### **Ecological Economics**

### w. Monica Serrano Gutierrez Universitat de Barcelona

Course notes
Thor Donsby Noe \*

November 13, 2018

<sup>\*</sup>Department of Economics, University of Copenhagen, Øster Farimagsgade 5, DK-1353 Copenhagen K, Denmark (e-mail: jwz766@alumni.ku.dk)

### Contents

1	War	Warming up			
	1.1	Constanza et al (2015) Time to leave GDP behind	3		
	1.2	Rodrik, D. (2015) Economics Rules: The Rights and Wrongs of the Dismal Science	3		
	1.3	The four laws of thermodynamis	3		
	1.4	Environmental Economics vs. Ecological Economics	4		
2	The economy as an open system				
	2.1	Growth, technology and the environment	5		
	2.2	Inequality, consumption & environment	5		
	2.3	Fragmentation, trade & encvironment	6		
3	Price input-output model				
	3.1	Discussion	7		
	3.2	Single Region IO Model: Autarky	7		
	3.3	Single Region IO Model: Open Economy	7		
4	International Databases for the economy and the environment				
	4.1	Structure	8		
5	Combining Micro and Macro				
	5.1	Disaggregating the consumption vector	8		
	5.2	The price IO model	8		
	5.3	Examples	9		
	5.4	Possible extensions	9		

"As economists we only see a part of the picture"

Monica Serrano Gutierrez

#### 1 WARMING UP

### 1.1 Constanza et al (2015) Time to leave GDP behind

by Costanza, R., I.Kubiszewski, E. Giovanini, H. Lovins, J. McGlade, K.E. Pickett, K.V. Ragnarsdóttir, D. Roberts, R. de Vogli & R. Wilkinson (2014), Nature (link)

GDP measures "everything except that which makes life worthwhile"

Robert F. Kennedy

GDP is a good measure for the flow of everything that has a market price - mot as an indicator of well-being or environment. **Alternative measures** should take into account

- Happiness
- Prosperity
- Environment
- Development

# 1.2 Rodrik, D. (2015) Economics Rules: The Rights and Wrongs of the Dismal Science

An economist should have as many different models as possible in her toolbox

 $\rightarrow$  choose the better model(s) for the specific research question.

Our models are partial, thus, our conclusions are partial.

#### 1.3 The four laws of thermodynamis

- 1<sup>st</sup> Law of thermodynamis: Energy can neither be created nor destroyed, but can change formms and flow from one place to another.
- 2<sup>nd</sup> Law of thermodynamis: The irreversibility of natural processes, and, in many cases, the tendency of natural processes to lead towards spatial homogeneity of matter and energy.

Important works on environmental economics

- Pigout (1920): Taxing externalities.
- Coase (NPE 1991): Contracting between parties.
- Elinor Ostrom (NPE 2012): Some communities use other mechanisms than the market for allocations etc. and it's better than the market!
- Richard H. Thaler (NPE 2017): Behavioral economics (interests of firms).
- William Nordhaus (NPE 2018): For integrating climate change into long-run macroeconomic analysis.

## 1.4 Environmental Economics vs. Ecological Economics

"We cannot solve our problems with the same thinking we used when we created them"

Albert Einstein

#### **Ecological Economics**

- Sustainability of the world as a whole.
- Looking at the world as a whole, i.e. no such thing as externalities.

#### **Environmental Economics**

- Sustainability: Of the economy.
- Negative externalities: To the economy (the core).
  - Uncompensated (adverse) impact of one person's action on the wellbeing of a bystander.
  - Causes markets to be inefficient, and thus to maximize total surplus, e.g. pollution.
  - Coase theorem: if private parties can bargain without cost over the allocation of resources, they can solve the problem of externalities on their own.
  - Government action: Regulations (permits) or taxations (market correcting solution).

#### The Climate:

Average weather conditions that can be Freon gas - the only successfull negotiation.

observed locally regionally or globally. Changes with or without human impact.

#### Global warming:

- This is what is important!
- Designates the increase of average temperature

#### • Global public good:

Standard solutions to tragedy of the commons:

- Price market-based policy: Carbon tax: Arthur Pigou (1920) The Economics of Welfare
- Quantity market-based policy:
   Cap-and-trade system: Ronald
   Coase (1920) The problem of social
   cost
- Alternative methods: Polycentric approach (consensus): Elinor Ostrom (2012) GLobal Environmental Commons (NP, 2009).

Options to manage the "global common"

- Free rider problem: Westphalian nature of the current system of nations
- Problem of responsibility

### History of international climate negotiations

1987: Montreal: Agreement about the Freon gas - the only succesfull negotiation.

#### 2 The economy as an open system

Using Input-Output analysis to answer the questions.

#### 2.1 Growth, technology and the environment

**Environmental Kuznets Curve** 

- Classical Kuznets Curve: Inequality will rise with GDP growth - but will fall again.
- Evidence about the existence of an is not conclusive (papers for and against).
  - For: Looking at Freon and other CFCs, HCHCs and HFCs (Montreal, 1987).
  - Against: Most work on GHGs.
  - Increased international trade.

 Delocalization of CO<sub>2</sub>: Moving industries → can increase emission intensity, but not true for all sectors!

What has been the role of:

- Technology?
- Population growth?
- Level of consumption per capita?
- Composition or structure of the consumption?
- Changes in trade structure?

Insert graph of main determinants of change in global GHG in CO2-equivalents, s. 139! What about non-GHG? Insert: Drivers of emission growth for Spain 1995-2000, s. 143!

#### **Innovation** - examples:

- Energy (fuel) efficiency:
  - Reduction of related emissions
  - Rebound effect: Direct and indirect (sectors providing inputs to the sector)
  - Jevons Paradox: Fuel is more effective → cheaper → more cardriving
- Electric car:
  - Reduction of local emissions
  - Benefits for population health

- Provision of additional electric demand: coal vs. renewal? (a mix)
- 3D printing
  - Reduction of scrap or production waste.
  - Reduction of emissions from transport.
  - But: Do we end up with more consumption and end-oflife waste?

You cannot think about the economy and the environment in linear terms!

#### 2.2 Inequality, consumption & environment

Demand-graph

Does a more equal distribution increase pollution?

- China: Middle-class increase consumption and pollution
- India: Religion plays a big role, e.g. vegetarian
- Engel Curve: The consumption changes from neccesities towards luxuries with income.

Policy maker: It is important to design

- Climate policies does not increate economic inequalities
- Inequality reduction policies that do not increase GHG emissions.

Households' role is partially hidden in environmental statistics

- Statistics based on territorial or production based-approach.
- Only *direct* household emissions are considered (e.g. driving, cooking, painting).

insert two graphs from Serrano (2008) *Economic activity and atmospheric pollution in Spain: An Input—Output Approach* 

#### Disaggregating the consumption vector

• Expenditure versus income?

- How does savings/investments pollute?
- Different size or compusition of households?
  - Per-capita expenditure and emissions
  - Equivalent expenditure and emissions
  - Grouping households according to their size
  - Multivariate regressions
- Across countries
  - E.g. consumption's share of health and education is not recorded if provided for free.
- Bridge matrices?
  - Different classifications, different criteria (micro data on households cannot be aggregated to the whole population)

Difference between households

- Income
- Expenditure
- Settlement, i.e. municipality size
  - 2050: 2/3 of global population is expected to live in cities
- Development related to growth etc.?

Spain 2000: The richer pollute more in absolute terms, but less in relative terms. insert graphs, s.169, 173, 180

### 2.3 Fragmentation, trade & encyironment

**Emissions from Transport** 

- International transport only grew a little
- Within-country transport exploded!

'Value added' measure can account for global value chains (intermediate goods).

#### Conclusion

- It seems like global trade has little effect on emissions
  - But you need to account for all of the product chain!

#### 3 PRICE INPUT-OUTPUT MODEL

#### 3.1 Discussion

#### Main features of Input-Output analysis:

- 'From craddle to grave'
- Each row can use a different measure (we don't need to translate everything into Euroes).
- Technology (*A*) is constant within each year.
- → Framework can be used to place the 'responsibility' of all pollution through the production-chain on the final demand.

Assuming perfect competition (and information):

- Tariff on the producer should carry through to the market prices.
- → The reaction of demand should be equal to that of a final goods tariff.

In a 2<sup>nd</sup> best World:

- → A tax on the producer is not necessarily passed on to the consumer.
- $\rightarrow$  Lobbies have a lot of power.
- → The consumer doesn't know what's behind the price → would (probably) act more on a tax if reported as a part of the price? (plane tickets?).
- → With barcodes the production and thus pollution of a product can be traced.

#### 3.2 Single Region IO Model: Autarky

#### **Total output:**

$$x = (I - A)^{-1} y$$

Where the element  $x_i$  for sector i is given by:

$$x_i = \frac{\delta x_i}{\delta \dots} \dots$$

#### See slide 14 in updated 5\_EE

i.e. the output from sector i due to final demand of it's product (including intermediate products from the sector itself) plus the output from sector i due to the demand of intermediate products from sector i to each of the other sectors  $j \neq i$ .

## 3.3 Single Region IO Model: Open Economy

#### **Domestic Technology Assumption (DTA):**

Assumes imported goods are produced with the technology observed for the relevant domestic sector

- Strong assumption! Some sectors doesn't even exist domestically.
- For single region analysis in OECDcountries it can be preferred though, e.g.
  - Hundreds of different sectors in single-region database;
  - $-\sim$  30 sectors in a global database.

# 4 International Databases for the economy and the environment

#### 4.1 Structure

#### Supply and Use Tables:

- The real World
- Covers all kind of producs and industries both produced and used by each industry and for each product.
- Is aggregated to IO-tables.
- 4 different ways to create IO-tables:
  - 1. Industry by industry

- $\rightarrow$  E.g. impact on employment
- 2. Product by product
  - $\rightarrow$  E.g. environmental tariffs
- 3. Fixed: Techonology.
  - $\rightarrow$  E.g. Economic structure.
- Fixed: Selling structure (proportion of sales to each sector is not going to change).
  - $\rightarrow$  E.g. If amount of sellings is fixed by trade agreements.

#### 5 COMBINING MICRO AND MACRO

### 5.1 Disaggregating the consumption vector

Disaggregating household consumption column in final demand

- Income (different groups)
  - Household composition: Number, age, culture
  - Engel Curve: Food consumption decrease in income, luxury goods (services, medicine, airconditioning) increase in income.
- Gender: Needs to look at noncouples only.
- By municipality / settlement size

#### Emissions of consumption

- Direct household emissions:
  - Fuel, gas, hot water.

- Indirect household emissions:
  - Consumption of services and goods.

#### 5.2 The price IO model

- A 'long run' or 'supply' price model
- All producers fully pass their costs on to the buyers
  - $\rightarrow$  The consumers pays the cost
- Welfare analysis (of consumption basket)
  - Consumption patterns are fixed (no substitution).
  - Revenues from tax do not contribute to welfare.
  - → We calculate the maximum welfare loss.
- The dual approach to consumption base accounting

Read the columns instead of the rows (needs to be in monetary terms).

• The value of the outputs = value of intermediate inputs + input of factors of productions (VA).

$$p_j x_j = p_1 z_{1j} + p_1 z_{1j} + \dots + p_n z_{nj} + w l_j$$
  
 $p' = p' A + v'$   
 $p' = v' (I - A)^{-1} = v L$ 

#### 5.3 Examples

#### 1. What does a CO2 tax cost consumers?

Monetary cost of CO2

- Low
- Medium
- High

Four scenarios:

- I. Kyoto protocol targets
- II. I + China same % as USA = 13.3 bT (93% of 1990)
- III. I + China same amount as USA = 1.8 bT (130% of 1990)
- IV. All non-annex B countries but China same % as the USA (93% of 1990)

Simulated maximum increase in price (%):

	Low tax	High tax
I.	0.08	0.30
II.	0.18	0.69
III.	0.13	0.50
IV.	0.37	1.40

#### 2. Tax rates by type of GHG

Simulation of a policy proposal.

Taking existing national taxes into account:

- If  $T_{EU} > T_N$ : Analyze tax increase.
- If  $T_{EU} \leq T_N$ : No tax increase.

### 3. Unilateral Carbon Border Tax (CBTA)

E.g. EU tax

- → Loss of competitiveness → Outsourcing (emission leakage)
  - CBTA on emissions embodied in imports.

#### 5.4 Possible extensions

Elasticities of substitution

- Can be added inside the A matrix (technical coefficients)
- Econometric models (used a lot by the EU)
  - JRC: Different units combining IOT with complex models.
     e.g. the FIDELIO
    - o.g. the FIDEDIC
    - \* IO w. elasticities
    - \* Econometrics
    - \* CGE (macro)

Social Accounting Matrix (SAM)

- Flows of income
  - Taxes
  - Transfers
  - Investments
- Financial SAM flows from banks
  - Savings
  - Investments
  - Transfers