TIME ITERATION

Johannes Brumm

Karlsruhe Institute of Technology (KIT)

ZICE 2017

Agenda

- The (basic) time iteration algorithm
- The endogenous grid method
- Going non-Pareto-optimal: An example with heterogeneous agents and short-sale constraints
- Going high-dimensional: Adaptive simplicial interpolation (on separate slides)

Time Iteration: The Idea

Goes back to Coleman (1990) who calls it "policy-function iteration". We follow Judd (1998) in calling it time iteration (TI).

- Start with a guess for the policy function 'tomorrow'
- Find policy 'today' that is optimal given that policy function 'tomorrow'
- Use this policy as new guess and iterate
- Hope that this procedure converges, i.e. that the policy does (almost) not change anymore
- The final policy (almost) satisfies the Euler equation when used 'today' and 'tomorrow'
- Then we have found an (approximate) recursive equilibrium

Time Iteration Algorithm (for Ramsey model)

- Initial Step (Set grid, initial policy, and error tolerance)
 - a) Set capital grid $K = [K_1 \ K_2 \ \dots \ K_n] \in \mathbb{R}^n_+, \ K_j < K_{j+1} \ \forall \ j$
 - b) Set guess for policy function $p:[K_1,K_n]\to [K_1,K_n]$
 - c) Set error tolerance for time iteration $\bar{\epsilon} > 0$
- Main Step (Update policy function)
 - a) For all $1 \le j \le n$: **Solve** Euler equation

$$u'(\bar{f}(k) - k^{+}) - \beta \cdot \bar{f}'(k^{+}) \cdot u'(\bar{f}(k^{+}) - k^{++}) = 0$$

for optimal k^+ given $k = K_j$ and $k^{++} = p(k^+)$. Set $K_j^+ = k^+$.

- b) Approximate new policy \tilde{p} by $\left\{K_j, K_j^+\right\}_{j=1}^n$.
- Final Step (Check error criterion)
 - a) Calculate error: $\epsilon = \|\tilde{p} p\|_{\infty} / \|p\|_{\infty}$
 - b) Set $p = \tilde{p}$.
 - c) If $\epsilon < \overline{\epsilon}$, then stop and report results; otherwise go to step 1.

Choosing Equation Solver and Function Approximation

To implement policy function iteration, we need to choose:

- A method for solving equations, namely the Euler equation
- A method for approximating functions, namely the policy function

Depending on the problem, the best choices differ dramatically. It's the art of computational economics to know when to use what!

As a start, we make the simplest choices

- We use Newton's method to solve the Euler equation
- We use piecewise linear interpolation to approximate the policy function

The Stochastic Ramsey Model with Discrete Shocks

Choose $\{c_t, k_{t+1}\}_{t=0}^{\infty}$ to maximize $\mathbb{E}_0\left[U(\{c_t\}_{t=0}^{\infty})\right]$ subject to

$$\forall t \in \mathbb{N}_0: 0 \leq k_{t+1} \leq \underbrace{z_t f(k_t) + (1-\delta) k_t}_{\equiv \overline{f}(z_t, k_t)} - c_t, 0 \leq c_t, k_0, z_0 \text{ given}$$

where the expectation is over the sequence of stocks $\{z_t\}_{t=1}^{\infty}$ given z_0 which follow a discrete Markov process:

$$z_t \in \mathcal{Z} = \{1, \ldots, Z\}, \mathbb{P}(z_{t+1} = j | z_t = i) = \pi_{ij},$$

where π is the transition matrix. With a slight abuse of notation, we write $\pi(z_t, z_{t+1})$ for $\mathbb{P}(z_{t+1}|z_t)$.

Time Iteration Algorithm With Discrete Shocks

- Initial Step (Set grid, initial policy, and error tolerance)
 - a) Set capital grid $K = [K_1 \ K_2 \ \dots \ K_n] \in \mathbb{R}^n_+, \ K_j < K_{j+1} \ \forall \ j$
 - b) Set guess for policy function $p: \mathcal{Z} \times [K_1, K_n] \rightarrow [K_1, K_n]$
 - c) Set error tolerance for time iteration $\bar{\epsilon}_{it}>0$
- Main Step (Update policy function)
 - a) For all $z \in Z$ and for all $1 \le j \le n$: **Solve** Euler equation

$$u'(\bar{f}(k) - k^{+}) - \beta \sum_{z^{+} \in Z} \pi(z, z^{+}) \cdot \bar{f}'(k^{+}) \cdot u'(\bar{f}(k^{+}) - k^{++}(z^{+})) = 0$$

for optimal k^+ given $k = K_j$ and $k^{++}(z^+) = p(z^+, k^+)$. Set $K_j^+ = k^+$.

- b) Approximate new policy \tilde{p} by $\left\{K_j, K_j^+(z)\right\}_{1 \leq j \leq n, 1 \leq z \leq Z}$
- Final Step (Check error criterion)
 - a) Calculate error: $\epsilon_{it} = \|\tilde{p} p\|_{\infty} / \|p\|_{\infty}$
 - b) Set $p = \tilde{p}$
 - c) If $\epsilon_{it} < \overline{\epsilon}_{it}$, then stop and report results; otherwise go to step $\underline{1}$

Discussion of Time Iteration

- To get convergence of the policy function under TI, we need same assumptions as for convergence of the value function under value function iteration (VFI), plus . . .
 - Strict concavity u, to ensure that also policy functions converge under VFI, not just value functions
 - Differentiability of u and f, to ensure that we can use the Euler equation approach
- Interpolating the policy function "corresponds to" interpolating the first derivative of the value function. This has two advantages:
 - Interpolating the slope of the value function can give much more accurate result than interpolating the level of the value function.
 - One can use simpler interpolation methods for TI than for VFI:
 E.g. a piecewise linear interpolation of the slope of the value function corresponds to an interpolation of the value function that is differentiable and concavity preserving.

Endogenous Grid Method (EGM)

- Simple but powerful trick (from Carroll (2006EL)):
 Use a discrete grid for the optimal choice and trace back the state that induces this choice ⇒ get an "endogenous" grid for the state from exogenous grid on the choice
- Can be used in combination with
 - VFI (see, e.g., Barillas and Fernandez-Villaverde (2007JECD))
 - TI (see, e.g., Krueger and Ludwig (2006JME))
- To explain the main idea, we discuss an endogenous-grid time-iteration algorithm to solve the deterministic Ramsey model

EGM for Deterministic Ramsey Model

• Recall Euler equation:

$$u'(c) = \beta u' \Big(f(k^+) + (1 - \delta)k^+ - k^{++} \Big) \Big[f'(k^+) + (1 - \delta) \Big]$$

• Invert to get c:

$$c = u'^{-1} \left(\beta u' \Big(f(k^+) + (1 - \delta) k^+ - k^{++} \Big) \big[f'(k^+) + (1 - \delta) \big] \right)$$

• Let p(k) denote the policy function obtained in the previous iteration step of the time iteration procedure:

$$c = u'^{-1} \Biggl(\beta u' \Bigl(f(k^+) + (1 - \delta) k^+ - p(k^+) \Bigr) \bigl[f'(k^+) + (1 - \delta) \bigr] \Biggr)$$

⟨□⟩⟨□⟩⟨≡⟩⟨≡⟩⟨≡⟩ ≡ √0⟨○⟩

EGM procedure

Combine with resource constraint to get:

$$u'^{-1} \left(\beta u' \left(f(k^+) + (1 - \delta) k^+ - p(k^+) \right) \left[f'(k^+) + 1 - \delta \right] \right) + k^+$$

= $f(k) + (1 - \delta) k$

- An EGM time iteration procedure to solve the model is as follows:
 - ① Choose initial policy function p, set grid for capital choice $K^+ = [K_1^+ \ K_2^+ \ \dots \ K_n^+] \in \mathbb{R}_+^n, \ K_j^+ < K_{j+1}^+ \ \forall \ j$, and set $\overline{\epsilon} > 0$.
 - ② For each $k^+ \in K^+$ solve the above equation for k given $p(k^+)$. From the mapping $k^+ \to k$ we get updated policy function $k^+ = \tilde{p}(k)$.
 - ③ If $\|\tilde{p} p\|_{\infty}/\|p\|_{\infty} < \bar{\epsilon}$ then stop and report results; otherwise set $p = \tilde{p}$ and go to step 1.

- 4 ロ ト 4 園 ト 4 恵 ト 4 恵 ト 9 年 9 9 9 0

How to speed things up

• Each time iteration step involves solving a non-linear equation in k:

$$u'^{-1} \left(\beta u' \left(f(k^+) + (1 - \delta) k^+ - p(k^+) \right) \left[f'(k^+) + 1 - \delta \right] \right) + k^+$$

= $f(k) + (1 - \delta) k$

Use trick to avoid the root finding procedure:
 Instead of capital, use the total resources available in the economy as a state variable. Call it wealth, and denote it by:

$$w = f(k) + (1 - \delta)k$$

• Policy function now maps wealth into capital choices: $k^+ = p(w)$

$$k^+ + u'^{-1} \bigg(\beta u' \Big(w^+ - p(w^+) \Big) \big[f'(k^+) + (1 - \delta) \big] \bigg) = w,$$

where $w^+ = f(k^+) + (1 - \delta)k^+$

Endogenous Grid Time Iteration Algorithm

- Initial Step (Set grid, initial policy, and error tolerance)
 - a) Set grid for capital choice $[K_1^+ \ K_2^+ \ \dots \ K_n^+] \in \mathbb{R}_+^n, \ K_j^+ < K_{j+1}^+ \ orall \ j$
 - b) Make guess for policy function $p : [W_1, W_n] \to [K_1^+, K_n^+]$ (with $W_1 = f(K_1^+) + (1 - \delta)K_1^+, W_n = f(K_n^+) + (1 - \delta)K_n^+$)
 - c) Set error tolerance for time iteration $\bar{\epsilon} > 0$
- Main Step (Update policy function)
 - a) For all $1 \le j \le n$, set $k^+ = K_j^+$, $w^+ = f(k^+) + (1 \delta)k^+$, and define $W_j = k^+ + u'^{-1} \bigg(\beta u' \Big(w^+ p(w^+) \Big) \big[f'(k^+) + (1 \delta) \big] \bigg)$,
 - b) **Approximate** new policy \tilde{p} by $\left\{W_j, K_j^+\right\}_{j=1}^n$.
- Final Step (Check error criterion)
 - a) Calculate error: $\epsilon = \|\tilde{p} p\|_{\infty} / \|p\|_{\infty}$
 - b) Set $p = \tilde{p}$.
 - c) If $\epsilon < \bar{\epsilon}$, then stop and report results; otherwise go to step 1.

4D > 4A > 4B > 4B > B 990

Endogenous Grid Method: Discussion

- With an analytic expression for the state (here wealth) as a function of the choice (here capital), we can avoid the numerical root finding procedure in solving the Euler equation
- Moreover, EGM can handle some types of occasionally binding constraints by automatically placing endogenous grid points at values of the state where the policy function exhibits a kink.
- Both are great advantages, but it depends on the model structure whether they are present:
 - Including labor/leisure choice is already a non-trivial issue (Barillas and Fernandez-Villaverde (2007JEDC))
 - Handling occasionally binding constraints among endogenous variables with EGM is only possible for specific functional forms (see Hintermayer and Koeniger (2010JEDC))

Time Iteration for Non-Optimal Economies

- Time iteration can also be applied to non-optimal economies.
- However, convergence (and also existence) results are often not available, except for special cases, see e.g. Coleman (1991).
- We look at a simple heterogeneous agent model with short-sale constraints
- We iterate on a system of equilibrium conditions that includes the Euler equations of all (types of) agents plus market clearing conditions

A Simple Heterogeneous Agent Model: Overview

- Infinite horizon economy with discrete shocks
- There a two (types of) agents in the economy who receive a stochastic (labor) income stream
- There is one asset in unit net supply that pays a stochastic dividend stream (a 'Lucas tree')
- This asset can be traded between agents subject to short-sale constraints ⇒ The endogenous state is thus the share of the Lucas tree that agent 1 holds
- By increasing the number of agents, extending the number of assets, and changing the constraints, we can later make the model much more interesting and computationally demanding . . .

A Simple Heterogeneous Agent Model: Assumptions

- Infinite horizon endowment economy, $t \in \mathbb{N}_0$, with discrete shocks, z_t , and Markov transition matrix π , where: $z_t \in \mathcal{Z} = \{1, \dots, Z\}$ and $\pi(z_t, z_{t+1}) = \mathbb{P}(z_{t+1}|z_t)$.
- There a two (types of) agents in the economy with CRRA preferences, $\{\gamma_i, \beta_i\}_{1 \leq i,j \leq 2}$, who receive a stochastic endowment: $e^1(z_t)$, $e^2(z_t)$
- ullet There is a Lucas tree that pays a stochastic dividend stream: $d(z_t)$
- ullet Agents can trade shares, s^i , of the Lucas tree at price p
- The Lucas tree is in unit net supply and there are short-sale constraints on the Lucas tree: $s^1 + s^2 = 1$, $s^1 \ge 0$, $s^2 \ge 0$
- ullet Aggregate endowments are given by: $ar{e}(z_t)=e^1(z_t)+e^2(z_t)+d(z_t)$

A Simple Heterogeneous Agent Model: Agents' Problem

Each agent i chooses $\{c_t^i, s_{t+1}^i\}_{t=0}^{\infty}$ to maximize

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{E}_0\left[\begin{array}{c} \sum_{t=0}^t \beta^t \frac{(c_t^i)^{1-\gamma}}{1-\gamma} \\ \\ c_t^i \geq 0, \ s_{t+1}^i \geq e_t^i + s_t^i (p_t + d_t), \\ \\ c_t^i \geq 0, \ s_{t+1}^i \geq 0 \ \forall t \in \mathbb{N}_0 \\ \\ s_0^i, z_0, \text{and} \ \{p_t(z_t)\}_{t,z^t} \ \text{given}. \end{split} \right. \end{split}$$

Denoting the multiplier for the $s_{t+1} \geq 0$ constraint by μ_t , the FOCs for s_{t+1} are:

$$\begin{split} u'(c_t^i)p_t - \mu_t^i &= \beta \mathbb{E}\left[u'(c_{t+1}^i)(p_{t+1} + d_{t+1})\right],\\ s_{t+1}^i &\geq 0, \mu_t^i \geq 0, s_{t+1}^i \cdot \mu_t^i = 0 \text{ (complementarity condition)} \end{split}$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆豊▶ ◆豊▶ ・豊 める◆

Sequential Equilibrium Conditions

In a sequential equilibrium, the following holds for all (t, z_t) : (below all the z_t are omitted)

- ullet Aggregate resource constraint: $c_t^1+c_t^2=e_t^1+e_t^2+d_t$
- ullet Market clearing for the Lucas tree: $s_t^1+s_t^2=1$
- First-order optimality conditions for i = 1, 2:

$$u'(c_t^i)p_t - \mu_t^i = \beta \mathbb{E} \left[u'(c_{t+1}^i)(p_{t+1} + d_{t+1}) \right],$$

$$s_{t+1}^i \ge 0, \ \mu_t^i \ge 0, \ s_t^i \cdot \mu_t^i = 0$$

Recursive Equilibrium

Choose (z, s^1) as recursive state and find policy function $f: \mathcal{Z} \times [0, 1] \to \mathbb{R}^7_+$,

$$f(z,s^1) = (c^1(z,s^1),c^2(z,s^1),s^1_+(z,s^1),s^2_+(z,s^1),\mu^1(z,s^1),\mu^2(z,s^1),\rho(z,s^1))$$

that satisfies for all $(z, s^1) \in \mathcal{Z} \times [0, 1]$ the equilibrium conditions (EC):

- Aggregate resource constraint:
 - $c^{1}(z,s^{1}) + c^{2}(z,s^{1}) = e^{1}(z) + e^{2}(z) + d(z)$
- ullet Market clearing for the Lucas tree: $s_+^1(z,s^1)+s_+^2(z,s^1)=1$
- Budget constraints for i = 1, 2: $c^{i}(z, s^{1}) + p(z, s^{1})s_{+}^{i}(z, s^{1}) = e^{i}(z, s^{1}) + s^{i} \cdot (p_{t}(z, s^{1}) + d_{t}(z))$ (note: s^{i} is the state, $s^{2} = 1 - s^{1}$)
- First-order optimality conditions for i = 1, 2:

$$u'(c^{i}(z,s^{1}))p(z,s^{1}) - \mu^{i}(z,s^{1}) - \beta \mathbb{E}\left[u'(c^{i}(z_{+},s_{+}^{1})))(p(z_{+},s_{+}^{1}) + d(z_{+})\right] = 0,$$

$$s_{+}^{i}(z,s^{1}) \geq 0, \ s_{+}^{i}(z,s^{1}) \cdot \mu_{t}^{i}(z,s^{1}) = 0, \mu_{t}^{i}(z,s^{1}) \geq 0$$

Compute Recursive Equilibrium

- Using this recursive structure, we can apply a time iteration algorithm.
- In each time iteration step, for each state z, and each grid point for s^1 , one takes a guess $f: \mathcal{Z} \times [0,1] \to \mathbb{R}^7_+$ for next-periods policy as given and solves for the new policy satisfying (EC)
- We can restrict attention to three dimensions of f, as the only next-period variables appearing in (EC) are c_+^1 , c_+^2 , and p_+ (note that s_+^1 , s_+^2 are determined this period)
- We cannot simply use a root-finder to solve the system of equilibrium conditions, because they contain the complementarity conditions.
 There are two ways around that problem:
 - Use a method that can handle the complementarity condition / inequality constraints (see, e.g., ZICE lecture on complementarity conditions, or Miranda and Fackler (2004), pp. 44–50)
 - Transform the inequalities into equations by defining auxiliary variables (e.g. as in Garcia and Zangwill (1981))

TI Algorithm For Heterogeneous Agents

- Initial Step (Set grid, initial policy, and error tolerance)
 - a) Set grid $S = [0 \ S_2 \ \dots \ S_{n-1} \ 1], \ S_j < S_{j+1} \ \forall \ j$
 - b) Set guess for policy function $f: \mathcal{Z} \times S \to \mathbb{R}^3_+$, $f(z, s^1) = (c^1, c^2, p)$
 - c) Set error tolerance for time iteration $\bar{\epsilon} > 0$
- Main Step (Update policy function)
 - a) For all $z \in Z$ and for all $1 \le j \le n$: **Solve** equilibrium conditions (EC) for $(c^1, c^2, s_+^1, s_+^2, \mu^1, \mu^2, p)$ given $s^1 = S_j$ and $(c_+^1, c_+^2, p_+) = f(z_+, s_+^1)$. Set $c_i^1(z) = c^1, c_i^2(z) = c_2, p_i(z) = p$.
 - b) **Approximate** new policy \tilde{f} by $\left\{S_j,\left(c_j^1(z),c_j^2(z),p_j(z)\right)\right\}_{1\leq j\leq n,1\leq z\leq Z}$
- Final Step (Check error criterion)
 - a) Calculate error: $\epsilon = \|\tilde{f} f\|_{\infty} / \|f\|_{\infty}$
 - b) Set $f = \tilde{f}$
 - c) If $\epsilon < \overline{\epsilon}$, then stop and report results; otherwise go to step 1

Summary and Outlook

- So far, you have seen the basic TI algorithm, how the EGM can speed it up, how it can be applied to non-optimal economies
- In what follows, you will see how the time iteration algorithm can be applied to problems of higher dimension:
 - Adaptive Simplicial Interpolation (see separate slides)
 - Adaptive Sparse Grids (see Simon's presentation)