

# A CONDENSATION CROSSOVER IN SOFTMAX ATTENTION

ANIKET DESHPANDE

## Abstract

In this brief note, we study a toy scaled dot-product attention model with Gaussian logits and a softmax inverse temperature  $\beta$ . Matching the bulk log-sum-exp scale of  $\log Z_\beta$  with the extreme-value scale of the maximum logit yields an  $N$ -dependent condensation crossover  $\beta_c(N, \sigma) = \sigma^{-1} \sqrt{2 \log N}$ . Under the scaling  $\beta = t\beta_c$ ,  $w_{max} \rightarrow 0$  for  $t < 1$  while  $w_{max} = \Theta(1)$  for  $t > 1$ , approaching 1 only when  $\beta/\beta_c \rightarrow \infty$ . Because,  $\beta_c \rightarrow \infty$  with  $N$ , fixed  $\beta = O(1)$  remains diffuse as  $N \rightarrow \infty$ , and the Transformer's  $d^{-1/2}$  scaling can be read as keeping logit variance  $O(1)$  to avoid trivial noise-driven condensation.

Let us define a toy attention model. Let  $N$  be the sequence length (the number of competing keys). Let  $d$  denote the head dimension  $d_k$  (the dimension of  $q$  and each key  $k_j$  within one head).<sup>1</sup> Lastly, we define inverse temperature  $\beta \geq 0$ , a softmax sharpness. Let the query be a (possibly random) vector  $q \in \mathbb{R}^d$ . Let the keys be  $k_1, \dots, k_N \in \mathbb{R}^d$ . Using this, let us define scaled dot-product logits:

$$U_j := \frac{1}{\sqrt{d}} q^T k_j, \quad j = 1, \dots, N, \quad (1)$$

and define softmax attention weights

$$w_j := \frac{\exp(\beta U_j)}{\sum_{\ell=1}^N \exp(\beta U_\ell)}, \quad \sum_{j=1}^N w_j = 1, \quad w_j \geq 0. \quad (2)$$

With this, let us define the partition function

$$Z_\beta := \sum_{\ell=1}^N \exp(\beta U_\ell). \quad (3)$$

We wish to examine *attention collapse*. A clean order parameter is the maximum attention weight

$$w_{max} := \max_{j \in [N]} w_j. \quad (4)$$

A *diffuse* attention would result in the maximum attention weight vanishing as  $N \rightarrow \infty$ <sup>2</sup>. A *collapsed* attention means  $w_{max} = \Theta(1)$ , with  $w_{max} \rightarrow 1$  only in a deep low-temperature limit where softmax approaches a hard argmax. We will show a sharp threshold in  $\beta$  separating these regimes.

Assume the keys are i.i.d. standard Gaussian  $k_j \stackrel{\text{i.i.d.}}{\sim} \mathcal{N}(0, I_d)$  and  $q$  is independent of  $\{k_j\}$ . First, let us condition on  $q$ . Because  $k_j$  is normally distributed,

$$q^T k_j \mid q \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \|q\|^2) \implies U_j \mid q \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2),$$

---

*Date:* January 12, 2026.

These notes will eventually supplement a blog post on [aniketdeshpande.com](http://aniketdeshpande.com).

<sup>1</sup>Note that  $d$  need not be equal to the model/embedding dimension  $d_m$ .

<sup>2</sup>often on the order of  $\log N/N$  rather than  $1/N$

with the conditional variance defined as  $\sigma^2 := \|q\|^2/d$ . Moreover, conditional on  $q$ , the  $U_j$  are i.i.d. Let  $M_N := \max_{j \in [N]} U_j$ . Then, always,

$$\exp(\beta M_N) \leq Z_\beta \leq N \exp(\beta M_N). \quad (5)$$

Taking logarithms, we arrive at

$$\beta M_N \leq \log Z_\beta \leq \beta M_N + \log N.$$

This is simply because the largest term is at most the sum, and the sum is at most  $N$  times the largest term. A sharp finite-size crossover emerges from a competition. In the bulk regime, many terms contribute to  $Z_\beta$ . In the maximum regime, a finite number of extreme terms dominate  $Z_\beta$ .

It is useful to separate *annealed* and *quenched* log-partitions. Define

$$A_\beta := \log \mathbb{E}[Z_\beta | q], \quad Q_\beta := \mathbb{E}[\log Z_\beta | q].$$

By Jensen,  $Q_\beta \leq A_\beta$ . We compute the annealed partition function using the moment

$$\mathbb{E}[Z_\beta | q] = N \mathbb{E}[\exp(\beta U)] = N \exp\left(\frac{1}{2}\beta^2 \sigma^2\right), \quad U \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2),$$

so

$$A_\beta = \log N + \frac{1}{2}\beta^2 \sigma^2. \quad (6)$$

To relate this to the *typical* (quenched) behavior of  $\log Z_\beta$ , note that the map

$$(u_1, \dots, u_N) \mapsto \log\left(\sum_{j=1}^N e^{\beta u_j}\right)$$

is  $\beta$ -Lipschitz in the Euclidean norm: its gradient is  $\beta(w_1, \dots, w_N)$ , so  $\|\nabla \log Z_\beta\|_2 = \beta \|w\|_2 \leq \beta$ . Hence, conditional on  $q$ , standard Gaussian concentration for Lipschitz functions implies that  $\log Z_\beta$  fluctuates around  $Q_\beta$  by at most  $O(\beta\sigma)$  with overwhelming probability [5]. In the regime where  $\log Z_\beta$  is order  $\log N$ , these fluctuations are lower-order. In the high-temperature (bulk) regime, standard random energy model arguments (or a second-moment method) imply that  $Q_\beta = A_\beta + o(\log N)$ , i.e. annealed and quenched free energies match at leading order [3]. Thus, in the bulk regime,  $A_\beta$  is a correct *log-scale* approximation for  $\log Z_\beta$ :

$$\log Z_\beta \approx \log N + \frac{1}{2}\beta^2 \sigma^2. \quad (7)$$

For  $N$  i.i.d. Gaussians, the maximum satisfies the classic scale [4]

$$M_N \approx \sigma \sqrt{2 \log N}$$

up to lower-order corrections (e.g., of order  $\sigma/\sqrt{\log N}$ ). If the extreme tail dominates, then

$$\log Z_\beta \approx \beta M_N \approx \beta \sigma \sqrt{2 \log N}.$$

Now, we solve for the critical inverse temperature  $\beta_c$  by matching bulk and maximum approximations. The transition occurs when the bulk and max approximations are of the same order:

$$\log N + \frac{1}{2}\beta^2 \sigma^2 \approx \beta \sigma \sqrt{2 \log N}. \quad (8)$$

We rearrange and find a condition for when the expression vanishes:

$$0 \approx \frac{1}{2}\beta^2\sigma^2 - \beta\sigma\sqrt{2\log N} + \log N = \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2\left(\beta - \frac{1}{\sigma}\sqrt{2\log N}\right)^2.$$

This vanishes at exactly

$$\beta_c(N, \sigma) = \frac{1}{\sigma}\sqrt{2\log N}. \quad (9)$$

This is the *critical* inverse temperature for softmax condensation over  $N$  Gaussian logits, analogous to the freezing transition in random energy models [3].

Now, let us show that the order parameter changes from vanishing to  $\Theta(1)$ . Pick  $j^* := \arg \max_j U_j$ , so that  $U_{j^*} = M_N$ . Then,

$$w_{\max} = w_{j^*} = \frac{\exp(\beta M_N)}{Z_\beta}. \quad (10)$$

When  $w_{\max} \rightarrow 0$ , we are below criticality. Using the bulk approximation  $\log Z_\beta \approx \log N + \frac{1}{2}\beta^2\sigma^2$  and  $M_N \approx \sigma\sqrt{2\log N}$  gives

$$w_{\max} \approx \exp\left(\beta\sigma\sqrt{2\log N} - \log N - \frac{1}{2}\beta^2\sigma^2\right). \quad (11)$$

Let us define the term inside the exponential as  $\Phi(\beta)$  and complete the square,

$$\Phi(\beta) = -\frac{1}{2}\sigma^2\left(\beta - \frac{1}{\sigma}\sqrt{2\log N}\right)^2 = -\frac{1}{2}\sigma^2(\beta - \beta_c)^2 \leq 0.$$

A clean way to interpret the asymptotics is to compare  $\beta$  to  $\beta_c$ . Fix  $t \in (0, 1)$  and set  $\beta = t\beta_c(N, \sigma)$ . Then  $\Phi(\beta) = -(1-t)^2\log N$  and  $w_{\max} \approx N^{-(1-t)^2} \rightarrow 0$  as  $N \rightarrow \infty$ . In particular, for any fixed  $\beta = O(1)$  and  $N \rightarrow \infty$ , we have  $\beta/\beta_c \rightarrow 0$  and attention remains diffuse.

Now, let us consider the regime above criticality. When  $\beta > \beta_c$ , the partition function is no longer controlled by the bulk of  $O(N)$  typical logits, but instead by the extreme tail. So only the largest few  $U_j$  contribute appreciably to

$$Z_\beta = \sum_{j=1}^N \exp(\beta U_j).$$

Although the top logit  $M_N = \max_j U_j$  is separated from the bulk by a gap of order  $\sqrt{\log N}$ , the near-maximum spacings are much smaller (so we should not generally expect a deterministic single-winner limit at fixed  $\beta/\beta_c > 1$ ) [4]. Writing  $j^* = \arg \max_j U_j$ , we have the exact identity

$$w_{\max} := w_{j^*} = \frac{\exp(\beta M_N)}{\sum_{j=1}^N \exp(\beta U_j)} = \frac{1}{1 + \sum_{j \neq j^*} \exp(-\beta(M_N - U_j))}.$$

For  $\beta > \beta_c$ , the sum receives non-negligible contributions only from a finite number of near-maximum logits, and one enters the condensed phase where  $w_{\max}$  is order one. In the deeper low-temperature limit  $\beta/\beta_c \rightarrow \infty$ , softmax approaches a hard argmax and  $w_{\max} \rightarrow 1$ .

$$w_{\max} = \frac{1}{1 + \sum_{j \neq j^*} \exp(-\beta(M_N - U_j))} = \Theta(1), \quad \beta > \beta_c. \quad (12)$$

Thus, the toy model exhibits a condensation crossover at the  $N$ -dependent scale  $\beta_c$ : under the scaling  $\beta = t\beta_c(N, \sigma)$ , the maximum weight changes from vanishing to  $\Theta(1)$  at  $t > 1$ .

Let us substitute in Transformer variables and explore dependence on  $N$  and  $d$ . Recall that  $\sigma^2 = \|q\|^2/d$ . If  $q$  is typical isotropic with  $\|q\|^2 \approx d$  (e.g., by norm concentration for large  $d$ ), then  $\sigma \approx 1$  and

$$\beta_c(N) \approx \sqrt{2 \log N} \quad (13)$$

for a single attention head with Gaussian-like logits. If we *remove* the Transformer scaling and instead use logits  $U_j = q^T k_j$ , then  $\sigma^2 \approx \|q\|^2 \approx d$ , so

$$\beta_c \approx \sqrt{\frac{2 \log N}{d}}.$$

For any fixed  $\beta = O(1)$ , large  $d$  would push far above  $\beta_c$ , resulting in *trivial collapse* driven by noise extremes. This is one reason the  $d^{-1/2}$  factor is essential: it keeps logit variance  $O(1)$  across head sizes. In addition, the original Transformer motivation emphasizes gradient stability: without scaling, dot products grow in magnitude with  $d_k$ , pushing softmax into saturation and producing very small gradients [1].

If one key has a deterministic advantage  $m^3$  while the others are  $U_j \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2)$ , then the target weight is

$$w_* = \frac{\exp(\beta m)}{\exp(\beta m) + \sum_{j=2}^N \exp(\beta U_j)}. \quad (14)$$

Successful retrieval occurs when the signal advantage outcompetes the noise floor, but the sharp condition depends on the phase.

In the diffuse (bulk) regime,  $\log \sum_{j=2}^N e^{\beta U_j} \approx \log N + \frac{1}{2}\beta^2\sigma^2$ , so

$$w_* \approx \exp\left(\beta m - \log N - \frac{1}{2}\beta^2\sigma^2\right).$$

Thus  $w_*$  remains non-negligible only if

$$m \gtrsim \frac{\log N}{\beta} + \frac{1}{2}\beta\sigma^2.$$

In the condensed (extreme) regime,  $\sum_{j=2}^N \exp(\beta U_j)$  is dominated by a finite number of near-maximal noise logits, and the relevant comparison is to  $M_N$ :

$$w_* \approx \frac{1}{1 + \exp(\beta(M_N - m)) \cdot \Theta(1)}.$$

Thus, retrieval requires  $m$  to exceed the top noise level by at least an  $O(1/\beta)$  margin. In the hard-argmax limit  $\beta/\beta_c \rightarrow \infty$ , this reduces to the extreme-value inequality

$$m > \sigma \sqrt{2 \log N},$$

i.e. the signal must beat the noise maximum. When this inequality fails, we have *condensation on noise*: increasing  $\beta$  sharpens the argmax *toward* the largest noise key, producing a “hallucination” winner. When it holds, we have *condensation on signal*: the target key captures most of the attention mass.

---

<sup>3</sup>the target logit =  $m$ .

## References

- [1] Ashish Vaswani, Noam Shazeer, Niki Parmar, Jakob Uszkoreit, Llion Jones, Aidan N. Gomez, Łukasz Kaiser, and Illia Polosukhin. *Attention Is All You Need*. NeurIPS, 2017. <https://arxiv.org/abs/1706.03762>
- [2] Shi Chen, Zhengjiang Lin, Yury Polyanskiy, and Philippe Rigollet. *Critical attention scaling in long-context transformers*. arXiv:2510.05554, 2025. <https://arxiv.org/abs/2510.05554>
- [3] Marc Mézard and Andrea Montanari. *Information, Physics, and Computation*. Oxford University Press, 2009. (See Chapter 5: The Random Energy Model.) ISBN: 978-0198570837. <https://global.oup.com/academic/product/information-physics-and-computation-9780198570837>
- [4] Andrew B. Nobel. *Gaussian Extreme Values*. Lecture notes, March 2023. [https://nobel.web.unc.edu/wp-content/uploads/sites/13591/2024/04/Gaussian\\_Extremes.pdf](https://nobel.web.unc.edu/wp-content/uploads/sites/13591/2024/04/Gaussian_Extremes.pdf)
- [5] Marek Biskup. *Lecture 6: Concentration for the maximum*. PIMS notes, June 2017. <https://www.math.ucla.edu/~biskup/PIMS/PDFs/lecture6.pdf>
- [6] Gérard Ben Arous, Véronique Gayrard, and A. Kuptsov. *A New REM Conjecture*. Preprint, May 2007. [https://math.nyu.edu/faculty/benarous/Publications/benarous\\_72.pdf](https://math.nyu.edu/faculty/benarous/Publications/benarous_72.pdf)