree. The variables include

- center: categorical variable identifying the 72 examination centers.
- sex: binary indicator, equal to zero for male (0) and unity for female (1).
- result: result on the GCSE exam
- coursework: grades on related coursework evaluated by candidate's teacher.

The response variable is result. Fit the following three models, including sex and coursework as covariates in each.

- Model 6.2.1, a linear regression model with a compound symmetry covariance on the errors within-center.
- Model 6.2.2, a linear regression model with fixed effect for center and independent errors.
- Model 6.2.3, a mixed model with a random intercept in each center and independent error terms.
- (a) Briefly explain why it could be legitimate to model the correlation in these data. State what the "group" would represent in this context.
- (b) Explain the main benefit of using Model 6.2.3 over Model 6.2.1.
- (c) Explain the two main benefits of using Model 6.2.3 over Model 6.2.2.
- (d) Write down the postulated covariance matrix **within a center** with three candidates for both the response Y and the errors ε for each of Models 6.2.1 and 6.2.3.
- (e) What is the estimated correlation between two individuals in different center according to Model 6.2.3?
- (f) What is the estimated correlation between two individuals in the same center according to Model 6.2.3?
- (g) Would a first-order autoregressive model, AR(1), be adequate for modelling the within-group correlation in this problem? Briefly explain why or why not.
- (h) Using Model 6.2.3, predict the GSCE score for a women who obtained 91 on her coursework and takes the examination in center 2.
- (i) Using Model 6.2.3, what will the average score be for men candidates whose average score for coursework is 100 and who take their examination in a newly opened center?
- (j) Is the coefficient for sex in Model 6.2.3 statistically significant? Justify your answer and interpret the estimated coefficient.

page 2 of 2 Compiled 02/10/2020 at 13:41