

## LAB 2: Operational Space Control

1) *Generate Sinusoidal Reference*: Generate sinusoidal reference for  $X$  direction of the end effector with 0.1 amplitude and 1.5 Hz frequency.

2) *Step Reference generation*: Generate a 0.1 step reference  $\text{step}(t = 2.0)$  for  $Z$  direction.

3) *Inverse kinematics*: (OPTIONAL) Implement the end-effector tracking with inverse kinematics using PD control (advice:  $q^d = \text{IK}(x^d)$ ,  $\dot{q}^d = J^{-1}\dot{x}^d$ ). Algorithm for IK: pinocchio example). Evaluate the tracking of the end-effector.

4) *End-effector position PD control*: Implement End-effector PD control (simplified impedance control) with  $K_x = 1000$  N/m and  $D_x = 300$  Ns/m (advice consider only linear Jacobian  $6 \times 3$ ). See what happens if you do not add any null-space torques. Then add them as a postural task (i.e. to attract to a default posture in the joint space), to remove the indeterminacy of the task that is only 3D.

$$\tau_0 = 50(q_0 - q) - 10 * \dot{q} \quad (1)$$

$$\tau_N = N\tau_0 \quad (2)$$

Use the sinusoidal reference generated in 1) as input to the system. Plot the tracking error, see the couplings also in  $X$ ,  $Y$  directions. Try to change the stiffness in the  $X, Y$  directions and see that the tracking improves. See the joints are moving randomly because there is no control in the nullspace and we have 6 DoFs with a 3D task. sTry to add a damping term in the joint space.

5) *End-effector position PD control + Gravity Compensation*: Add gravity compensation. Give the step reference generated in 2) as input to the system. Check there is no longer a tracking error at steady state is but it still remains during the transient.

6) *PD control + Gravity Compensation + Feed-Forward term*: Add also feed-forward term to the PD control (advice: use the reflected inertia at the end-effector). Give the sinusoidal reference generated in 1). See that the tracking error is improved.

7) *Operational space inverse dynamics*: Implement operational space inverse dynamics with a postural task in the null space to attract the robot configuration  $q_0$  (advice  $\tau_0 = K_{\text{postural}}(q_0 - q)$ ). Observe that the tracking is improved, there are no coupling between different directions anymore. Observe that if you reduce the gains the tracking remains good apart in the discontinuity of the trajectory.

8) *Operational space inverse dynamics + h* : rather than compensate for  $u$  (bias term at the end effector compensate for  $h$  (bias terms at joint level) and observe the result is the same.

9) *External Force*: With constant reference  $x_0$ , give  $F_{ext}$  on  $Z$  direction and check that it is affecting only the end-effector direction  $Z$  and not  $X$  and  $Y$ . If you reduce by half the  $K_x$  gains you should see the deflection due to  $F_{ext}$  it doubles.

10) *Implement impedance control for end-effector orientation (TODO)*: Add orientation control of the end-effector (advice: the Jacobian is 6x6 now and there is no longer need of postural task). Implement the computation of the orientation error both with angle-axis and quaternions representations and compare they give the same result. Why the motion of the joint seems weird? This is what you get with a 6DoFs robot! There is no null-space left. Is necessary a 7 DoFs robot, if you want a more "natural" motion! Try to set a sinusoidal reference and plot the tracking.