ANIL ÇAMCI

THE COGNITIVE CONTINUUM OF ELECTRONIC MUSIC

THE COGNITIVE CONTINUUM OF ELECTRONIC MUSIC

PROEFSCHRIFT

ter verkrijging van de graad van Doctor aan de Universiteit Leiden, op gezag van de Rector Magnificus prof. mr. C.J.J.M. Stolker, volgens besluit van het College voor Promoties te verdedigen op woensdag 3 december 2014 klokke 11.15 uur

door

Anıl Çamcı

PROMOTIECOMISSIE

Promotores:

Prof. Frans de Ruiter

Prof. Richard Barrett

Co-promotores:

Dr. Vincent Meelberg

Radboud Universiteit Nijmegen

Dr. Elif Ozcan Vieira

Technische Universiteit Delft

Overige Leden:

Prof. Clarence Barlow

University of California, Santa Barbara

Dr. Marcel Cobussen

Prof. Dr. Nicolas Collins

School of The Arts Institute of Chicago

Prof. Dr. Simon Emmerson

De Montfort University, Leicester

Dr. Bob Gilmore

Orpheus Instituut, Ghent

Prof. Dr. Larry Polansky

University of California, Santa Cruz

ACCOMPANYING MATERIAL The eight pieces of electronic music composed as part of this research can be accessed at http://anilcamci.com/thesis.

Dit proefschrift is geschreven als een gedeeltelijke vervulling van de vereisten voor het doctoraatsprogramma docARTES. De overblijvende vereiste bestaat uit een demonstratie van de onderzoeksresultaten in de vorm van een artistieke presentatie.

Het docARTES programma is georganiseerd door het Orpheus Instituut te Gent.

In samenwerking met de Universiteit Leiden, de Hogeschool der Kunsten Den Haag, het Conservatorium van Amsterdam, de Katholieke Universiteit Leuven en het Lemmensinstituut.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

INTRODUCTION 1

CHAPTER 1: ARTISTIC CONTEXT

DEFINING ELECTRONIC MUSIC 5

A Brief History of Electronic Music 6 — Why Call it *Electronic Music*? 9

COMPOSITION PRACTICE 12

Birdfish 13 — Element Yon 14 — Christmas 2013 15 — Diegese 16 — Temas 17 — Shadowbands 18 — Hajime 19 — Do You Remember Rob Nolasco 20 — A Relational Map of the Pieces 20

CHAPTER 2: EXPERIMENT DESIGN AND DATA ANALYSIS

EXPERIMENT DESIGN 23

Analytical Approaches to Electronic Music 23 — Experiment Design 26 — Dealing with Experiment Bias 27 — Preliminary Study 27 — Experiment Aim 28 — Stimuli 29 — Participants 29 — Setup 30 — Procedure 30

DATA ANALYSIS 34

Data Visualization 34 — Single-timeline Dynamic Visualization 34 — Multiple-timeline Visualization 35 — **Analysis Methods** 36 — Categorization of the Descriptors 36 — Comparative Analysis 38 — Correspondence Analysis 39 — Discourse Analysis 39

CHAPTER 3: COGNITIVE FOUNDATIONS OF ELECTRONIC MUSIC

COGNITION OF MUSIC 46

Development of Musical Behavior 46 — From Biology to Culture 48 — Music and Emotion 48 — Material and Language of Instrumental Music 50 — Affect in Music 51

COGNITIVE IDIOSYNCRASIES OF ELECTRONIC MUSIC 53

The Composer who is also a Listener 53 — Parameters to Instincts: A Priori to Experiential 55 — Complexity of Listening 59 — Esthesis-Poiesis Model of Semiology 61 — The Esthesic Thread 62 — The Poietic Thread 63 — Amalgamation of Musical Languages 64

CHAPTER 4: GESTURE/EVENT MODEL

EVENTS IN THE ENVIRONMENT 71

Environmental Sounds 71 — **Event** 72 — Models of Experience 73

GESTURES IN ELECTRONIC MUSIC 77

Communication of Meaning 79 — Unitary Function 81 — Causality 82 — Time Scales 83 — Coexistence 83 — Intentionality 84

CHAPTER 5: DIEGESIS: A SEMANTIC PARADIGM

DIEGESIS 87

An Interdisciplinary Contextualization of Diegesis 87 — Coalescence of Mimesis and Diegesis 88 — (Re)presentation 89 — Narrativity 90

DOMAINS OF EXPERIENCE 93

The Physical Domain 94 — Perceptual Properties of Exposure 94 — Awareness of the Physical Self 96 — The Experienced Listener 96 — Presence of the Composer in the Work 97— The Semantic Domain 98 — Effects of Semantic Context 98 — Playing with Anticipation 100 — Human or Not 100 — Contacts Between the Physical and the Semantic Domains 101 — Inside or Outside the Diegesis 102 — Sense of Time 104 — Diegetic Affordances and Affect 105 — Physical Attributes of the Imagined Source 106 — Music as a Diegetic Actor 109 — Quoting Music within Music 109 — A Diegetic Actor as Music 112 — A Semantic Paradigm for Electronic Music 113

CONCLUDING REMARKS 114

BIBLIOGRAPHY 116

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS 127

SUMMARY 128

SAMENVATTING 130

ABOUT THE AUTHOR 132

INTRODUCTION

The use of the electronic medium to compose music entails a variety of cognitive idiosyncrasies which are experienced by both the artist and the audience. Structured around this medium on both practical and conceptual levels, this study utilizes a tripartite methodology involving artistic practice, cognitive experimentation and theoretical discourse to investigate these idiosyncrasies. All three components of this methodology operate concurrently to address a succession of questions: How do we experience electronic music? How does electronic music operate on perceptual, cognitive and affective levels? What are the common concepts activated in the listener's mind when listening to electronic music? Why and how are these concepts activated?

In this book I will argue that our experience of electronic music is guided by a cognitive continuum rooted in our everyday experiences. This continuum will be described as spanning from abstract to representational based on the relationship of gestures in electronic music to events in the environment. I will characterize *gesture* as "a meaningful unit in electronic music", and contend that the cognition of a gesture will be positioned on the said continuum in reference to the listener's past encounters with auditory phenomena. Idiosyncrasies of the electronic music experience will be associated with the cognitive continuum through examples from my artistic practice and listener reports.

During the course of this research, I have composed eight pieces of electronic music. The theoretical constructs discussed in this book contributed to my composition practice on various levels. Four of these works were utilized in experiments conducted with 80 participants. I have designed the said experiment to acquire a detailed account of the listening experience. Furthermore, I have implemented the software to collect and analyze the data from this experiment. Throughout this book, I will refer to various interpretations of these data to motivate links between perceptual models and artistic practice. Having both designed the experiment and composed the material used in it¹, I have established an unmediated connection with the feedback from the listeners. This form of involvement has not only granted me precise control over experimental parameters, but also yielded what I consider to be unique insights into the experience of electronic music. A comprehensive theoretical discourse throughout the book will externalize these insights by relating them to studies from a variety of fields.

I characterize this undertaking as a cognitive study of electronic music not simply due to its incorporation of a listening experiment but also on account of its fundamentally interdisciplinary nature: to produce a comprehensive report on the cognitive processes instigated by electronic music, I will weave links between music composition, cognitive

¹ With the exception of Curtis Roads' piece *Touche pas*, which was also used in the experiments.

psychology, neuroscience, linguistics and philosophy. Furthermore, my composition practice and the methods used to procure and asses the experiment data rely on computer science. In these regards, current research embraces a cognitive science approach towards a study of the experiential characteristics of electronic music.

In 1977, the composer Pierre Boulez wrote that musical invention was faced with a number of challenges "particularly concerned with the relation between the *conception* (we might even say the vision) of the composer and the *realization* in sound of his ideas" (1986: 5). According to Boulez, an understanding of contemporary technology was necessary for the composer to overcome such challenges (12). Thirty-seven years later, technology today is liberated to an unprecedented extent and the divide between the artist and the engineer has all but dissolved. But as we overcame the challenges of technology, we were confronted with the challenges of the emerging prospects. In 1996, the composer Denis Smalley identified the appeal of electronic music in its "openness to maximum imaginative potential", but also asserted that determining and harnessing "the fields of indicative operation" remained a challenge for the composer (101). In an article he wrote the following year, he described that a major problem for the electronic music composer is "to cut an aesthetic path and discover a stability in a wide-open sound world" (1997: 107).

Later in this book, I will ask what is to be unexpected in electronic music if everything is expected of it. If listening to music can be characterized as an artistic experience of contrasts and surprises in various dimensions, the act of composition can be regarded as building up expectations and then either meeting or evading them. I will contend that the network of expectations in electronic music is inherited from everyday life. This does not imply that all composers begin their work with an everyday narrative. Neither do I claim that listening to electronic music is rooted exclusively in representations. But as I will further discuss, abstractness is nevertheless a negation of reality and composers design the unreal based on their knowledge of the real. I will argue that when the virtually limitless vocabulary of electronic music expands that of a culturally established language of music, it instigates for the listener a profusion of references rooted in *events* in the environment.

I will characterize events as units by which perceived time moves forward. I will relate events to environmental sounds and furthermore to electronic music in order to construct an idiomatic definition of a *gesture* in electronic music. These links will be motivated with existing models of experience, and research on auditory perception. To contextualize the cognitive disposition of the human mind during an artistic experience, I will incorporate a semiological model and demarcate gesture as a trace to which the poietic and the esthesic processes apply. This approach will liberate gesture from a communicational hierarchy between the artist and the audience, and will place the emphasis on the complexity of listening instead.

Later in the book, I will propose the concept of *diegesis* to highlight both the physical and the semantic aspects of the communication between the composer and the listener from a narratological perspective. The layer of meaning attribution pertinent to electronic music will be described as forming a semantic domain that is superimposed on the physical domain. I will outline a coalescence of representational modes informed by the various interpretations of diegesis, and I will situate electronic music in a broad context of artistic forms. In doing so, I will attempt to devise a semantic paradigm for a multimodal and contextual evaluation of the gesture/event model. By providing examples from the listener reports, I will delineate various relationships between the domains of experience on both perceptual and conceptual levels.

While formulating the aforementioned theoretical constructs, I will constantly refer to my experimental findings as well as other empirical research. As the analysis of the experiment data will further demonstrate, adopting perceptual models pertaining to everyday life serves an intuitive role in discussing the experience of electronic music. There is a significant amount of research outside of musical studies which might help us better understand the inner workings of this experience, and I will attempt to incorporate these in my own discourse in meaningful ways. The discussion on artistic practice will be in constant reciprocation with a theoretical discourse, in which I will construct arguments by combining perspectives from different paradigms: I will, for instance, bring together Nattiez's trace with Vaggione's action/perception feedback loops, Deleuze and Guattari's affect with Gibson's affordances, and Plato's mimesis with Genette's diegesis. The result will amount to a rigorous portrayal of the electronic music experience in terms of the cognitive processes forming in the composer's mind, which then become embodied in the physical domain in the form of sounds, and finally get subjected to the listener's cognitive appraisal.

According to Smalley, "consistent, thorough and fairly universally applicable analytical tools" are necessary for electronic music to be accepted in wider intellectual circles (1997: 108). Although I did not set out to elaborate analytical devices when establishing the framework of this study, the concepts of gesture and diegesis, as defined in this book, can facilitate the discourse on electronic music not only within musical communities, but within a wider context of artistic research including such fields as fine art, theater and literature. Furthermore, cognitive idiosyncrasies of electronic music detailed in Chapter 3 and practical strategies discussed in Chapter 5 will render the current study relevant for cognitive science and design communities.

Throughout the book, I will question what we hear in electronic music, how we hear it, and what the cognitive determinants of this act are. As I answer these questions, I will delineate the cognitive continuum of electronic music as an inextricable component of the listening experience. I will characterize this continuum as a device at the artist's disposal, and one that can serve to address the challenges described earlier. In 1986, the composer Simon Emmerson suggested that even if a composer is not interested in manipulating the images associated with electronic music, the duality between mimetic and aural contents must at least be taken into account (19). In the same article, Emmerson asserts that future research must combine "psychology of music with investigation of deeper levels of symbolic representation and communication" to examine why particular sound combinations in electronic music 'work' (21). I believe that the current study addresses this appeal in its pursuit to further our awareness and understanding of the cognitive continuum of electronic music.