Lect. 16: Object-oriented programming in R

STAT598z: Intro. to computing for statistics

Vinayak Rao

Department of Statistics, Purdue University

functions: an abstraction to encourage modular code:

- Reusables block of code
- Define operations to apply to any input

Object-oriented programming is another kind of abstraction

Object-oriented programming is another kind of abstraction:

- Encapsulation: Pack data and functions into classes
- *Polymorphism*: Same functions act differently across classes
- *Inheritence*: Write child classes without copying parent classes

Components of OOP:

Classes: A template for an object (e.g. purdue)

• Defines 'properties' of objects (e.g. name, puid)

Objects An instance of a class (e.g. varao)

• Values assigned to properties (name = 'vinayak')

Methods Functions aware of properties of the object

• (e.g. isFaculty())

Why object oriented programming (OOP)?

- 1) Useful to group variables together
 - An object is basically a list, with the class attribute set
 - A constructor creates objects of a class

```
In [ ]: new_purdue <- function(name, puid, employee ) {
   obj <- list(name = name, puid = puid, employee = employee)
     class(obj) <- 'purdue'; return(obj)
   }
   varao <- new_purdue('Vinayak', 1234, 'faculty' )</pre>
```

Why object oriented programming (OOP)?

- 2) Tying methods to objects:
 - Increase capability of software without increasing complexity for user (*Chambers*): e.g. print vs printMatrix
 - Protects users from implementation details. User only needs to know an interface, and doesn't care about insides. (E.g. varao\$employee =='faculty' vs isFaculty(varao))

Object oriented (OO) systems in R

R has three OO systems:

- S3: most common OO system in R
- S4: like S3, but more formal
- Reference classes (RC): new, and like OO in other languages

We will concentrate on S3

Suppose varao is an object of class purdue

Can write a function print.purdue() and call when needed

Simpler/clearer to just use print()

Two OO paradigms:

Methods in classes

- Would look like varao.print()
- C++, python, java, (also the RC system in R)
- methods are 'attached' to objects

Generic functions

- Would look like print(varao)
- The S3 and S4 systems in R
- Define method print.purdue() but call print()
- print is a **generic** function that **dispatches** methods

In most OOP languages, methods belong to objects
In R, methods belong to generic functions

• uses UseMethod() to call method based on object class

methods gives you all methods associated with a generic

```
In [ ]: methods(print)
In [ ]: methods('[')
```

Can also give all methods associated with a class

```
In [ ]: methods(class= 'matrix')
```

ftype() can tell generics from methods

```
In [ ]: library('pryr')
  ftype(print)
  ftype(print.data.frame)
```

Why do we need language support for OOP?

Can't we just modify if conditions inside print?

• Don't want to have to change R code for e.g. print

R's OOP support allows

- extending functionality without touching existing code
- fewer bugs

The S3 system

S3 can be viewed as a naming convention:

- methods look like generic.class()
- e.g. print.table accessed via the generic print

print(varao) will

- look for print.purdue()
- If no such function, will call print.default()

In []:	print(varao)

```
In [ ]: print.purdue <- function(x) {
    cat(x$name, ' (PUID:', x$puid,') is ', x$employee, ' at Purdue\n'
    )
    }
    print(varao)</pre>
```

Inheritence

- An object need not be assigned to just one class
- Classes are from most to least specific

```
In [ ]: gpa.grad <- function(x) print(x$gpa)
   gpa(ab12) # We don ' t have a generic yet!</pre>
```

In []: print(ab12)

Can also reuse methods using NextMethod()

```
In [ ]: print.grad <- function(x) {
   NextMethod(print)
   cat( ' \n Has GPA ' , x$gpa)
}</pre>
In [ ]: print(ab12)
```

Writing generic functions

We've seen how to write methods

To write a generic use UseMethod()

gpa <- function(x) UseMethod('gpa')</pre>

Essentially creates vector:

paste0('gpa.',c(class(x), default)

Searches from left to right for function that exists

If it finds one, calls it, else returns error

Example

Imagine a vector that you wanted to always view backwards

• A stack where new jobs are added to the top

You want to hide from the user that it's stored forwards

```
In [1]: my_path <- c('right turn', 'cross street', 'climb stairs')
    class(my_path) <- 'stack'
    print(my_path)

[1] "right turn" "cross street" "climb stairs"
    attr(,"class")
    [1] "stack"

In [6]: print.stack <- function(x) print(rev(x))
    print(my_path) # Are you surprised this works?

[1] "right turn" "cross street" "climb stairs"</pre>
```

```
In [12]: '[.stack' <- function(x,i) {
    class(x) <- NULL  # why do we need this?
    x[length(x)+1-i]
}
# warning: this messes up your previous print function</pre>
```

In [10]: my_path[1]

'climb stairs'

Object oriented programming

A powerful way to organize software

Allows you to build on existing software without changing it

Can avoid a bewildering set of new names for a generic task

S3 is a very informal system with no real checks

Can assign any class to any object

Can cause trouble if you're not careful