

**ESE 650, SPRING 2023**

**HOMEWORK 1**

ANH NGUYEN [TUNA28NG@SEAS]

**Solution 1** (Time spent: 10 hour). See the code on Gradescope

**Solution 2** (Time spent: 8 hour). (a) See the code on Gradescope.

(b) We have

$$\begin{aligned}\xi_k(x, x') &= P(X_k = x, X_{k+1} = x' | Y_1, \dots, Y_t, \lambda) \\ &= \frac{P(X_k = x, X_{k+1} = x', Y_1, \dots, Y_t, \lambda)}{P(Y_1, \dots, Y_t, \lambda)} \\ &= \frac{P(Y_1, \dots, Y_t, \lambda | X_k = x, X_{k+1} = x') P(X_k = x, X_{k+1} = x')}{P(Y_1, \dots, Y_t, \lambda)}\end{aligned}$$

The numerator:

$$\begin{aligned}&P(Y_1, \dots, Y_t | X_k = x, X_{k+1} = x') P(X_{k+1} = x' | X_k = x) P(X_k = x) \\ &= P(Y_1, \dots, Y_k | X_k = x, X_{k+1} = x') P(Y_{k+1}, \dots, Y_t | X_k = x, X_{k+1} = x') P(X_{k+1} = x' | X_k = x) P(X_k = x) \\ &= P(Y_1, \dots, Y_k | X_k = x) P(Y_{k+1}, \dots, Y_t | X_{k+1} = x') P(X_{k+1} = x' | X_k = x) P(X_k = x) \text{ following Markov property} \\ &= \frac{P(Y_1, \dots, Y_k, X_k = x)}{P(X_k = x)} P(Y_{k+1} | X_{k+1} = x') P(Y_{k+2}, \dots, Y_t | X_{k+1} = x') P(X_{k+1} = x' | X_k = x) P(X_k = x) \\ &= P(Y_1, \dots, Y_k, X_k = x) P(Y_{k+1} | X_{k+1} = x') P(Y_{k+2}, \dots, Y_t | X_{k+1} = x') P(X_{k+1} = x' | X_k = x)\end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\xi_k(x, x') = \eta \alpha_k(x) T_{x, x'} M_{x', y_{k+1}} \beta_{k+1}(x')$$

where  $\eta^{-1} = P(Y_1, \dots, Y_t, \lambda)$

(c) See the code on Gradescope

**Solution 3** (Time spent: 4 hour). a) Compute probabilities:

(1)

$$\begin{aligned} P(X_{k+1} = x_j | X_k = x_i, Y_1, \dots, Y_t) &= \frac{P(X_{k+1} = x_j, X_k = x_i, Y_1, \dots, Y_t)}{P(X_k = x_i, Y_1, \dots, Y_t)} \\ &= \frac{P(Y_1, \dots, Y_t | X_k = x_i, X_{k+1} = x_j) P(X_k = x_i, X_{k+1} = x_j)}{P(X_k = x_i | Y_1, \dots, Y_t) P(Y_1, \dots, Y_t)} \end{aligned}$$

Here, the denominator can be easily compute from the derivation of the smoothing problem (section 2.4.4 in Chapter 2 note):

$$P(X_k = x_i | Y_1, \dots, Y_t) P(Y_1, \dots, Y_t) = \beta_k(x) \alpha_k(x)$$

The numerator:

$$\begin{aligned} &(P(Y_1, \dots, Y_k | X_k = x_i, X_{k+1} = x_j) P(Y_{k+1}, \dots, Y_t | X_k = x_i, X_{k+1} = x_j)) \times \\ &(P(X_{k+1} = x_j | X_k = x_i) P(X_k = x_i)) \end{aligned}$$

From Markovian property,  $Y_1, \dots, Y_k$  is not dependent on  $X_{k+1} = x_j$ , and all the future observations  $Y_{k+1}, \dots, Y_t$  can relate to  $X_k = x_i$  through  $X_{k+1} = x_j$ . So we can write the first term in the numerator as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} &(P(Y_1, \dots, Y_k | X_k = x_i) P(Y_{k+1}, \dots, Y_t | X_{k+1} = x_j)) \\ &= \frac{P(Y_1, \dots, Y_k, X_k = x_i)}{P(X_k = x_i)} P(Y_{k+1} | X_{k+1} = x_j) P(Y_{k+2}, \dots, Y_t | X_{k+1} = x_j) \end{aligned}$$

Bring all the together and cancel out  $P(X_k = x_i)$  we have:

$$\begin{aligned} P(X_{k+1} = x_j | X_k = x_i, Y_1, \dots, Y_t) &= \frac{\alpha_k(x) M_{ij} \beta_{k+1}(x) T_{ij}}{\beta_k(x) \alpha_k(x)} \\ &= \frac{M_{ij} \beta_{k+1}(x) T_{ij}}{\beta_k(x)} \end{aligned}$$

(2)

$$\begin{aligned} &P(X_k = x_i | X_{k+1} = x_j, Y_1, \dots, Y_t) \\ &= \frac{P(X_{k+1} = x_j, X_k = x_i, Y_1, \dots, Y_t)}{P(X_{k+1} = x_j, Y_1, \dots, Y_t)} \end{aligned}$$

The numerator here is computed similarly to last question. And the denominator:

$$P(X_{k+1} = x_j | Y_1, \dots, Y_t) P(Y_1, \dots, Y_t) = \beta_{k+1}(x) \alpha_{k+1}(x)$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} P(X_{k+1} = x_j | X_k = x_i, Y_1, \dots, Y_t) &= \frac{\alpha_k(x) M_{ij} \beta_{k+1}(x) T_{ij}}{\beta_{k+1}(x) \alpha_{k+1}(x)} \\ &= \frac{\alpha_k(x) M_{ij} T_{ij}}{\alpha_{k+1}(x)} \end{aligned}$$

(3)

$$\begin{aligned} &P(X_{k-1} = x_i, X_k = x_j, X_{k+1} = x_l | Y_1, \dots, Y_t) \\ &= \frac{P(X_{k-1} = x_i, X_k = x_j, X_{k+1} = x_l, Y_1, \dots, Y_t)}{P(Y_1, \dots, Y_t)} \\ &= \frac{P(Y_1, \dots, Y_t | X_{k-1} = x_i, X_k = x_j, X_{k+1} = x_l) P(X_{k-1} = x_i, X_k = x_j, X_{k+1} = x_l)}{P(Y_1, \dots, Y_t)} \\ &= \frac{P(Y_1, \dots, Y_t | X_{k-1} = x_i, X_k = x_j, X_{k+1} = x_l) P(X_{k-1} = x_i, X_k = x_j, X_{k+1} = x_l)}{P(Y_1, \dots, Y_t)} \end{aligned}$$

The numerator:

$$\begin{aligned} &P(Y_1, \dots, Y_t | X_{k-1} = x_i, X_k = x_j, X_{k+1} = x_l) P(X_{k-1} = x_i, X_k = x_j, X_{k+1} = x_l) \\ &= P(Y_1, \dots, Y_{k-1} | X_{k-1} = x_i) P(Y_k | X_k = x_j) P(Y_{k+1} | X_{k+1} = x_l) P(Y_{k+2}, \dots, Y_t | X_{k+1} = x_l) \times \\ &\quad P(X_{k+1} = x_l | X_k = x_j) P(X_k = x_j | X_{k-1} = x_i) P(X_{k-1} = x_i) \\ &= \frac{P(Y_1, \dots, Y_{k-1}, X_{k-1} = x_i)}{P(X_{k-1} = x_i)} P(Y_k | X_k = x_j) P(Y_{k+1} | X_{k+1} = x_l) P(Y_{k+2}, \dots, Y_t | X_{k+1} = x_l) \times \\ &\quad P(X_{k+1} = x_l | X_k = x_j) P(X_k = x_j | X_{k-1} = x_i) P(X_{k-1} = x_i) \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} &P(X_{k-1} = x_i, X_k = x_j, X_{k+1} = x_l | Y_1, \dots, Y_t) \\ &= \frac{\alpha_{k-1}(x) M_{jl} M_{l(l+1)} \beta_{k+1}(x) T_{jl} T_{ij}}{P(Y_1, \dots, Y_t)} \end{aligned}$$

where the denominator:  $P(Y_1, \dots, Y_t) = \sum_x \alpha_t(x)$

**Solution 4** (Time spent: 3 hour). (a)

$$\hat{X} = a_1 Y_1 + a_2 Y_2 = (a_1 + 2a_2)X + a_1 e_1 + a_2 e_2$$

$$E[\hat{X}] = E[(a_1 + 2a_2)X + a_1 e_1 + a_2 e_2] = (a_1 + 2a_2)X$$

To make sure the estimate  $\hat{X}$  is unbiased, we need  $E[\hat{X}] = X$ , thus

$$(a_1 + 2a_2)X = X$$

$$a_1 = 1 - 2a_2$$

We have the MSE

$$\begin{aligned} E[(X - \hat{X})^2] &= E[(X - (a_1 + 2a_2)X - a_1 e_1 - a_2 e_2)^2] \\ &= E[(-(1 - 2a_2)e_1 - a_2 e_2)^2] \\ &= (1 - 2a_2)^2 \sigma_1^2 + a_2^2 \sigma_2^2 \\ &= (1 - 4a_2 + 4a_2^2) \sigma_1^2 + a_2^2 \sigma_2^2 \end{aligned}$$

To minimize this MSE, we derive the above equation and find the values of  $a_1$  and  $a_2$  that make this first-order derivative equal 0

$$\frac{dE[(X - \hat{X})^2]}{da_2} = (-4 + 8a_2)\sigma_1^2 + 2a_2\sigma_2^2 = 0$$

$$-4\sigma_1^2 + 2a_2(4\sigma_1^2 + \sigma_2^2) = 0$$

$$\frac{d^2E[(X - \hat{X})^2]}{da_2^2} = 2(4\sigma_1^2 + \sigma_2^2) > 0$$

$$a_2 = \frac{2\sigma_1^2}{4\sigma_1^2 + \sigma_2^2}$$

$$a_1 = 1 - \frac{4\sigma_1^2}{4\sigma_1^2 + \sigma_2^2} = \frac{\sigma_2^2}{4\sigma_1^2 + \sigma_2^2}$$

$$\hat{X} = \frac{\sigma_2^2}{4\sigma_1^2 + \sigma_2^2} Y_1 + \frac{2\sigma_1^2}{4\sigma_1^2 + \sigma_2^2} Y_2$$

This estimator has variance

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_{\hat{X}}^2 &= a_1^2 \sigma_1^2 + a_2^2 \sigma_2^2 \\ &= \frac{\sigma_2^4}{(4\sigma_1^2 + \sigma_2^2)^2} \sigma_1^2 + \frac{4\sigma_1^4}{(4\sigma_1^2 + \sigma_2^2)^2} \sigma_2^2 \\ &= \frac{\sigma_1^2 \sigma_2^2}{4\sigma_1^2 + \sigma_2^2} \end{aligned}$$

(b)

(i) If  $\sigma_2 \gg \sigma_1$  then the measurement  $Y_2$  gets less weight, and the estimator mathematically mainly depends on  $Y_1$ . This is correct with the intuition that if this measurement is too noisy, we should rely less upon it.

(ii) If  $\sigma_2 = \sigma_1$ , the 2 measurements have the same variance of noise distribution, and  $\hat{X} = \frac{1}{5}Y_1 + \frac{2}{5}Y_2$ . This means  $Y_2$  is weighted twice  $Y_1$ . We would also have  $\sigma_{\hat{X}^2} = \sigma_1^2/5$ .

(iii) If  $\sigma_1 \gg \sigma_2$ , this is similar to (i), and we would rely less on the measurement  $Y_1$  because of the much larger noise variance of this measurement.