

Assignment - 3 Solutions

① Let $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = L$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n + y_n = M$ (as it is given that $\{x_n\}$ and $\{x_n + y_n\}$ are convergent sequences)

Let $\epsilon > 0$ be any given real number

As $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = L$, so for this given $\frac{\epsilon}{2} > 0$, \exists

$N_{\epsilon/2} \in \mathbb{N}$ s.t. $|x_n - L| < \epsilon/2 \quad \forall n \geq N_{\epsilon/2}$

and as $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n + y_n = M$, for this given $\frac{\epsilon}{2} > 0$,

$\exists M_{\epsilon/2} \in \mathbb{N}$ s.t. $|(x_n + y_n) - M| < \epsilon/2 \quad \forall n \geq M_{\epsilon/2}$

(we obtain the above statements
(By definition of limit of a sequence))

let $N'_{\epsilon/2} = \max(N_{\epsilon/2}, M_{\epsilon/2})$

So for all $n \geq N'_{\epsilon/2}$

$$|y_n - (M - L)| = |(x_n + y_n - M) - (x_n - L)|$$

$$|(x_n + y_n - M) - (x_n - L)| \leq |x_n + y_n - M| + |x_n - L|$$

→ (Triangle Inequality $|a - b| \leq |a| + |b|$)

$$|(x_n + y_n - M) - (x_n - L)| < \epsilon/2 + \epsilon/2 = \epsilon$$

So corresponding to an $\epsilon > 0$ we have identified

$N'_{\epsilon/2}$ s.t. $|y_n - (M - L)| < \epsilon \quad \forall n \geq N'_{\epsilon/2}$

and as choice of ϵ is arbitrary we have $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} y_n = M - L$

Hence y_n converges.

(2)

$$\text{Let } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n y_n = M \text{ and let } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x \neq 0$$

(as it is given $x_n y_n$ converges and x_n converges to $x \neq 0$)

By Algebra of limits (Theorem 2.5 property (v))

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} y_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{x_n y_n}{x_n} \right) = \frac{\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n y_n}{\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n} = \frac{M}{x}$$

where $x \neq 0$

Hence y_n also converges.

(3)

$$\text{Let } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (-1)^n x_n = L \text{ and } \epsilon \text{ be any given}$$

real number

As $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (-1)^n x_n = L$, for this given $\epsilon > 0$, \exists

$N_\epsilon \in \mathbb{N}$ s.t. $|(-1)^n x_n - L| < \epsilon \quad \forall n \geq N_\epsilon$

(Definition of limit of a sequence has been used above)

So for all $n \geq N_\epsilon$

$$\begin{aligned} |x_n - L| &= |(|x_n| - |L|)| \quad (\text{as } x_n \geq 0 \text{ is given so}) \\ &\leq |(-1)^n x_n - L| \quad (|x_n| = x_n) \\ &< \epsilon \quad \xrightarrow{\text{Triangle Inequality}} \quad (|a - b| \leq |a| + |b|) \end{aligned}$$

- So for an $\epsilon > 0$ we have $N_\epsilon \in \mathbb{N}$ s.t. $|x_n - L| < \epsilon$ $\forall n \geq N_\epsilon$ and as choice of ϵ is arbitrary we have

~~Also~~ $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = |L|$ and x_n converges.

— / —

④

a) $x_n = \frac{(-1)^n n}{n+1}$

when n is even, $x_n = \frac{n}{n+1} = 1 - \frac{1}{n+1}$

when n is odd, $x_n = \frac{-n}{n+1} = -1 + \frac{1}{n+1}$

As $n \rightarrow \infty$, $x_n \rightarrow 1$ for even values of n
and as $n \rightarrow \infty$, $x_n \rightarrow -1$ for odd values of n .

By the definition of oscillatory sequences
(Definition 2.4) we know that an oscillatory sequence doesn't converge, hence $x_n = \frac{(-1)^n n}{n+1}$
is not convergent if we prove it is an oscillatory sequence

Q4. (a) $x_n = \frac{(-1)^n n}{n+1} = \begin{cases} -1 + \frac{1}{n+1}, & n \text{ odd} \\ 1 - \frac{1}{n+1}, & n \text{ even} \end{cases}$

$\because n < n+1 \quad \forall n \geq 1$
 $\Rightarrow \frac{n}{n+1} < 1 \quad \forall n \geq 1$
 $\Rightarrow |x_n| < 1 \quad \forall n \geq 1$
 $\Rightarrow \{x_n\} \text{ is Bounded}$
 Hence, not dgt.

Now, let us assume on contrary that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = L$$

Let $\epsilon = \frac{1}{2} > 0$. So $\exists N_{\frac{1}{2}} \in \mathbb{N}$ s.t.

$$\left| \frac{(-1)^n n}{n+1} - L \right| < \frac{1}{2} \quad \forall n \geq N_{\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$\Rightarrow L - \frac{1}{2} < \frac{(-1)^n n}{n+1} < L + \frac{1}{2} \quad \forall n \geq N_{\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$\Rightarrow L - \frac{1}{2} < -1 + \frac{1}{2n+2} < L + \frac{1}{2} \quad \underline{\forall n \geq N_{\frac{1}{2}}}$$

$$\text{and } L - \frac{1}{2} < 1 - \frac{1}{2n+1} < L + \frac{1}{2} \quad \underline{\forall n \geq N_{\frac{1}{2}}}$$

$$\Rightarrow -L - \frac{1}{2} < -1 + \frac{1}{2n+1} < -L + \frac{1}{2} \quad \underline{\forall n \geq N_{\frac{1}{2}}}$$

Adding ① & ②

$$\Rightarrow -1 < -2 + \frac{1}{2n+2} + \frac{1}{2n+1} < 1 \quad \forall n \geq N_{y_2}$$

Taking limit $n \rightarrow \infty$

$$\Rightarrow -1 \leq -2 \leq 1 \quad \cancel{\therefore}$$

$\therefore \nexists L \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = L$

$\therefore \{x_n\}$ is oscillatory.

(4)

$$\underline{\text{b})} \quad x_n = 2^n$$

Method 1 - Let $G > 0$ be an arbitrary large real number.

We need to prove that for this given $G > 0$, $\exists N_G \in \mathbb{N}$ s.t.

$$x_n = 2^n > G \quad \forall n \geq N_G, \text{i.e.,}$$

$$n \log 2 > \log G \quad \forall n \geq N_G, \text{i.e.,}$$

$$n > \frac{\log G}{\log 2} \quad \forall n \geq N_G.$$

$$\text{let us take } N_G = \left[\frac{\log G}{\log 2} \right] + 1$$

$$\text{For all } n \geq N_G = \left\lceil \frac{\log G_1}{\log 2} \right\rceil + 1$$

$$x_n = 2^n > G \quad \forall n \geq N_G$$

As G_1 is arbitrarily large, $x_n = 2^n$ diverges to $+\infty$.

$$\text{Method 2} - x_n = 2^n \quad \& \quad y_n = n \quad \forall n \geq 1$$

$$y_n = n < x_n = 2^n \quad \forall n \geq 1$$

(This can be proved using PMI)

Now we know $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} y_n = +\infty$ or $\{y_n\}$

diverges to $+\infty$. So by Corollary 2.8

$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = +\infty$ or $\{x_n\}$ also diverges to $+\infty$

- Proving $n < 2^n \quad \forall n \geq 1$ using PMI

Base case: For $n=1$ we get

$1 < 2$ which is always true

Inductive Hypothesis: Let the inequality be true for n , i.e., $n < 2^n$, we have

$$n < 2^n$$

Inductive Proof: Now we need to prove the above inequality is true for $n+1$ or $n+1 < 2^{n+1}$

$$\text{we know } n < 2^n$$

Multiplying 2 on both sides

$$2n < 2 \cdot 2^n$$

$$\begin{aligned} n + n &< 2^{n+1} \quad (\text{as } n \geq 1) \\ \Rightarrow n + 1 &< 2^{n+1} \end{aligned}$$

(5)

we are given $a \in \mathbb{R}$

If $a \in \mathbb{Q}$, then $x_n = a + \frac{1}{n}$ is a monotonically decreasing sequence which converges to a , i.e.,
 $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a + \frac{1}{n} = a + \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} = a + 0 = a$

If $a \notin \mathbb{Q}$, then by density property of \mathbb{R} , \exists a rational number b_1 s.t. $a < b_1 < a + 1$

• Case 1 - If $a + \frac{1}{2} < b_1$, then by density property

$\exists b'_2 \in \mathbb{Q}$ s.t. $a < b'_2 < a + 1/2 < b_1$

(Here $a = a$ and $b = a + 1/2$ in accordance with Theorem 4.2 given in notes)

• Case 2 - If $b_1 < a + 1/2$, then by density property

$\exists b''_2 \in \mathbb{Q}$ s.t. $a < b''_2 < b_1 < a + 1/2$

Take $b_2 = \begin{cases} b'_2 & \text{if } a + 1/2 < b_1 \\ b''_2 & \text{if } b_1 < a + 1/2 \end{cases}$

(b_1 cannot be equal to $a + 1/2$ as $a + 1/2 \notin \mathbb{Q}$)

From this we observe that in any case $b_2 < b_1$ and $b_2 < a + 1/2$. Similarly using the above method we can ~~pick~~ choose b_3, b_4, \dots & so on all rational no's s.t. $b_{n+1} < b_n$ & $b_{n+1} < a + \frac{1}{n+1}$ $\forall n \geq 1$.

so $\{b_n\}$ is monotonically decreasing &

$a < b_n < a + \frac{1}{n} \quad \forall n \geq 1$, so by sandwich

lemma, $x_n = a$, $y_n = b_n$.

$$3n = a + 1/n$$

& $\lim x_n = \lim 3n = a$ so $\lim b_n = a$ & $b_n \in B$
 $\forall n \geq 1$

So $\{b_n\}$ is a sequence of rational numbers &
it is monotonically decreasing and converges to a.

⑦ Let $\{x_n\}$ converge and let x_n be a monotonically increasing sequence. Now we need to prove it x_n exists.

As $\{x_n\}$ converges, so the sequence $|x_n|$ must be bounded from both above and below. Suppose it is bounded from above by L , say, i.e.,

$$|x_n| \leq L \quad \forall n \geq 1$$

$$\text{Hence } x_n \leq |x_n| \leq L \quad \forall n \geq 1$$

so x_n is also bounded above and L is an upper bound. So by completeness axiom $\sup T$ exists. As $\{x_n\}$ is monotonically increasing and $\sup T$ exists. So by Theorem 3.1 $\{x_n\}$ converges to its supremum. we can similarly prove if when x_n is a monotonically decreasing sequence.

(6)

Let $\{x_n\}$ be a bounded sequence and $s = \sup\{x_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$

$$s = \sup\{x_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\} \text{ & } s \notin \{x_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$$

We need to prove that there exists a subsequence of $\{x_n\}$ which converges to s .

As $s-1 < s$, so by the property of supremum

$\exists n_1 \in \mathbb{N}$ s.t. $x_{n_1} \in S = \{x_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ &

$$s-1 < x_{n_1} < s \quad (\text{as } s \notin S \text{ so } x_{n_1} \neq s, \text{ so } x_{n_1} < s)$$

As $s-1/2 < s$, so by property of supremum \exists

$n_2 \in \mathbb{N}$ s.t. $x_{n_2} \in S$ &

$$s-1/2 < x_{n_2} < s$$

We claim that $n_2 > n_1$. If there were no $n_2 > n_1$

such that $s-1/2 < x_{n_2}$ so this would imply

$x_n \leq s-1/2 \quad \forall n > n_1$. So for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$

we would obtain $x_n \leq \max\{s-1/2, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n_1}\}$

So $\sup S$ would be less than s which is a

contradiction. Hence $\exists n_2 > n_1$ s.t. $s-1/2 < x_{n_2} < s$

Now suppose that

n_1, n_2, \dots, n_R have been found s.t.

$$n_1 < n_2 < \dots < n_R \quad \&$$

$$s - \frac{1}{j} < x_{n_j} < s \quad \forall j = 1, 2, 3, \dots, R$$

Then as we proceed from n_1 to n_2 we can find a natural no. $n_{R+1} > n_R$ s.t.

$$s - \frac{1}{R+1} < x_{n_{R+1}} < s$$

In this way we obtain $n_1 < n_2 < n_3 < \dots$ a subsequence of $\{x_{n_k}\}$ s.t. $s - \frac{1}{R} < x_{n_k} < s$

Now by Sandwich theorem

$$\lim x_{n_k} = s \text{ as}$$

$$s - \frac{1}{k} < x_{n_k} < s \text{ and } \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} s = s \text{ and}$$

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} s - \frac{1}{k} = s$$

(8) $a_n = \left(1 + \frac{1}{n}\right)^n$

a) Take $x_1 = 1, x_2 = x_3 = \dots = x_{n+1} = \left(1 + \frac{1}{n}\right)$

$$\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$$

Applying AM > GM we get

$$\frac{1+n \left(1 + \frac{1}{n}\right)}{1+n} \geq \sqrt[n+1]{\left(1 + \frac{1}{n}\right)^n}$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{n \left(1+n\right)}{n+1} \geq \sqrt[n+1]{\left(1 + \frac{1}{n}\right)^n}$$

$$\left(\frac{1}{1+n} + 1\right)^{n+1} > \left(1 + \frac{1}{n}\right)^n$$

$$\text{so } a_{n+1} > a_n \quad \forall n \geq 1$$

Hence $\{a_n\}$ is strictly monotonically increasing

1 / 1

b) $b_n = \left(1 + \frac{1}{n}\right)^{n+1}$

Applying HM < GM with $Y_1 = 1, Y_2 = Y_3 = -\frac{1}{n+1} = 1 + \frac{1}{n}$

$$\frac{n+1}{1+n \left(\frac{1}{1+\frac{1}{n-1}} \right)} < \sqrt[n+1]{\left(1 + \frac{1}{n-1}\right)^n}$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{1+n}{1+n \left(\frac{n-1}{n} \right)} < \sqrt[n+1]{\left(1 + \frac{1}{n-1}\right)^n}$$

$$\Rightarrow 1 + \frac{1}{n} < \sqrt[n+1]{\left(1 + \frac{1}{n-1}\right)^n}$$

$$\Rightarrow \left(1 + \frac{1}{n}\right)^{n+1} < \left(1 + \frac{1}{n-1}\right)^n$$

$$\Rightarrow b_n < b_{n-1} \quad \forall n \geq 2$$

so $\{b_n\}$ is strictly monotonically decreasing

c) we know $a_n < b_n \quad \forall n \geq 1$

& $a_1 \leq a_n < b_n < b_1 \quad \forall n \geq 1$

(as $\{a_n\}$ is increasing & $\{b_n\}$ is decreasing)

$a_n < b_1 \quad \forall n \geq 1$, so $\{a_n\}$ is monotonically increasing and is bounded above by b_1 . So $\{a_n\}$ converges.

Now $a_1 \leq b_n \quad \forall n \geq 1$, so b_n is monotonically decreasing and is bounded below by a_1 , so $\{b_n\}$ converges.

so $\{a_n\}$ and $\{b_n\}$ both converge to its supremum and infimum respectively.

$$\text{Moreover } b_n = \left(1 + \frac{1}{n}\right)^{n+1} = \left(1 + \frac{1}{n}\right) \left(1 + \frac{1}{n}\right)^n = \left(1 + \frac{1}{n}\right) a_n$$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} b_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(1 + \frac{1}{n}\right) \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n \quad (\text{Algebra of limits})$$

$$\lim b_n = 1 \cdot \lim a_n$$

$\lim b_n = \lim a_n$ and this limit is defined to be e

⑨ Example of an unbounded sequence that has a convergent subsequence -

$$x_n = \begin{cases} n & n \text{ is even} \\ 1/n & n \text{ is odd} \end{cases}$$

$$x_n = \left\{ 1, 2, \frac{1}{3}, 4, \frac{1}{5}, 6, \frac{1}{7}, \frac{8}{9}, \dots \right\}$$

$\{x_n\}$ is not bounded above

But if we take the subsequence $\{x_{2i+1}\}$, i.e.,
 $\{1, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{5}, \frac{1}{7}, \frac{1}{9}, \dots\}$ we see that it
converges to 0.