Today's topics

- Decision tree
- Sorting
- Huffman encoding

• Read section-16.3 from the Cormen et al. book

Huffman codes are used to compress data without losing any information

- Suppose we want to store 100,000 characters in a file
 - What will be the size of the file?

- Suppose we want to store 100,000 characters in a file
 - What will be the size of the file?
 - 100000 * 8 bits
- What if the characters used in the file belong to the set (a,b,c,d,e,f)
 - Can we do better?

- Suppose we want to store 100,000 characters in a file
 - What will be the size of the file?
 - 100000 * 8 bits
- What if the characters used in the file belong to the set (a,b,c,d,e,f)
 - Can we do better?
 - Yes, we can use 3-bit encoding for characters
 - How many bits will be required?

- Suppose we want to store 100,000 characters in a file
 - What will be the size of the file?
 - 100000 * 8 bits
- What if the characters used in the file belong to the set (a,b,c,d,e,f)
 - Can we do better?
 - Yes, we can use 3-bit encoding for characters
 - How many bits will be required?
 - 100000 * 3 bits

- Suppose we know the frequency of each character
- Can we do better?

| | а | b | С | d | е | f |
|-------------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| Freq (thousands) | 45 | 13 | 12 | 16 | 9 | 5 |
| Fixed-length code | 000 | 001 | 010 | 011 | 100 | 101 |
| | | | | | | |

- Suppose we know the frequency of each character
- Can we do better?

encode: face

11000 1 ee a aa e

| | a | b | С | d | е | f |
|-------------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| Freq (thousands) | 45 | 13 | 12 | 16 | 9 | 5 |
| Fixed-length code | 000 | 001 | 010 | 011 | 100 | 101 |
| variable length | 0 | 01 | 00 | 010 | 1 | 11 |

- Suppose we know the frequency of each character
- Can we do better?

face will be encoded as 11 0 00 1 Can be decoded as ee a aa e

| | a | b | С | d | е | f |
|-------------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| Freq (thousands) | 45 | 13 | 12 | 16 | 9 | 5 |
| Fixed-length code | 000 | 001 | 010 | 011 | 100 | 101 |
| variable length | 0 | 01 | 00 | 010 | 1 | 11 |

If we use a variable-length encoding in which the encoding of one character can be the prefix of another character, it will be difficult to decode the message.

Suppose we know the frequency of each character

• Can we do better?

| | a | b | С | d | е | f |
|-------------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|------|------|
| Freq (thousands) | 45 | 13 | 12 | 16 | 9 | 5 |
| Fixed-length code | 000 | 001 | 010 | 011 | 100 | 101 |
| variable length | 0 | 101 | 100 | 111 | 1101 | 1100 |

If we use a variable-length encoding in which the encoding of a character is never a prefix of the encoding of another character, then there will be no ambiguity.

224 K

- Suppose we know the frequency of each character
- Can we do better?

face will be encoded as 1100 0 100 1101 only possible decoding is f a c e

| | a | b | С | d | е | f |
|-------------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|------|------|
| Freq (thousands) | 45 | 13 | 12 | 16 | 9 | 5 |
| Fixed-length code | 000 | 001 | 010 | 011 | 100 | 101 |
| variable length | 0 | 101 | 100 | 111 | 1101 | 1100 |

If we use a variable-length encoding in which the encoding of a character is never a prefix of the encoding of another character, then there will be no ambiguity.


• No codeword is a prefix of some other codeword

| | а | b | С | d | е | f |
|-------------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|------|------|
| Freq (thousands) | 45 | 13 | 12 | 16 | 9 | 5 |
| Fixed-length code | 000 | 001 | 010 | 011 | 100 | 101 |
| variable length | 0 | 101 | 100 | 111 | 1101 | 1100 |

 Using prefix-free codes, we can uniquely identify the next character during decoding

No ambiguity during decoding


A binary tree can be used to generate prefix-free codes



The square nodes or leaves represent the characters in the file that we want to compress.
There is a unique path to each square node from the root.

We can assign prefix-free codes to these paths.

A binary tree can be used to generate prefix-free codes



Each edge on the path is a bit in the corresponding encoding. If we assign different bits to the left and right children, then none of the nodes in the left subtree will be the prefix of a node in the right subtree and viceversa. If we apply this rule for all nodes, we will get prefix-free codes for the leaf nodes.

- No codeword is a prefix of some other codeword
 - encoding-1 number of bits
 - encoding-2 number of bits

| | a | b | С | d | е | f |
|-------------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|------|--------|
| Freq (thousands) | 45 | 13 | 12 | 16 | 9 | 5 |
| Fixed-length code | 000 | 001 | 010 | 011 | 100 | 101 |
| variable length-1 | 0 | 101 | 100 | 111 | 1101 | 1100 4 |
| variable length-2 | 000 | 001 | 010 | 011 | 10 | 11 🗲 |



- No codeword is a prefix of some other codeword
 - encoding-1 number of bits

•
$$(45 * 1) + (13 * 3) + (12 * 3) + (16 * 3) + (9 * 4) + (5 * 4) = 224 thousands$$

encoding-2 number of bits

•
$$(45 * 3) + (13 * 3) + (12 * 3) + (16 * 3) + (9 * 2) + (5 * 2) = 286$$
 thousands

• Even though the encoding-1 scheme uses up to four bits, it is better than the encoding-2 scheme, which uses two and three bits codes


| | а | b | C | d | е | f |
|-------------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|------|------|
| Freq (thousands) | 45 | 13 | 12 | 16 | 9 | 5 |
| Fixed-length code | 000 | 001 | 010 | 011 | 100 | 101 |
| encoding-1 | 0 | 101 | 100 | 111 | 1101 | 1100 |
| encoding-2 | 000 | 001 | 010 | 011 | 10 | _11 |

 For better compression, we need to generate smaller length codes for those characters whose frequencies are high

• For low-frequency characters, we can use larger codes

 Huffman algorithm can be used to generate an optimal prefix-free code

| | CHAR | FREQ | | ENC |
|---|------|------|--|------|
| _ | а | 45 | | 0 |
| | b | 13 | | 101 |
| | С | 12 | | 100 |
| _ | d | 16 | | 111 |
| | е | 9 - | | 1100 |
| | f | 5 / | | 1101 |




| CHAR | FREQ | ENC |
|------|------|-----|
| а | 45 | |
| b | 13 | |
| С | 12 | |
| d | 16 | |
| е | 9 | |
| f | 5 | |

f:5 e:9 d:16 c:12 b:13 a:45


| CHAR | FREQ | ENC |
|------|------|-----|
| а | 45 | |
| b | 13 | |
| С | 12 | |
| d | 16 | |
| е | 9 | |
| f | 5 | |




| CHAR | FREQ | ENC |
|------|------|-----|
| а | 45 | |
| b | 13 | |
| С | 12 | |
| d | 16 | |
| е | 9 | |
| f | 5 | |



| CHAR | FREQ | ENC |
|------|------|-----|
| а | 45 | |
| b | 13 | |
| С | 12 | |
| d | 16 | |
| е | 9 | |
| f | 5 | |




| CHAR | FREQ | ENC |
|------|------|-----|
| а | 45 | |
| b | 13 | |
| С | 12 | |
| d | 16 | |
| е | 9 | |
| f | 5 | |




a:45

| CHAR | FREQ | ENC |
|------|------|-----|
| а | 45 | |
| b | 13 | |
| С | 12 | |
| d | 16 | |
| е | 9 | |
| f | 5 | |



| CHAR | FREQ | ENC |
|------|------|-----|
| а | 45 | |
| b | 13 | |
| С | 12 | |
| d | 16 | |
| е | 9 | |
| f | 5 | |



Implementation


| CHAR | FREQ | ENC |
|------|------|-----|
| а | 45 | |
| b | 13 | |
| С | 12 | |
| d | 16 | |
| е | 9 | |
| f | 5 | |

| CHAR | FREQ | ENC |
|------|------|-----|
| а | 45 | |
| b | 13 | |
| С | 12 | |
| d | 16 | |
| е | 9 | |
| f | 5 | |



Red nodes are in the min heap.


| CHAR | FREQ | ENC |
|------|------|-----|
| а | 45 | |
| b | 13 | |
| С | 12 | |
| d | 16 | |
| е | 9 | |
| f | 5 | |



Keep connecting two nodes with minimum frequencies unless all nodes are connected.

Red nodes are in the min heap. Extract two nodes from the min heap, connect them, and add the new node to the min heap.


| CHAR | FREQ | ENC |
|------|------|-----|
| а | 45 | |
| b | 13 | |
| С | 12 | |
| d | 16 | |
| е | 9 | |
| f | 5 | |



Keep connecting two nodes with minimum frequencies unless all nodes are connected.

Red nodes are in the min heap. Extract two nodes from the min heap, connect them, and add the new node to the min heap.


| CHAR | FREQ | ENC |
|------|------|-----|
| а | 45 | |
| b | 13 | |
| С | 12 | |
| d | 16 | |
| е | 9 | |
| f | 5 | |



Keep connecting two nodes with minimum frequencies unless all nodes are connected.

Red nodes are in the min heap. Extract two nodes from the min heap, connect them, and add the new node to the min heap.


| CHAR | FREQ | ENC |
|------|------|-----|
| а | 45 | |
| b | 13 | |
| С | 12 | |
| d | 16 | |
| е | 9 | |
| f | 5 | |



Keep connecting two nodes with minimum frequencies unless all nodes are connected.

Red nodes are in the min heap. Extract two nodes from the min heap, connect them, and add the new node to the min heap. a:45


| CHAR | FREQ | ENC |
|------|------|-----|
| а | 45 | |
| b | 13 | |
| С | 12 | |
| d | 16 | |
| е | 9 | |
| f | 5 | |



Huffman encoding

| CHAR | FREQ | ENC |
|------|------|------|
| а | 45 | 0 |
| b | 13 | 101 |
| С | 12 | 100 |
| d | 16 | 111 |
| е | 9 | 1101 |
| f | 5 | 1100 |

Keep connecting two nodes with minimum frequencies unless all nodes are connected.



Huffman encoding algorithm

```
Algorithm Huffman(C):
Input: C is an array of n nodes; each node contains a character and its
corresponding frequency, and NULL left and right fields
Output: The root of a tree representing the Huffman codes
      n = Num_Elements(C)
      Q = Build Min Heap(C)
3. for i = 1 to n - 1 do
          z = allocate_node() // allocate a node with empty fields
4.
          z.left = x = Extract Min(Q)
5.
          z.right = y = Extract Min(Q)
6.
           z.freq = x.freq + y.freq
7.
                                                             Time complexity:
           Insert(Q, z)__
8.
      return Extract Min(Q)
9.
```

Time complexity

• All the heap operations inside the loop take O(log n) time

• The loop runs n-1 time

Build_Min_Heap takes O(n) time

Therefore, the time complexity is O(n * log(n))

Huffman codes

Initially, the resulting code is empty

 To generate the Huffman code for a given leaf node x, walk from the root node to x, visiting each node in the path exactly once

For every left turn, append zero to the resulting code

• For every right turn, append one to the resulting code

Huffman decoding

| CHAR | FREQ | ENC |
|------|------|------|
| а | 45 | 0 |
| b | 13 | 101 |
| С | 12 | 100 |
| d | 16 | 111 |
| е | 9 | 1101 |
| f | 5 | 1100 |

```
face
110001001101
```

Huffman encoding

 Store the mapping from an original sequence to the encoded sequence in the compressed file

Huffman decoding


• Retrieve the character encoding from the compressed file

Build the corresponding tree

Read the encoded bits in an array


call HuffmanDecoding until all characters are decoded

| CHAR | FREQ | ENC |
|------|------|------|
| a | 45 | 0_ |
| b | 13 | 101 |
| С | 12 | 100 |
| d | 16 | 111 |
| е | 9 | 1101 |
| f | 5 | 1100 |




| CHAR | FREQ | ENC |
|------|------|------|
| а | 45 | 0 |
| b | 13 | 101 |
| С | 12 | 100 |
| d | 16 | 111 |
| е | 9 | 1101 |
| f | 5 | 1100 |


| CHAR | FREQ | ENC |
|------|------|------|
| a | 45 | 0 |
| b | 13 | 101 |
| С | 12 | 100 |
| d | 16 | 111 |
| е | 9 | 1101 |
| f | 5 | 1100 |




| CHAR | FREQ | ENC |
|------|------|------|
| а | 45 | 0 |
| b | 13 | 101 |
| С | 12 | 100 |
| d | 16 | 111 |
| е | 9 | 1101 |
| f | 5 | 1100 |




| CHAR | FREQ | ENC |
|------|------|------|
| а | 45 | 0 |
| b | 13 | 101 |
| С | 12 | 100 |
| d | 16 | 111 |
| е | 9 | 1101 |
| f | 5 | 1100 |




| CHAR | FREQ | ENC |
|------|------|------|
| а | 45 | 0 |
| b | 13 | 101 |
| С | 12 | 100 |
| d | 16 | 111 |
| е | 9 | 1101 |
| f | 5 | 1100 |



| CHAR | FREQ | ENC |
|------|------|------|
| а | 45 | 0 |
| b | 13 | 101 |
| С | 12 | 100 |
| d | 16 | 111 |
| е | 9 | 1101 |
| f | 5 | 1100 |



| CHAR | FREQ | ENC |
|------|------|------|
| а | 45 | 0 |
| b | 13 | 101 |
| С | 12 | 100 |
| d | 16 | 111 |
| е | 9 | 1101 |
| f | 5 | 1100 |




 We can store the encoding differently in the compressed file to build the tree faster during the decoding; however, because the total number of characters is usually small, building the tree is not a very time-consuming step

Huffman decoding

| CHAR | FREQ | ENC |
|------|------|------|
| а | 45 | 0 |
| b | 13 | 101 |
| С | 12 | 100 |
| d | 16 | 111 |
| е | 9 | 1101 |
| f | 5 | 1100 |

face

decode: 110001001101



Huffman decoding

```
Algorithm HuffmanDecoding(root, in)
Input: root is the root of the tree, in points to next bit in the
encoded input sequence
Output: decodes and prints one character, returns the pointer to the first bit of the next character in the encoded sequence
  if (is leaf(root)) then
       print(root->val);
       return in;
  if (in[0] = 0) then
       return HuffmanDecoding(root->left, in+1)
  else
       return HuffmanDecoding(root->right, in+1)
```

References

- Chapter-7.9 from Mark Allen Weiss
- Chapter-4.4 from Goodrich and Tamassia

 The binary search take O(log n) time to search an element from the sorted array


• If the hash table is sufficiently large, the search can be done in O(1)

 What is the key difference between binary search and the hash table search?

- The binary search doesn't care about the type of the key
 - It needs a comparison function that acts as a black box
 - The return value of the comparison function could be <, >, <=, >=, ==, !=

The hash table will not work if the key can't be mapped to an integer

- The decision tree is an abstraction to find a lower bound
- We'll use a binary decision tree to find the lower bound of sorting algorithms
- Each internal node in the decision tree is a comparison operation that may take place during the execution of the algorithm
- The leaf nodes are the output of the algorithm
- The goal is to obtain a lower bound on the number of comparisons to generate the output



Decision tree a < b < c a < c < b b < a < c b < c < a c < a < b c < b < a b < a a < b b < a < c a < b < c a < c < b b < c < a c < a < b c < b < ab < c c < b a < c c < a c < b < ab < a < c a < b < c c < a < b b < c < a a < c < b c < b b < c c < a a < c b < a < c a < b < c b < c < a a < c < b

• The previous slide shows a decision tree for sorting three distinct elements a, b, c using just the comparison operations

The leaf nodes correspond to the possible outputs of the program

 Notice that for three elements, there could be six different possible outcomes; the program may take different paths during runtime to generate an outcome

- Notice that, in general, a program may take different paths to derive the same output
- Therefore, the number of leaves is at least the number of all possible outcomes
- The internal nodes of the decision tree are comparison operations
- After every comparison operation, the program may take two different paths, and the only operations we care about are the comparison operations; therefore, the decision tree is a binary tree

 The lower bound on the number of comparisons is the height of the decision tree

 The height is minimum when the decision tree is a nearly complete or complete binary tree, and the leaves contain only the possible outputs

• What is the number of leaf nodes in the decision tree for sorting when we want to sort n numbers?

• What is the number of leaf nodes in the decision tree for sorting when we want to sort n numbers?

• n!

 What is the height, h, of the decision tree when the number of leaves is n!

- What is the number of leaf nodes in the decision tree for sorting when we want to sort n numbers?
 - n!
- What is the height, h, of the decision tree when the number of leaves is n!
 - $2^h >= n!$
 - h >= log(n!)

The minimum number of comparisons = log(n!)

- What is the number of leaf nodes in the decision tree for sorting when we want to sort n numbers?
 - n!
- What is the height, h, of the decision tree when the number of leaves is n!
 - $2^h >= n!$
 - h >= log(n!)

The minimum number of comparisons = log(n!)

$$\log(n!) \ge \log(\frac{n^{\frac{n}{2}}}{2}) \ge \frac{n}{2} * \log(\frac{n}{2})$$

$$\ge \frac{n}{2} * (\log(n) - 1) = \Omega(n^* \log(n))$$

Decision tree for searching

- Decision tree for searching
 - How many different outcomes are possible to search an element from an array of n elements?
 - What is the number of leaves?

What would be the height of the decision tree?

What is the lower bound on the number of comparisons?

References

• Read chapter-4.5 from the Goodrich and Tamassia book

 The comparison based sorting algorithms take at least O(n*log(n)) time

 The order sorting algorithms that are not based on the comparisons may execute faster than O(n * log(n))

• Consider the following sequence S:

$$S = ((3, 3), (1, 5), (2, 5), (1, 2), (2, 3), (1, 7), (3, 2), (2, 2))$$
 is a sequence of (k, l) pairs of keys such that, $0 \le k \le 9$ and $0 \le l \le 9$

An element $(k_1, l_1) < (k_2, l_2)$, if $k_1 < k_2$ or $k_1 = k_2$ and $l_1 < l_2$

$$(1,2),(1,5),(1,7)$$

 $(2,5)$
 $(2,2),(2,3)$
 $(3,2),(3,3)$

• Consider the following sequence S:

$$S = ((3, 3), (1, 5), (2, 5), (1, 2), (2, 3), (1, 7), (3, 2), (2, 2))$$
 is a sequence of (k, l) pairs of keys such that, $0 \le k \le 9$ and $0 \le l \le 9$

An element $(k_1, l_1) < (k_2, l_2)$, if $k_1 < k_2$ or $k_1 = k_2$ and $l_1 < l_2$

Sorted sequence:

• How to sort S = ((3, 3), (1, 5), (2, 5), (1, 2), (2, 3), (1, 7), (3, 2), (2, 2))

- To sort S = ((3, 3), (1, 5), (2, 5), (1, 2), (2, 3), (1, 7), (3, 2), (2, 2))
- We can first sort the second component S₂ = ((1, 2), (3, 2), (2, 2), (3, 3), (2, 3), (1, 5), (2, 5), (1, 7))_____
- Then we can sort the first component $S_{2,1} = ((1,2), (1,5), (1,7), (2,2), (2,3), (2,5), (3,2), (3,3))$
- How much time is required to sort the second component?

- How much time is required to sort the second component?
 - Store the occurrences of 0, 1, 2, 3, ..., 9 in the second component in array count[0:9]
 - Create another sequence S₂
 - copy all elements with zero in the second component at indices 0 to count[0] in S₂
 - copy all elements with one in the second component at indices count[0] to count[1] in S₂
 - copy all elements with two in the second component at indices count[1] to count[2] in S₂
 - ..
 - copy all elements with nine in the second component at indices count[8] to count[9] in S₂
 - Total time is O(n)

- What is the time complexity of sorting S
 - O(2*n)
- What is the time complexity if the number of keys in an element of the sequence is d
 - O(d*n)
- What is the time complexity of sorting n integers with maximum digits d
 - O(d*n)

• How can we sort 32-bit integers?

- To sort 32-bit integers, we can first sort the lowest 8-bits, followed by the next 8-bits, followed by the next 8-bits, followed by the most significant 8-bits
 - The size of the count array will be 256 in this case