

You'll need complete the following 3 sections and submit this as a part of your weekly exercise.

1. Feedback Given

Write reviews/feedbacks for your peers. You need to address the following in your review:

1. Has your reviewee attempted and demonstrated the required exercises? (Yes or No)
2. Describe your reviewee's attempt and what's working and not working,
3. Describe the quality of your reviewee's submission, including:
 - a. What is done well, and what could be better.
 - b. The readability of the code.
4. Describe the quality (in your opinion) of your reviewee's demonstration and explanation of his/her work, including:
 - a. Whether your reviewee's demonstration and explanation are easy for you to understand and follow.
 - b. Whether your reviewee demonstrates good understanding of his/her submission.
5. Any other constructive comment that you think could help your reviewee improve his/her work (including what you've learnt from your reviewee's demonstration or other praise/encouragements).

Write your review for each reviewee in your group below. Note you are the reviewer. The peer you are reviewing is the reviewee.

Week 1 Exercise Peer Review

Reviewer's name: Anh

Reviewee's name: Anton

1. The task was done with all requirements.
2. The task was done with all requirements.
3. The task was done with all requirements
4. The task was done as well as responsive layout.
- 5.The task was done with all requirements, good job.

Week 1 Exercise Peer Review

Reviewer's name: Anh

Reviewee's name: Ryota

1. The task was done with all requirements and interested images.
2. The task was done with all requirements.
3. The task was done with all requirements

4. The task was done as well as responsive layout.
5. The task was done and good at adding route to the search button, good job.

Week 1 Exercise Peer Review

Reviewer's name: Anh

Reviewee's name: Zheng

He is still working on his tasks.

2. Feedback Received

Obtain feedback from your group members about your work and paste them below:

Ryota Ando

1. All work done
2. All work done
3. All work done
4. All work done
5. All work done.

Anton:

1. The task was done as specified and well, no other comments.
2. The task was done as specified and well, no other comments.
3. The task was done as specified and everything was working well.
4. The task was done as specified and the responsive design functioned well, though lacked stylistically.
5. The task was done as specified and well, no other comments.

Zheng:

1. task was done correctly, no comments
2. task was done correctly, no comments
3. task was done correctly, no comments

4. The task was done correctly, the layout collapses into one column when the size is less than 768px.

5. The task was done correctly, login and search buttons are placed according to the instructions given.

3. Reflection

1. What I learned: styling CSS, responsive layout in different screens, make header and footer stable, designing different image columns to look a good layout when the browser is in many devices.
2. The reviewers are all good comment.
3. The reviewers are all give useful feedback.