Lecture 9: Supervised learning

STAT598z: Intro. to computing for statistics

Vinayak Rao

Department of Statistics, Purdue University

Supervised learning

We are given training data $(X,Y)=\{(x_1,y_1),\cdots,(x_N,y_N)\}$

- X: independent variables, inputs, predictors, features
- Y: dependent variables, outputs, response

$$x \in R^P$$
 (usually)

- ullet regression: $y \in R$
- ullet classification: $y \in \{0,1\}$
- structured prediction: More complicated high-dimensional spaces with dependent components (e.g. the space of images or sentences)

We assume $y_i = f(x_i) + arepsilon_i$

 ε is noise (includes randomness and approximations)

• Independently and identically distributed (i.i.d.) according to some probability distribution (e.g. the Gaussian)

Given the training set (X, Y), we want to estimate f:

- to study the relation between x and y
- to make predictions of y's for unobserved x's

Good predictors can be hard to interpret

Parametric learning

Index functions f by a finite-dimensional parameter vector E.g. linear regression

- Parameters are coefficients of a hyperplane
- Parameters have a clear interpretation
- Can be a bad approximation of reality

Linear regression

via the lm function in R

```
In [ ]: DataIncm <- read.table('Data/Income2.csv',header=T,sep=',')
fit <- lm(Income ~ Education, DataIncm)</pre>
```

The first argument is a formula

- takes the form response ~ predictors
- response is a linear combination of predictors
- ullet above we have just one predictor: Education
- $Income = \beta_1 \cdot Education + \beta_0 + \epsilon$

Second argument unnecessary if variables in formula exist in current environment
See documentation for other optional arguments

Can print fit:

```
In [ ]: fit
```

This is not all the information in fit (why?)

- Try typeof(), class(), str()
- Try plotting it

```
In [ ]:
```

Observe fit contains the entire dataset!

Can disable with model = FALSE option

Can directly plot with ggplot:

Can regress against Seniority

Can regress against both Education and Seniority

• + does *not* mean input is sum of Educ. and Sen.

Rather: $Income = eta_2 \cdot Seniority + eta_1 \cdot Education + eta_0 + arepsilon$

For the former, use I:

fit <- lm(Income ~ I(Education + Seniority), DataIncm)

 $ullet \ Income = eta_1 \cdot (Seniority + Education) + eta_0 + arepsilon$

Prediction

```
In [ ]: fit <- lm(Income ~ Education + Seniority, DataIncm)
fit</pre>
```

How do we make predictions at a new set of locations? E.g. (15, 60) and (20, 160)?

```
In [ ]: pred_locn <- data.frame(Education=c(15,20), Seniority= c(60,160))
    predict.lm(fit, pred_locn)</pre>
```

```
In [ ]:
        edu pred <- 10:25
         sen pred <- seq(0,200,10)
         pred <- data.frame(Education=rep(edu pred, length(sen pred)),</pre>
                         Seniority=rep(sen_pred, each=length(edu pred) ))
         p val <- predict.lm(fit, pred)</pre>
         pred full <- cbind(pred,p val)</pre>
In [ ]:
        plt <- ggplot(DataIncm, aes(x=Education, y=Seniority,</pre>
                                    color=Income))+
             geom_tile(data=pred_full, aes(x=Education, y=Seniority,
                                             color=p val, fill=p val)) +
             geom point(size=1) + theme(text=element text(size=10)) +
             scale color continuous(low='blue', high='orange') +
             scale_fill_continuous(low='blue', high='orange') +
             geom point(shape=1,size=1,color='black') +
               guides(fill=FALSE)
```

In []:	plt	

Specifying a model for lm

Symbol	Meaning	Example
+	Include variable	x + y
:	Interaction between vars	x + y + z + x:z + y:z
*	Variables and interactions	(x + y) * z
٨	Vars and intrcns to some order	$(x + y + z)^3$
-	Delete variable	$(x + y + z)^3 - x:y:z$
poly	Polynomial terms	poly(x,3) + (x + y) * z
I	New combination of vars	I(x*y + z)
1	Intercept	x - 1

See documentation and http://ww2.coastal.edu/kingw/statistics/R-tutorials/formulae.html (http://ww2.coastal.edu/kingw/statistics/R-tutorials/formulae.html)

Generalized linear model

A linear model with Gaussian noise is often inappropriate. E.g.

- response is always positive
- count valued response
- {0, 1} or binary-valued as in classification

A better model might be:

$$response = g(\sum_{i=1}^{N} eta_i \cdot predictor_i) + arepsilon$$

g is a 'link' function, arepsilon is no longer Gaussian

Can fit in R with glm() (see documentation)