

# **Panel Data**

**w. Vicente Royuela Mora**  
**Universitat de Barcelona**

Complementary course notes

Thor Donsby Noe \*

November 21, 2018

---

\*Department of Economics, University of Copenhagen, Øster Farimagsgade 5, DK-1353 Copenhagen K, Denmark (e-mail: jwz766@alumni.ku.dk)

CONTENTS

- 1 Introduction 3
- 2 Fixed Effects estimators 3
  - 2.1 Simultaneous equations models with exogenous explanatory variables . . . . . 3
  - 2.2 The fixed effects model . . . . . 3
  - 2.3 Within and between estimators . . . . . 3
  - 2.4 Effects of group and time . . . . . 3
- 3 Random effects estimator 3
  - 3.1 The random effects model . . . . . 4
  - 3.2 The generalized least squares estimation . . . . . 4
  - 3.3 Feasible Generalized Lest squares (unkown ) . . . . . 4
- 4 Fixed effects vs. random estimator 4
  - 4.1 The Breush Pagan test . . . . . 4
  - 4.2 The Hausman test . . . . . 4
  - 4.3 Long run vs. short run effects . . . . . 5
- 5 Heteroskedasticity and autocorrelation in panel data 5
  - 5.1 Heteroskedasticity in FE model . . . . . 5
  - 5.2 Heteroskedasticity in RE model . . . . . 5
  - 5.3 Autocorrelation in the FE model . . . . . 5
  - 5.4 Autocorrelation in the RE model . . . . . 5
- 6 Incomplete/unbalanced panels 6
- 7 Dynamic panels 6
  - 7.1 GMM estimation . . . . . 6

## 1 INTRODUCTION

Example macro panel data:  
Maddison, 2007, and IFS

- Formatting data
- Descriptive statistics
- Graphs
- Maps

## 2 FIXED EFFECTS ESTIMATORS

### 2.1 Simultaneous equations models with exogenous explanatory variables

Four different models:

M1:  $\alpha \neq, \beta \neq$ . Estimation individual by individual (GLS).

M2:  $\alpha =, \beta =$ . Equal constant terms and slopes (presence of homogeneity).

M3:  $\alpha \neq, \beta =$ . Equal slopes, different constant terms.

M4:  $\alpha =, \beta \neq$ . Equal constant terms, different slopes.

Choosing between them using

#### Test for Homogeneity:

- Estimate the extended/unrestricted model.
- Estimate the restricted model.

- $H_0$  : Homogeneity (the unrestricted is not better than the restricted).  
Reject  $H_0$  if the F-value is higher than the critical value of the F-distribution.

$$F = \frac{(SSR_R - SSR_{UR})/r}{SSR_{UR}/df}$$

In ML we maximize the probability.

In OLS we don't care about the variance.

### 2.2 The fixed effects model

$\alpha_i$  is a parameter capturing the individual effect (time-invariant!)

$$y_{it} = i\alpha_i + X_{it}\beta + \varepsilon_{it}$$

$$\Rightarrow y = \begin{bmatrix} i & X \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \alpha \\ \beta \end{bmatrix} + \varepsilon$$

Leasy Squares Dummy Variables (LSDV):

- FE model: All variables are within-transformed e.g the deviations from the mean.

Test the homogeneity analysis:

$$H_0 : \alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = \dots = \alpha_M$$

### 2.3 Within and between estimators

The overall variance: Weighted variation between the within-variance and between-variance.

### 2.4 Effects of group and time

## 3 RANDOM EFFECTS ESTIMATOR

- 3.1 The random effects model
- 3.2 The generalized least squares estimation
- 3.3 Feasible Generalized Lest squares (unkown )

4

4 FIXED EFFECTS VS. RANDOM ESTIMATOR

- FE allows for correlation with  $y_{it}$  - and has explanatory power.
- RE doesn't allow for correlation with  $y_{it}$  - the effect is random. Nor does is allow for correlation with  $\varepsilon_{it}$ .

Check if there are individual effects;

- $H_0 : \alpha = 0$ ? poolability test / homogeneity test.
- $H_0 : \sigma^2_{\xi} = 0$ ? Breush-Pagan test.

|      | No endogeneity           | Endogeneity         |
|------|--------------------------|---------------------|
| R.E. | Consist. & Efficient     | Inconsistent        |
| F.E. | Consist. but inefficient | Consist. but ineff. |

Table 1: Endogeneity problems

- 4.1 The Breush Pagan test

4.2 The Hausman test

  - FE -  $\beta^{FE}$
  - FE -  $\beta^{RE}$
  - $(\ )\beta^{FE} - \beta^{RE}$ ?
- Diff(FE-BE) → estimate 'pseudo' Hausman test.

→ Allows for including 'fixed' variables (with no time-variation)

RE:

Problems:

$$y_{it} + x_{it}\beta + \psi_i + \varepsilon_{it}$$

- Hausman assumptions
- We cannot use "fixed" variables (no time variation).

Mundlak regression:  
Including both RE and BE.

The Mundlak estimation:

$$y_{it} + (x_{it} - \bar{x}_i)\beta^w + \bar{x}_i\beta^b + \psi_i + \varepsilon_{it}$$

- Allows joint estimation F.E. / BE
- Is just an instrumental regression!

Standard errors are unreliable and  $R^2$  is lower.

- FE: We capture anything permanent for any individual in  $\alpha_i$ 
  - Get rid of anything permanent.
  - Good for controlling.
    - We don't know what the individual effects mean though!
- Mundlak: We 'only' capture anything permanent considered in  $\bar{x}_i$

Increasing the data set (information)

- Hausman test: Is likely to find endogeneity though the difference in coefficients is very small.

## 4.3 Long run vs. short run effects

Baltagi & Griffin (1984) "Short and Long Run Effects in Pooled Models".

- Between est. → LR effect.
- Within est. → SR effect.
- OLS and RE → average of SR & LR effect.

Inequality and growth

- Positive in the SR
- Negative in the LR

## 5 HETEROSKEDASTICITY AND AUTOCORRELATION IN PANEL DATA

- Hausman test
- Non-spherical disturbances.
  - Heteroscedasticity
  - Correlation
  - Autocorrelation

Heteroscedasticity over time:  $\sigma_\varepsilon^2$

### 5.3 Autocorrelation in the FE model

In eq. 5.1 it can be that

$$\varepsilon_{it} = V_{it} + \theta V_{it-1}$$

$$\rightarrow \text{AR}(1) \rightarrow \varepsilon_{it} = \rho_1 \varepsilon_{it-1} + v_{it}$$

### 5.1 Heteroskedasticity in FE model

$$y_{it} = \alpha_i + x_{it}\beta + \varepsilon_{it} \quad (5.1)$$

Heteroscedasticity over time:  $\sigma_\varepsilon^2$

Assumption: Everyone is homogenous in their autocorrelation parameter.

Consistency can come from either a high number of N or T.

### 5.2 Heteroskedasticity in RE model

$$y_{it} = x_{it}\beta + \zeta_i + \varepsilon_{it} \quad (5.2)$$

Heteroscedasticity over individuals:  $\sigma_\zeta^2$

### 5.4 Autocorrelation in the RE model

We need to start with the FE estimation

→ To get a consistent estimate of  $\rho$ .

- Proceed to estimate either a FE or RE model.

### 6 INCOMPLETE/UNBALANCED PANELS

Incomplete panels are similar to heteroscedasticity issues.

- Some issues can be fixed with weighting observations.

- For some procedures we will need complete panels though.

### 7 DYNAMIC PANELS