



# DATA ANALYTICS

## Unit 4: Ensemble Methods and Random Forests

---

**Jyothi R.**

**Assistant Professor**

Department of Computer Science  
and Engineering

- General Idea Combine multiple classifiers usually with different strengths to build a bigger, better classifier



- The ensemble method is a machine-learning-algorithm that generates several classifiers using different sampling strategies such as bootstrap aggregating.
- A majority-voting-approach may be used for classifying a new observation using the multiple classifiers that are part of the ensemble method.
- In the ensemble method, several techniques such as logistic regression, CHAID, CART etc. are used.
- For a new observation, its class is identified using all the classifiers that are part of the ensemble method.
- Different classifiers are likely to classify a new observation into different categories.
- The final class of a new observation is decided based on a majority vote in which each classifier is given equal weightage.

- Adaboosting: Boosting algorithms assign weights to each classifier based on their accuracy.
- A majority-voting-approach may be used for classifying a new observation using the multiple classifiers that are part of the ensemble method.
- In the ensemble method, several techniques such as logistic regression, CHAID, CART etc. are used.
- For a new observation, its class is identified using all the classifiers that are part of the ensemble method.
- Different classifiers are likely to classify a new observation into different categories.
- The final class of a new observation is decided based on a majority vote in which each classifier is given equal weightage.

## Ensemble methods

---



Typical application: classification

Ensemble of classifiers is a set of classifiers whose individual decisions combined in some way to classify new examples.

Simplest approach:

1. Generate multiple classifiers
2. Each votes on test instance
3. Take majority as classification

Classifiers different due to different sampling of training data, or randomized parameters within the classification algorithm

Aim: take simple mediocre algorithm and transform it into a super classifier without requiring any fancy new algorithm.

## Ensemble methods: Summary

---

Differ in training strategy, and combination method

1. Parallel training with different training sets: bagging
2. Sequential training, iteratively re-weighting training examples so current classifier focuses on hard examples: boosting
3. Parallel training with objective encouraging division of labor: mixture of experts

Notes:

- Also known as meta-learning
- Typically applied to weak models, such as decision stumps (single-node decision trees), or linear classifiers

Minimize two sets of errors:

1. Variance: Error from sensitivity to small fluctuations in the training set
2. Bias: Erroneous assumptions in the model.
3. Variance-bias decomposition: is a way of analyzing the generalization error as a sum of 3 terms: variance, bias and irreducible error ( resulting from the problem itself)

## Why do ensemble methods work?

---

Based on one of two basic observations:

1. Variance reduction: if the training sets are completely independent, it will always help to average an ensemble because this will reduce variance without affecting bias (e.g., bagging) -- reduce sensitivity to individual data points.
2. Bias reduction: for simple models, average of models has much greater capacity than single model (e.g., hyperplane classifiers, Gaussian densities). Averaging models can reduce bias substantially by increasing capacity, and control variance by fitting one component at a time (e.g., boosting).



## Ensemble methods: Justification

---

Ensemble methods more accurate than any individual members:

- Accurate (better than guessing)
- Diverse (different errors on new examples)
- Diverse (different errors on new examples)

Independent errors: prob  $k$  of  $N$  classifiers (independent error rate  $\epsilon$ ) wrong:

Independent errors: prob  $k$  of  $N$  classifiers (independent error rate  $\epsilon$ ) wrong:

## Ensemble methods: Netflix

---

- Clear demonstration of the power of ensemble methods
- Original progress prize winner (BellKor) was ensemble of 107 models!
- “Our experience is that most efforts should be concentrated in deriving substantially different approaches, rather than refining a simple technique.”
- “We strongly believe that the success of an ensemble approach depends on the ability of its various predictors to expose different complementing aspects of the data. Experience shows that this is very different than optimizing the accuracy of each individual predictor.”

# DATA ANALYTICS

## Bootstrap estimation

---

- Repeatedly draw  $n$  samples from  $D$
- For each set of samples, estimate a statistic
- The bootstrap estimate is the mean of the individual estimates
- Used to estimate a statistic parameter and its variance
- Bagging: bootstrap aggregation

- Simple idea: generate  $M$  bootstrap samples from your original training set. Train on each one to get  $y$ , and average them
- For regression: average predictions
- For classification: average class probabilities (or take the majority vote if only hard outputs available)
- Bagging approximates the Bayesian posterior mean. The more bootstraps the better, so use as many as you have time for Each bootstrap sample is drawn with replacement, so each one contains some duplicates of certain training points and leaves out other training points completely

## Cross-validated committees

---

- Bagging works well for unstable algorithms: can change dramatically with small changes in training data
- But can hurt a stable algorithm: a Bayes optimal algorithm may leave out some training examples in every bootstrap
- Alternative method based on different training examples: cross-validated committees:
  - Here  $k$  disjoint subsets of data are left out of training sets
  - Again uses majority for combination

## Boosting

---

- Also works by manipulating training set, but classifiers trained sequentially
- Each classifier trained given knowledge of the performance of previously trained classifiers: focus on hard examples
- Final classifier: weighted sum of component classifiers

### **Text Book:**

“Business Analytics, The Science of Data-Driven Making”, U. Dinesh Kumar, Wiley 2017

“Recommender Systems, The text book, Charu C. Aggarwal, Springer 2016 Section 1. and Section 2.

# DATA ANALYTICS

## Image Courtesy

<http://webcache.googleusercontent.com/search?q=cache:vW5NL8dqVQkJ:www.os.kent.edu/~jin/DM07/ClassificationDecisionTree.ppt+&cd=5&hl=en&ct=clnk&gl=in>

[https://cmci.colorado.edu/classes/INFO-4604/fa17/files/slides-16\\_ensemble.pdf](https://cmci.colorado.edu/classes/INFO-4604/fa17/files/slides-16_ensemble.pdf)







---

# THANK YOU

---

**Jyothi R.**  
Assistant Professor,  
Department of Computer Science  
[jyothir@pes.edu](mailto:jyothir@pes.edu)