# Data Structures and Algorithms Dr. L. Rajya Lakshmi

# Analysis of divide-and-conquer algorithms

- If an algorithm contains recursive calls to itself, then its running time can be expressed by a recurrence equation or recurrence
- Running time of algorithm on input size n is expressed in terms of running time on smaller input sizes
- Assume that, if  $n \le c$ , then the problem can be solved directly
- If n > c, then the problem is divided into "a" subproblems of size "n/b"
- D(n) is the time to divide and C(n) is the time to combine

$$T(n) = \begin{cases} \text{time to solve the trivial problem} & \text{if } n \leq c, \\ aT(n/b) + D(n) + C(n) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

# Analysis of divide-and-conquer algorithms

- Assume that n is a power of 2
- Recurrence for merge sort:

$$T(n) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } n = 1, \\ 2T(n/2) + n & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

# Solving recurrences

- Four methods to solve recurrences
- Iterative substitution method
  - Expand the recurrence by substitution and observe patterns
- The recursion tree method
  - Similar to iterative substitution method, visual approach
- Substitution method (guess-and-test method)
  - Make an educated guess of the closed form solution and then justify the solution usually by induction
- The master method
  - A general and cook-book method to determine asymptotic characterization of a wide variety of recurrences

#### Iterative substitution method

- Substitute the general form of the recurrence for each occurrence of function T on the right hand-side
- Substitutions are done with the hope that at some point we see a pattern that can converted into a general closed form equation (with T appearing only on the left hand-side

#### Iterative substitution method

• Ex: Consider the recurrence equation of merge-sort

$$T(n) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } n = 1, \\ 2T(n/2) + n & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$2T(n/2) = 2(2(T(n/4)) + n/2)$$
  
=  $4T(n/4) + n$   
 $T(n) = 4T(n/4) + 2n$ 

$$4T(n/4) = 4(2(T(n/8)) + n/4)$$
  
=  $8T(n/8) + n$   
 $T(n) = 8T(n/8) + 3n$ 

#### Iterative substitution method

Continuing in this manner, we obtain

$$T(n) = 2kT(n/2k) + kn$$
Using k = log n (log n is log<sub>2</sub>n), we obtain
$$T(n) = n T(1) + n log n$$

$$= n + n log n$$

#### The recursive tree method


- This technique also uses repeated substitutions, not in an algebraic manner, but in visual manner
- Draw a tree R where each node represents a different substitution of the recurrence equation
- Each node v of R has a value of the argument n of T(n)
- An overhead is associated with each node v in R
- Solution: the summation of overheads associated with all nodes in R

#### The recursive tree method


Ex: Consider the below given recurrence (n is an exact power of 3)

$$T(n) = \begin{cases} c & \text{if } n < 3, \\ \\ 3T(n/3) + bn & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

What does this recurrence equation represent?



#### The recursive tree method



- First make an educated guess as to what a closed form solution of the recurrence equation might look like
- Then justify the guess usually by induction
- Powerful yet must be able to guess the form of the solution

Ex: Consider the following recurrence

$$T(n) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } n < 2, \\ 2T(\lfloor n/2 \rfloor) + n & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

- First guess:  $T(n) \le cn \log n$ , for some constant c > 0
- Assume that this first guess is inductive hypothesis for input sizes smaller than n
- This guess holds true for m<n, in particular m =  $\lfloor n/2 \rfloor$
- $T(\lfloor n/2 \rfloor) \le c \lfloor n/2 \rfloor \log (\lfloor n/2 \rfloor)$

```
T(n) = 2T(\lfloor n/2 \rfloor) + n
\leq 2c\lfloor n/2 \rfloor \log (\lfloor n/2 \rfloor) + n
\leq cn \log (n/2) + n
= cn \log n - cn \log 2 + n
= cn \log n - cn + n
\leq cn \log n
```

The last step holds as long as  $c \ge 1$ 

- We have to show that our guess T(n) ≤ cn log n works for boundary conditions as well
- According to the guess,  $T(1) \le c \cdot 1 \log 1 = 0$
- According asymptotic notation, we have to show that  $T(n) \le cn \log n$ , for  $n \ge n_0$
- Do not consider the problematic boundary condition in the induction proof

$$T(n) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } n < 2, \\ 2T(\lfloor n/2 \rfloor) + n & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

- T(4), T(5), . . . do not directly depend on T(1)
- Only T(2) and T(3) depend on T(1)

- Replace T(1) with T(2) and T(3) (base cases of the induction proof)
- $n_0 = 2$
- T(2) = 4 and T(3) = 5
- Now, we can complete the induction proof by choosing c s.t. T(2) ≤ c 2 log 2 and T(3) ≤ c 3 log 3

#### The master method

- It is a cook-book based approach for determining asymptotic characterization
- Used for recurrences of the form:

$$T(n) = \begin{cases} c & \text{if } n < d, \\ aT(\lfloor n/b \rfloor) + f(n) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

where  $d \ge 1$  is an integer constant and a > 0, c > 0, and b > 1 are real constants and f(n) is a function that is positive for  $n \ge d$ 

#### The master method

- Assume that T(n) and f(n) be as defined previously
- The master theorem
  - If there is a small constant  $\varepsilon > 0$ , s. t. f(n) is  $O(n^{\log_b a \varepsilon})$ , then T(n) is  $\Theta(n^{\log_b a})$
  - If there is a small constant  $k \ge 0$ , s. t. f(n) is  $\theta(n^{\log_b a} \log^k n)$ , then T(n) is  $\theta(n^{\log_b a} \log^{k+1} n)$
  - If there are small constants  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $\delta < 1$ , s. t. f(n) is  $\Omega(n^{\log_b a + \varepsilon})$  and af(n/b)  $\leq \delta f(n)$ , for  $n \geq d$ , then T(n) is  $\theta(f(n))$