

Data Structures and Algorithms

Dr. L. Rajya Lakshmi

Randomized quick sort: Expected running time

$$\begin{aligned} E[X] &= E\left[\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \sum_{j=i+1}^n X_{ij}\right] \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \sum_{j=i+1}^n E[X_{ij}] \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \sum_{j=i+1}^n \Pr\{z_i \text{ is compared to } z_j\} \end{aligned}$$

- We have to compute the quantity, $\Pr\{z_i \text{ is compared to } z_j\}$
- Consider an input array $A = \{41, 11, 21, 51, 81, 61, 31, 71, 101, 91\}$
- Assume that the first call to Partition separates this array into two sets: $\{41, 11, 21, 51, 31\}$ and $\{81, 71, 101, 91\}$
- An element from either of these sets will ever be compared with the elements in the other set?

Randomized quick sort: Expected running time

- Consider a set Z_{ij}
- If an element “ x ” s.t. $z_i < x < z_j$ is selected as the pivot, then can we compare z_i and z_j at any subsequent time?
- If z_i is chosen as the pivot, then z_i will be compared to all elements in Z_{ij} except for itself
- If z_j is chosen as the pivot, then z_j will be compared to all elements in Z_{ij} except for itself
- Observation 3:

Elements z_i and z_j are compared if the first element to be chosen as pivot from Z_{ij} is either z_i or z_j

Randomized quick sort: Expected running time

- Till the point we select an element from Z_{ij} as the pivot, all elements in Z_{ij} are in the same partition
- $A = \{41, 11, 21, 51, 81, 61, 31, 71, 101, 91\}$
- First two smallest elements: $Z_{12} = \{11, 21\}$
- $A_1 = \{41, 11, 21, 51, 31\}$; $A_2 = \{81, 71, 101, 91\}$
- $A_{11} = \{11, 21\}$; $A_{12} = \{41, 51\}$
- $A_{111} = \{11\}$
- Any elements from Z_{ij} is equally likely to be selected as the pivot
- There are $j-i+1$ elements in Z_{ij}
- The probability that any given element is the first one chosen as pivot is $1/(j-i+1)$

Randomized quick sort: Expected running time

- $\Pr\{z_i \text{ is compared to } z_j\} = \Pr\{z_i \text{ or } z_j \text{ is the first pivot chosen from } Z_{ij}\}$
 $= \Pr\{z_i \text{ is the first pivot chosen from } Z_{ij}\} +$
 $\Pr\{z_j \text{ is the first pivot chosen from } Z_{ij}\}$
 $= 1/(j-i+1) + 1/(j-i+1)$
 $= 2/(j-i+1)$

Randomized quick sort: Expected running time

- Using $\Pr\{z_i \text{ is compared to } z_j\}$,

$$\begin{aligned} E[X] &= \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \sum_{j=i+1}^n 2/(j-i+1) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \sum_{k=1}^{n-i} 2/(k+1) \\ &< \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \sum_{k=1}^n 2/k \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} O(\log n) \end{aligned}$$

$E[X]$ is $O(n \log n)$

- Using Randomized_Partition, the expected running time of quick sort algorithm is $O(n \log n)$ when the elements are distinct

Alternate analysis of expected running time

- Analyse the running time in terms of the expected running time of each individual recursive calls to randomised quicksort
- An array of n distinct elements
- The probability of selecting an element as pivot is: $1/n$
- $X_i = I\{\text{ith smallest element is selected as pivot}\}$
$$= \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if ith smallest element is selected as pivot} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$
- $E[X_i] = 1/n$

Alternate analysis of expected running time

- Suppose that i th smallest element is selected as the pivot
- The input array gets divided into two subarrays of size $(i-1)$ and $(n-i)$
- The running time is:

$$T(n) = X_i(T(i-1) + T(n-i) + \theta(n))$$

- Expectation over all events and linearity of expectation

$$\begin{aligned} E[T(n)] &= E\left[\sum_{i=1}^n X_i(T(i-1) + T(n-i) + \theta(n))\right] \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n E[X_i(T(i-1) + T(n-i) + \theta(n))] \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n E[X_i](E[T(i-1)] + E[T(n-i)] + \theta(n)) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n (E[T(i-1)] + E[T(n-i)] + \theta(n))/n \end{aligned}$$

Alternate analysis of expected running time

$$\begin{aligned} E[T(n)] &= \theta(n) + 1/n \sum_{i=1}^n (E[T(i-1)] + E[T(n-i)]) \\ &= \theta(n) + \frac{1}{n} (\sum_{i=1}^n E[T(i-1)] + \sum_{i=1}^n E[T(n-i)]) \\ &= \theta(n) + \frac{1}{n} (\sum_{i=1}^n E[T(i-1)] + \sum_{i=1}^n E[T(i-1)]) \\ &= \theta(n) + \frac{2}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n E[T(i-1)] \\ &= \theta(n) + \frac{2}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} E[T(i)] \\ &= \theta(n) + \frac{2}{n} \sum_{i=2}^{n-1} E[T(i)] \end{aligned}$$

Alternate analysis of expected running time

$$E[T(n)] = \theta(n) + \frac{2}{n} \sum_{i=2}^{n-1} E[T(i)]$$

$$E[T(n)] = \frac{2}{n} \sum_{i=2}^{n-1} E[T(i)] + cn$$

$$nE[T(n)] = 2 \sum_{i=2}^{n-1} E[T(i)] + cn^2$$

$$(n-1) E[T(n-1)] = 2 \sum_{i=2}^{n-2} E[T(i)] + c(n-1)^2$$

Take difference:

$$nE[T(n)] - (n-1) E[T(n-1)] = 2E[T(n-1)] + 2cn - c$$

$$nE[T(n)] = (n+1)E[T(n-1)] + 2cn$$

Divide by $n(n+1)$:

$$\frac{E[T(n)]}{n+1} = \frac{E[T(n-1)]}{n} + \frac{2c}{n+1}$$

Alternate analysis of expected running time

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{E[T(n)]}{n+1} &= \frac{E[T(n-1)]}{n} + \frac{2c}{n+1} \\ \frac{E[T(n-1)]}{n} &= \frac{E[T(n-2)]}{n-1} + \frac{2c}{n} \\ \frac{E[T(n-2)]}{n-1} &= \frac{E[T(n-3)]}{n-2} + \frac{2c}{n-1} \\ &\vdots \\ &\vdots \\ &\vdots \\ \frac{E[T(2)]}{3} &= \frac{E[T(1)]}{2} + \frac{2c}{3}\end{aligned}$$

Adding these equations:

$$\frac{E[T(n)]}{n+1} = \frac{E[T(1)]}{2} + 2c \sum_{i=3}^{n+1} \frac{1}{i}$$

Alternate analysis of expected running time

$$\frac{E[T(n)]}{n+1} = \frac{E[T(1)]}{2} + 2c \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \frac{1}{i} - \frac{3}{2}$$

$E[T(n)]$ is $O(n \log n)$

Space complexity

- It is a function describing the amount of memory space an algorithms takes in terms of input size
- Can use natural units to measure the space requirement
- Number of integers used, number of fixed size structures
- The function is independent of bytes needed to represent a unit

Algorithm $\text{sum}(x, y, z)$

$r \leftarrow x + y + z$

return r

Space complexity

Algorithm sum1(A, n)

$r \leftarrow 0$

 for $i \leftarrow 0$ to $n-1$ do

$r \leftarrow (r + A[i])$

 return r

Space complexity

Algorithm matrixAdd(A, B, n)

 for $i \leftarrow 0$ to $n-1$ do

 for $j \leftarrow 0$ to $n-1$ do

$A[i,j] \leftarrow (A[i,j] + B[i,j])$

Quick sort algorithm

Initial call: Quick_Sort(A, 0, n-1)

Quick_Sort(A, p, r)

if($p < r$)

q = Partititon(A, p, r)

Quick_Sort(A, p, q-1)

Quick_Sort(A, q+1, r)

Another version of quicksort

- The second recursive call is not really necessary
- Can be avoided using an iterative control structure
- This technique is called tail recursion

Tail_Recursive_Quicksort(A, p, r)

 while $p < r$

$q \leftarrow \text{Partition}(A, p, r)$

 Tail_Recursive_Quicksort(A, p, $q-1$)

$p \leftarrow q+1$

Another version of quicksort

- Compilers use a stack to execute recursive calls
- This stack contains pertinent information including the parameter values for each recursive call
- Recent information is at the top
- When a procedure is called, its information is pushed onto stack
- When a procedure is terminated, its information is popped from stack
- Assume that the array parameters are represented by pointers
- The information for each procedure call: $O(1)$
- Stack depth: the maximum amount of stack space used at any time during a computation

Another version of quicksort

Initial call: Tail_Recursive_Quicksort(A, 0, n-1)

Tail_Recursive_Quicksort(A, p, r)

 while $p < r$

$q \leftarrow \text{Partition}(A, p, r)$

 Tail_Recursive_Quicksort(A, p, q-1)

$p \leftarrow q+1$

When does the stack depth is $\theta(n)$ on an n-element input array?

Another version of quicksort

- How can we modify the code so that worst-case stack depth is $\theta(\log n)$

Algorithm Tail_Recursive_Quicksort1(A, p, r)

 while $p < r$

$q \leftarrow \text{Partition}(A, p, r)$

 if $q < \lfloor (p + r)/2 \rfloor$

 Tail_Recursive_Quicksort1(A, p, q-1)

$p \leftarrow q+1$

 else

 Tail_Recursive_Quicksort1(A, q+1, r)

$r \leftarrow q-1$