

①

Q → 1. Let x and $y \geq 0$ be two real numbers

Prove $|x| \leq y$ iff $-y \leq x \leq y$.

Given x and $y \geq 0$ be two real numbers

Show: 1) If $|x| \leq y$ then we have $-y \leq x \leq y$

2) If $-y \leq x \leq y$ then $|x| \leq y$

Proof 1) Let $|x| \leq y$; x and $y \geq 0$ be two real numbers

Show: $-y \leq x \leq y$

Case-1 If $x > 0$ $\Rightarrow |x| \leq y$

$$\epsilon + x \leq y ; x > 0$$

(Since $y > 0$)

$$\Rightarrow -y < 0$$

$$\text{Hence } -y < x \leq y$$

Case-2 If $x < 0$

$$-x = |x| \leq y$$

$$-x \leq y$$

$$-y \leq x$$

Since x is negative and $y > 0 \Rightarrow x \leq y$

$$\text{Hence } -y \leq x \leq y$$

(2)

2) Let $-y \leq x \leq y$; x and $y \geq 0$ be two real numbers
Show: $|x| \leq y$

Given: $-y \leq x \leq y$

$$\Rightarrow -y \leq x \quad \text{and} \quad x \leq y$$

$$\Rightarrow -x \leq y \quad \text{and} \quad x \leq y$$

Hence $-x \leq y$

Hence $|x| \leq y$

Q2: If $\gamma \in \mathbb{Q}$ s.t. $\gamma \neq 0$

Let x be irrational number

Prove ① $x+\gamma$ is irrational number
 ② $x\gamma$ is also irrational number

① Given Let $\gamma \in \mathbb{Q}$ s.t. $\gamma \neq 0$

$$\Rightarrow \gamma = \frac{p}{q} \quad \text{where } q \neq 0, p, q \in \mathbb{Z}, \gcd(p, q) = 1$$

Given x is irrational number

Show: ① $x+\gamma$ is irrational number

Let if possible $x+\gamma$ is not irrational no.

$\Rightarrow x+\gamma$ is rational number

(3)

say $x+\gamma = \frac{a}{b}$; $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$
 $b \neq 0$

$$\begin{aligned} x &= \frac{a}{b} - \gamma \\ &= \frac{a}{b} - \frac{p}{q} && (\text{since } \gamma = \frac{p}{q}; q \neq 0, p, q \in \mathbb{Z}) \\ &= \frac{aq - bp}{bq} && \text{where } aq - bp \in \mathbb{Z} \\ &&& bq \in \mathbb{Z}; bq \neq 0 \end{aligned}$$

Hence $x \in \mathbb{Q}$

which is contradiction

Hence our Supposition is wrong

Hence $x+\gamma$ is irrational number

(2) This is Homework. for students.

Ques 4

Ques 3. Let S and T be non-empty bounded subset of IR

Prove: if $S \subseteq T$ then $\inf(T) \leq \inf(S) \leq \sup(S) \leq \sup(T)$

Given: S and T are two bounded nonempty subset of IR

→ $\sup(S)$ and $\sup(T)$ exist

Given:- $S \subseteq T$

Show:- $\inf(T) \leq \inf(S) \leq \sup(S) \leq \sup(T)$

① We first show $\sup(S) \leq \sup(T)$

Let $a \in S \Rightarrow a \in T$ ($\because S \subseteq T$)
 $\Rightarrow a \leq \sup(T)$

(Since a is any arbitrary element of S)
(and $a \leq \sup(T)$)

Hence $a \leq \sup(T)$; for any $a \in S$

→ $\sup(T)$ is u.b. of S
but we know $\sup(S)$ is l.u.b

→ $\sup S \leq \sup T$

(5)

② Now we show: $\inf(S) \leq \sup(S)$

Let $\inf(S) = a$ and Let $\sup(S) = b$

$\Rightarrow a \leq s ; \forall s \in S$ $\Rightarrow s \leq b \quad \forall s \in S$

Hence $a \leq s \leq b$; for any $s \in S$

Hence $\inf(S) \leq \sup(S)$

③ Now we show: $\inf(T) \leq \inf(S)$

This is Homework for Students

Let S and T be two bounded subset of IR ⑥

Q-4 Prove $\text{Sup}(S \cup T) = \text{Max}\{\text{Sup } S, \text{Sup } T\}$

Given: Let S and T be two bounded subset of IR

$\Rightarrow \text{Sup } S$ and $\text{Sup } T$ exist

Show: $\text{Sup}(S \cup T) = \text{max}\{\text{Sup } S, \text{Sup } T\}$

Without loss of generality

Take $\text{Max}\{\text{Sup } S, \text{Sup } T\} = \text{Sup } S$ - ①

Let a be any arbitrary element of $S \cup T$

$\Rightarrow a \in S \cup T$

Either $a \in S$

$\Rightarrow a \leq \text{Sup}(S)$

or $a \in T$

$\Rightarrow a \leq \text{Sup } T \leq \text{Sup } S$
(by ①)

Hence $a \leq \text{Sup}(S) = \text{Max}\{\text{Sup } S, \text{Sup } T\}$

$\Rightarrow a \leq \text{Max}\{\text{Sup } S, \text{Sup } T\}$

Since a is arbitrary element of $S \cup T$

$\Rightarrow \text{Max}\{\text{Sup } S, \text{Sup } T\}$ is u.b. for set $(S \cup T)$

But $\text{Sup}(S \cup T)$ is l.u.b.

Hence $\text{Sup}(S \cup T) \leq \text{Max}\{\text{Sup } S, \text{Sup } T\}$

L ②

(7)

In Q3 we have seen that

If $A \subseteq B$; A and B be two bounded non-empty subset of \mathbb{R}

then $\text{Sup } A \leq \text{Sup } B$

Take $A = S$ $B = S \cup T$

and $A \subseteq B$

(by Q3), we can say $\text{Sup } A \leq \text{Sup } B$
i.e. $\text{Sup}(S) \leq \text{Sup}(S \cup T)$

(by ①) $\max\{\text{Sup } S, \text{Sup } T\} \leq \text{Sup}(S \cup T)$

L ③

By ②, ③, we have

$\text{Sup}(S \cup T) \leq \max\{\text{Sup } S, \text{Sup } T\} \leq \text{Sup}(S \cup T)$

Hence $\text{Sup}(S \cup T) = \max\{\text{Sup } S, \text{Sup } T\}$

//

(10)

Q-6 Let $x \in \mathbb{R}$

then $|x| < \epsilon$ for every $\epsilon > 0$ iff $x = 0$

→

Let $x = 0$

then $|x| < \epsilon$ for every $\epsilon > 0$

This is obvious

← Let $x \in \mathbb{R}$ s.t. $|x| < \epsilon$ for every $\epsilon > 0$

Show: $x = 0$

Let if possible $x \neq 0$

In particular chose $\epsilon = \frac{|x|}{2}$

then we have $|x| < \frac{|x|}{2}$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{|x|}{2} < 0$$

$$\Rightarrow |x| < 0$$

i.e. absurd

Hence our Supposition is wrong

Hence $x = 0$

Q-5.

Let S be a non-empty bounded set in \mathbb{R}

(8)

2) s_8

② Let $a > 0$ be a real number

Let $aS = \{as ; s \in S\}$

Prove 1) $\inf(aS) = a \cdot \inf(S)$

2) $\sup(aS) = a \cdot \sup(S)$

NOTE → In this question, we will
use Result of Q-6.

Proof ②

Let S be non-empty bounded subset of \mathbb{R}

→ $\sup S$ and $\inf S$ exist.

Show 1) $\inf(aS) = a \cdot \inf(S)$

Let $u \in aS \rightarrow u = as ; \forall s \in S$

We also know that $\inf(S) \leq s ; \forall s \in S$

$a \cdot \inf(S) \leq as ; \forall s \in S$

→ $a \cdot \inf(S) \leq u$

Since u is arbitrary element of S

→ $a \cdot \inf(S) \leq u ; \forall u \in S$

→ $a \cdot \inf(S)$ is l.b. for Set $\{aS\}$.

but $\inf(aS)$ is greatest lower bound

→ $a \cdot \inf(S) \leq \inf(aS)$. — ①

(9)

Let $\epsilon > 0$

$$(\text{By Thm-3.1}) \quad \exists s_\epsilon \in S \text{ s.t. } \inf(S) \leq s_\epsilon < \inf(S) + \frac{\epsilon}{a}$$

$$\Rightarrow as_\epsilon < a\inf(S) + a\frac{\epsilon}{a}$$

(Since $as_\epsilon \in aS \Rightarrow \inf(aS) < as_\epsilon < a\inf(S) + \epsilon$)

Hence we get $\inf(aS) < as_\epsilon < a\inf(S) + a\frac{\epsilon}{a}$

$$\Rightarrow \inf(aS) < a\inf(S) + \epsilon$$

$$\Rightarrow \inf(aS) - a\inf(S) < \epsilon$$

by (1), we have $\inf(aS) - a\inf(S) > 0$

$$\Rightarrow |\inf(aS) - a\inf(S)| < \epsilon$$

Take $x = \inf(aS) - a\inf(S)$

Now we use Result of Q **\rightarrow** b

i.e. $|x| < \epsilon$; for any $\epsilon > 0 \Rightarrow x = 0$

as $\epsilon > 0$ is arb.

$$\Rightarrow \inf(aS) - a\inf(S) = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \underline{\inf(aS) = a\inf(S)}$$

H.P.

\rightarrow 5

(10)

Show: 2) $\text{Sup}(aS) = a \text{Sup}(S)$

Let $v \in aS$

$\Rightarrow v = as$; f.s. $s \in S$

We know $s \leq \text{Sup}(S)$; $\forall s \in S$
 $as \leq a \text{Sup}(S)$; $\forall s \in S$
 $v \leq a \text{Sup}(S)$

Since v is arb. elt of aS

Hence $v \leq a \text{Sup}(S)$; $\forall v \in aS$

But $a \text{Sup}(S)$ is u.b. for set aS

Hence $\text{Sup}(aS) \leq a \text{Sup}(S)$ — ②

Use Th^m $u = \text{Sup}(S)$ iff for every $\epsilon > 0$
 $\exists x_\epsilon \in S : u - \epsilon < x_\epsilon \leq u$

Let $\epsilon > 0$, $\exists s_\epsilon \in S : \text{Sup}(S) - \frac{\epsilon}{a} < s_\epsilon \leq \text{Sup}(S)$

$\Rightarrow a \text{Sup}(S) - \epsilon < as_\epsilon$

Since $as_\epsilon \in aS$ which implies $as_\epsilon \leq \text{Sup}(aS)$

By combining above inequality we get

$a \text{Sup}(S) - \epsilon < \text{Sup}(aS)$

$a \text{Sup}(S) - \text{Sup}(aS) < \epsilon$, where LHS ≥ 0 (by ②)

(11)

Now, we apply Result of Q \rightarrow 6
by taking $x = a\text{Sup}(S) - \text{Sup}(aS)$

As $\epsilon > 0$ is arb.

$$\text{Hence } a\text{Sup}(S) - \text{Sup}(aS) = 0$$

$$\text{Hence } \text{Sup}(aS) = a\text{Sup}(S)$$

(b) Let $b < 0$ be a real no.

$$\text{Let } bS = \{bs ; s \in S\}$$

$$\text{Prove } 1) \text{Gnf}(bS) = b\text{Sup}(S)$$

$$2) \text{Sup}(bS) = b\text{Gnf}(S)$$

Hw. for students

Remark:-

Take $b = -1$
we get

$$\boxed{\begin{aligned} \text{Gnf}(-S) &= -\text{Sup}(S) \\ \text{Sup}(-S) &= -\text{Gnf}(S) \end{aligned}}$$

by (2)

Prove whether foll. sets are bdd from above/below
Then find sup, gnf

$\Phi \rightarrow 7$

(12)

(a) $\{y = 1 - \frac{1}{n} ; n \in \mathbb{N}\}$

(b) $\{y = x + \frac{1}{x} ; x > 0\}$

(c) $\{y = 2^x + 2^{-x} ; x > 0\}$

(a) This is H.W.

Proof (b) $S = \left\{ y = x + \frac{1}{x} ; x > 0 \right\}$

For $x > 0$, we have $x + \frac{1}{x} > 0$. Hence set is bdd from below

Use: AM \geq GM

$$\frac{a+b}{2} \geq \sqrt{ab} \quad ; a, b \in \mathbb{R}^+$$

Taking $a = x$, $b = \frac{1}{x}$, we get

$$y = x + \frac{1}{x} \geq 2 \sqrt{x \cdot \frac{1}{x}}$$

$y = x + \frac{1}{x} \geq 2$ Since y is arb. elt of S .

Hence 2 is lower bound for set (S)

But at $x=1$, we get $y=2 \in \text{Set}(S)$

Hence $\text{gnf}(S) = 2$ (By Remark - 3.1 of Notes)

Show: Sup(S) does not exist

Let if possible Sup(S) exist say M

Consider $M + \frac{1}{M} > M = \text{Sup}(S) ; M + \frac{1}{M} \in \text{Set}$

Hence we get one element $M + \frac{1}{M} \in \text{Set}$ s.t.

$M + \frac{1}{M} > \text{Sup}(S)$

contradict. Hence our supposition is wrong

Hence Sup(S) does not exist

(c) Set = {
 $y =$ }

Again we get

Hence

But at

1

Show:

Let

Consider

So,

So

H

$$\textcircled{c} \quad \text{Set} = \{ y = 2^x + 2^{y_x} ; x > 0 \}$$

(13)

Again apply:- AM ≥ GM

$$\begin{aligned} \text{we get } 2^x + 2^{y_x} &\geq 2 \left(2^x \cdot 2^{y_x} \right)^{y_2} \\ &\geq 2 \left(2^{x + \frac{1}{x} - 2 + 2} \right)^{y_2} \\ &\geq 2 \left(2^{\frac{(x-1)^2 + 1}{2x}} \right) \\ &\geq 2 \cdot (2^1) \quad \left(\because \frac{(x-1)^2}{2x} > 0 ; \forall x > 0 \right) \end{aligned}$$

Hence 4 is lower bound

But at $x=1$, we get $y=4 \in \text{Set}$

Hence $\inf(S) = 4$

Show: $\sup(\text{set})$ does not exist

Let if possible $\sup(\text{set})$ exist say M

Consider $2^M + 2^{y_M} \geq 2^M > M$

So, we get one element $2^M + 2^{y_M} \in \text{Set}$

which is greater than $\sup(\text{set})$

i.e contradiction

So, our supposition is wrong

Hence $\sup(\text{set})$ does not exist

Q→8. Let S be n.e. subset of \mathbb{R}

(14)

Prove: if a number u in \mathbb{R} has properties :-

① for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the number $u - \frac{1}{n}$ is not u.b. of set S .

② for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the number $u + \frac{1}{n}$ is an u.b. of Set S . &

then $u = \text{Sup } S$.

Let $\epsilon > 0$ be any no. (Given)

As S is bdd. above

By Completeness Axiom, $\text{Sup}(S)$ exist say x

R.T.P $x = u$

As $\text{Sup}(S) = x$

By prop ① $u - \frac{1}{n}$ is not an u.b. ; for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$

So $u - \frac{1}{n} < x$; $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$ — ①

(As x is an u.b.)

Now $\text{Sup}(S) = x$

By prop ② $u + \frac{1}{n}$ is u.b. ; $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$

But x is the smallest u.b.

So $x \leq u + \frac{1}{n}$; $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$ — ②

By ①, ②
 $u - \frac{1}{n} <$

Hence

For any
 $\exists n$

Since ③

s_n pa

So

8in

S

Hence

(15)

By ①, ②

$$u - \frac{1}{n} < x \leq u + \frac{1}{n} ; \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$$

Hence $|x-u| \leq \frac{1}{n} ; \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$

(Use $\varphi \rightarrow 1$
Result)

(3)

For any given $\varepsilon > 0$

$$\exists n_{\varepsilon} \in \mathbb{N} : n_{\varepsilon} > \frac{1}{\varepsilon}$$

(∴ we take $x = \varepsilon, y = 1$
in Archimed. prop.
Thm)

(4)

Since ③ holds $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$ In particular, take $n = n_{\varepsilon}$

$$\text{So } |x-u| \leq \frac{1}{n_{\varepsilon}} \\ < \varepsilon \quad (\text{by ④})$$

Since $\varepsilon > 0$ is arb. element

$$\text{So } |x-u| < \varepsilon ; \text{ for every } \varepsilon > 0$$

Hence by $\varphi \rightarrow b$ Result

$$x-u=0$$

$$x=u$$

$$\underline{\text{Sup}(S)=u}$$

H.P.