LECTURE 21: MONTE CARLO METHODS

STAT 598z: Introduction to computing for statistics

Vinayak Rao

Department of Statistics, Purdue University

April 13, 2017

We want to calculate integrals/summations

• often expectations w.r.t. some probability distribution p(x)

$$\mu := \mathbb{E}_p[f] = \int_{\mathcal{X}} f(x) p(x) dx$$

We want to calculate integrals/summations

• often expectations w.r.t. some probability distribution p(x)

$$\mu := \mathbb{E}_p[f] = \int_{\mathcal{X}} f(x) p(x) dx$$

What is the prob. a game of patience (solitaire) is solvable?

$$P(\text{Solvable}) = \frac{1}{|\Pi|} \sum_{\Pi} \mathbb{1}(\Pi \text{ is solvable})$$

We want to calculate integrals/summations

• often expectations w.r.t. some probability distribution p(x)

$$\mu := \mathbb{E}_p[f] = \int_{\mathcal{X}} f(x) p(x) dx$$

If we drop 3 points on the plane, each Gaussian distributed, what is average the area of the resulting triangle?

$$\mathbb{E}[A] = \int A(x_1, x_2, x_3) p(x_1) p(x_2) p(x_3) dx_1 dx_2 dx_3$$

We want to calculate integrals/summations

• often expectations w.r.t. some probability distribution p(x)

$$\mu := \mathbb{E}_p[f] = \int_{\mathcal{X}} f(x) p(x) dx$$

For dataset (X, y), what is is average loss if you randomly choose a weight-vector according to some distribution (e.g. rnorm)?

$$\mathbb{E}_{w}[\mathcal{L}(X,y)] = \int (y - w^{T}X)^{2} p(w) dw$$

We want to calculate integrals:

$$\mu := \mathbb{E}_p[f] = \int_{\mathcal{X}} f(x)p(x)dx$$

We want to calculate integrals:

$$\mu := \mathbb{E}_p[f] = \int_{\mathcal{X}} f(x) p(x) dx$$

Sampling approximation: rather than visit all points in \mathcal{X} , calculate a summation over a finite set.

Monte Carlo approximation:

• Obtain points by sampling from p(x)

$$x_i \sim p$$

$$\hat{\mu} \approx \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} f(x_i)$$

- · Very simple
- Unbiased

- · Very simple
- Unbiased

If
$$x_i \sim p$$
,

$$\mathbb{E}_p[\hat{\mu}] = \mathbb{E}_p\left[\frac{1}{N}\sum_{i=1}^N f(x)\right] = \frac{1}{N}\sum_{i=1}^N \mathbb{E}_p[f] = \mu \qquad \text{Unbiased estimate}$$

- · Very simple
- Unbiased

If
$$x_i \sim p$$
,

$$\mathbb{E}_p[\hat{\mu}] = \mathbb{E}_p\left[\frac{1}{N}\sum_{i=1}^N f(x)\right] = \frac{1}{N}\sum_{i=1}^N \mathbb{E}_p[f] = \mu \qquad \text{Unbiased estimate}$$

$$\operatorname{Var}_{p}[\hat{\mu}] = \frac{1}{N} \operatorname{Var}_{p}[f],$$
 Error = StdDev $\propto N^{-1/2}$

- · In low-dims, use numerical methods like quadrature
- · In high-dims, numerical methods become infeasible

- · In low-dims, use numerical methods like quadrature
- In high-dims, numerical methods become infeasible E.g. Simpson's rule in *d*-dimensions, with *N* grid points:

error
$$\propto N^{-4/d}$$

Is this a good idea?

- · In low-dims, use numerical methods like quadrature
- In high-dims, numerical methods become infeasible E.g. Simpson's rule in *d*-dimensions, with *N* grid points:

error
$$\propto N^{-4/d}$$

Monte Carlo integration:

error
$$\propto N^{-1/2}$$

Is this a good idea?

- · In low-dims, use numerical methods like quadrature
- In high-dims, numerical methods become infeasible E.g. Simpson's rule in *d*-dimensions, with *N* grid points:

error
$$\propto N^{-4/d}$$

Monte Carlo integration:

error
$$\propto N^{-1/2}$$

Independent of dimensionality!

- The simplest useful probability distribution Unif(0,1).
- In theory, can be used to generate any other RV.
- Easy to generate uniform RVs on a deterministic computer?

- The simplest useful probability distribution Unif(0,1).
- · In theory, can be used to generate any other RV.
- Easy to generate uniform RVs on a deterministic computer?

No!

- The simplest useful probability distribution Unif(0,1).
- · In theory, can be used to generate any other RV.
- · Easy to generate uniform RVs on a deterministic computer?

No!

· Instead: pseudorandom numbers.

- The simplest useful probability distribution Unif(0,1).
- · In theory, can be used to generate any other RV.
- Easy to generate uniform RVs on a deterministic computer?

No!

- · Instead: pseudorandom numbers.
- · Map a seed to a 'random-looking' sequence.

- The simplest useful probability distribution Unif(0,1).
- In theory, can be used to generate any other RV.
- Easy to generate uniform RVs on a deterministic computer?

No!

- · Instead: pseudorandom numbers.
- Map a seed to a 'random-looking' sequence.
- Downside: http://boallen.com/random-numbers.html

- The simplest useful probability distribution Unif(0,1).
- · In theory, can be used to generate any other RV.
- Easy to generate uniform RVs on a deterministic computer?

No!

- · Instead: pseudorandom numbers.
- Map a seed to a 'random-looking' sequence.
- · Downside: http://boallen.com/random-numbers.html
- · Upside: Can use seeds for reproducibility or debugging

set.seed(1)

- The simplest useful probability distribution Unif(0,1).
- In theory, can be used to generate any other RV.
- Easy to generate uniform RVs on a deterministic computer?

No!

- · Instead: pseudorandom numbers.
- Map a seed to a 'random-looking' sequence.
- · Downside: http://boallen.com/random-numbers.html
- Upside: Can use seeds for reproducibility or debugging

set.seed(1)

· Careful with batch/parallel processing.

In R we generate uniform random variables via runif Additional functions include rnorm(), rgamma(), rexp(), sample() etc.

In R we generate uniform random variables via runif Additional functions include rnorm(), rgamma(), rexp(), sample() etc.

In theory, we can generate any other random variable by transforming a uniform (or any other) random variable:

$$u \sim \text{Unif}(0,1), \quad x = f(u)$$

In R we generate uniform random variables via runif Additional functions include rnorm(), rgamma(), rexp(), sample() etc.

In theory, we can generate any other random variable by transforming a uniform (or any other) random variable:

$$u \sim \text{Unif}(0,1), \quad x = f(u)$$

In practice, finding this f is too hard. Need other approaches.

There is a whole subfield of statistics addressing this.



x, y are a pair of dice. What is p(x, y)?



x, y are a pair of dice. What is p(x, y)?

What is
$$\mathbb{E}[\min(x, y)] = \sum_{i=1}^{6} \sum_{j=1}^{6} \min(x, y) p(x, y)$$



x, y are a pair of dice. What is p(x, y)?

What is $\mathbb{E}[\min(x, y)] = \sum_{i=1}^{6} \sum_{j=1}^{6} \min(x, y) p(x, y)$

Don't really need Monte Carlo here (but what if we had a 100 dice?), but let's try it anyway. How?



x, y are a pair of dice. What is p(x, y)?

What is $\mathbb{E}[\min(x, y)] = \sum_{i=1}^{6} \sum_{j=1}^{6} \min(x, y) p(x, y)$

Don't really need Monte Carlo here (but what if we had a 100 dice?), but let's try it anyway. How?

Roll a pair of dice N times. Call the ith outcome (x_i, y_i) . Then

$$\mathbb{E}[\min(x,y)] \approx \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \min(x_i, y_i)$$



Let C be the unit disc, i.e. all points (x, y) with $x^2 + y^2 \le 1$.

Its area is
$$A(C) = \int \int_{x,y \in C} dxdy$$

= $\int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{\infty} \delta_{C}((x,y)) dxdy$

Here $\delta_{\mathcal{C}}((x,y)) = 1$ if $x^2 + y^2 \le 1$, else it equals 0



Let C be the unit disc, i.e. all points (x, y) with $x^2 + y^2 \le 1$.

Its area is
$$A(C) = \int \int_{x,y \in C} dxdy$$

= $\int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{\infty} \delta_{C}((x,y)) dxdy$

Here $\delta_{\mathcal{C}}((x,y)) = 1$ if $x^2 + y^2 \le 1$, else it equals 0

How might we try to approximate this using Monte Carlo?

• What is the *f* and *p*?

One way: choose some probability distribution p(x, y) (e.g. both x and y are Gaussian distributed)

Then:

$$A(C) = \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \delta_C((x,y)) \, dx dy$$

$$= \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \frac{\delta_C((x,y))}{p(x,y)} p(x,y) dx dy$$

$$\approx \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{\delta_C((x_i,y_i))}{p(x_i,y_i)} \quad (Monte Carlo, with (x_i,y_i) \sim p)$$

One way: choose some probability distribution p(x, y) (e.g. both x and y are Gaussian distributed)

Then:

$$A(C) = \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \delta_C((x,y)) \, dx dy$$

$$= \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \frac{\delta_C((x,y))}{p(x,y)} p(x,y) dx dy$$

$$\approx \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{\delta_C((x_i,y_i))}{p(x_i,y_i)} \quad \text{(Monte Carlo, with } (x_i,y_i) \sim p)$$

In words, sample N points (x_i, y_i) from some distribution p, and plug them into the last equation above

```
N <- 1000 # Number of Monte Carlo simulations
x <- rnorm(N); y <- rnorm(N) # Sample N Gaussian pairs (x,y)
px <- dnorm(x); py <- dnorm(y)
pp <- px * py # Calculate their probabiliy
dd <- sqrt(x^2+y^2)
mc_est <- 1/N * sum(1/pp[dd<1]) # Monte Carlo estimate</pre>
```

RARE EVENT SIMULATION:



Let $X = (x_1, ..., x_{100})$ be a hundred dice. What is $p(\sum_{d=1}^{100} x_d \ge 450)$?

RARE EVENT SIMULATION:



Let $X=(x_1,\ldots,x_{100})$ be a hundred dice. What is $p(\operatorname{Sum}(X) \geq 450)$? (where $\operatorname{Sum}(X) = \sum_{d=1}^{100} x_d$)

RARE EVENT SIMULATION:



Let $X=(x_1,\ldots,x_{100})$ be a hundred dice. What is $p(\operatorname{Sum}(X) \geq 450)$? (where $\operatorname{Sum}(X) = \sum_{d=1}^{100} x_d$)

$$p(\operatorname{Sum}(X) \ge 450) = \sum_{n} \delta(\operatorname{Sum}(X) \ge 450) p(X)$$
$$= \mathbb{E}_{p}[\delta(\operatorname{Sum}(X) \ge 450)]$$

- · $\delta(\cdot)$ is the indicator function
- δ (condition) = 1 if condition is true, else 0.

NAIVE MONTE CARLO SAMPLING

- Propose from p(x)
- · Calculate $\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \delta(\operatorname{Sum}(X_i))$

NAIVE MONTE CARLO SAMPLING

- Propose from p(x)
- Calculate $\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \delta(\operatorname{Sum}(X_i))$

Most $\delta(Sum(X_i))$ terms will be 0

High variance

Importance sampling: assigns importance weights to samples.

Importance sampling: assigns importance weights to samples.

Scheme:

- · Draw a proposal x_i from $q(\cdot)$
- Assign it a weight $w_i = p(x_i)/q(x_i)$

Importance sampling: assigns importance weights to samples.

Scheme:

- · Draw a proposal x_i from $q(\cdot)$
- Assign it a weight $w_i = p(x_i)/q(x_i)$

$$\mu = \int_{\mathcal{X}} f(x)p(x)dx \approx \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} w_i f(x_i) := \mu_{imp}$$

Importance sampling: assigns importance weights to samples.

Scheme:

- Draw a proposal x_i from $q(\cdot)$
- Assign it a weight $w_i = p(x_i)/q(x_i)$

$$\mu = \int_{\mathcal{X}} f(x)p(x)dx \approx \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} w_i f(x_i) := \mu_{imp}$$

$$\mathbb{E}[\mu_{imp}] = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \mathbb{E}[w_i f(x_i)] = \int_{\mathcal{X}} \frac{p(x)}{q(x)} f(x) q(x) dx$$

IMPORTANCE SAMPLING (CONTD)

When does this make sense?

Sometimes it's easier to simulate from q(x) than p(x)

IMPORTANCE SAMPLING (CONTD)

When does this make sense?

Sometimes it's easier to simulate from q(x) than p(x)

Sometimes it's better to simulate from q(x) than p(x)!

To reduce variance. E.g. rare event simulation.

For 100 dice, what is p(Sum > 450)? A better choice might be to bias the dice.

E.g.
$$q(x_i = v) \propto v$$
 (for $v \in \{1, ... 6\}$)

For 100 dice, what is p(Sum > 450)? A better choice might be to bias the dice.

E.g.
$$q(x_i = v) \propto v$$
 (for $v \in \{1, ... 6\}$)

- Propose from q(x)
- Calculate weights $w(X_i) = p(X_i)/q(X_i)$
- · Calculate $\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} w(X_i) \delta(\operatorname{Sum}(X_i))$

For 100 dice, what is p(Sum > 450)? A better choice might be to bias the dice.

E.g.
$$q(x_i = v) \propto v$$
 (for $v \in \{1, ... 6\}$)

- Propose from q(x)
- Calculate weights $w(X_i) = p(X_i)/q(X_i)$
- Calculate $\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} w(X_i) \delta(\operatorname{Sum}(X_i))$

Gives a better estimate of $p(Sum(X) \ge 500) = \sum \delta(Sum(X) \ge 500) p(X)$