

Student Information

Full Name : Anıl Eren Göçer

Id Number : 2448397

Question 1

I am asked to prove the following logical equivalence.

$$\neg(p \wedge q) \leftrightarrow (\neg q \rightarrow p) \equiv (p \vee q) \wedge (\neg p \vee \neg q)$$

Let's define the equivalence $p \rightarrow q \equiv \neg p \vee q$ as **identity1**.

Let's also define the equivalence $p \leftrightarrow q \equiv (p \rightarrow q) \wedge (q \rightarrow p)$ as **identity2**.

Steps and Justifications

1. $\neg(p \wedge q) \leftrightarrow (\neg q \rightarrow p)$ **LHS of the equivalence**
2. $\equiv (\neg p \vee \neg q) \leftrightarrow (\neg q \rightarrow p)$ By the first De Morgan law
3. $\equiv (\neg p \vee \neg q) \leftrightarrow (\neg(\neg q) \vee p)$ Using **identity1**
4. $\equiv (\neg p \vee \neg q) \leftrightarrow (q \vee p)$ By the double negation law
5. $\equiv [(\neg p \vee \neg q) \rightarrow (q \vee p)] \wedge [(q \vee p) \rightarrow (\neg p \vee \neg q)]$ Using **identity2**
6. $\equiv [\neg(\neg p \vee \neg q) \vee (q \vee p)] \wedge [(q \vee p) \rightarrow (\neg p \vee \neg q)]$ Using **identity1**
7. $\equiv [\neg(\neg p \vee \neg q) \vee (q \vee p)] \wedge [\neg(q \vee p) \vee (\neg p \vee \neg q)]$ Using **identity1**
8. $\equiv [(\neg(\neg p) \wedge \neg(\neg q)) \vee (q \vee p)] \wedge [(\neg q \wedge \neg p) \vee (\neg p \vee \neg q)]$ By the second De Morgan law
9. $\equiv [(p \wedge q) \vee (q \vee p)] \wedge [(\neg q \wedge \neg p) \vee (\neg p \vee \neg q)]$ By the double negation law
10. $\equiv [((p \wedge q) \vee q) \vee ((p \wedge q) \vee p)] \wedge [(\neg q \wedge \neg p) \vee (\neg p \vee \neg q)]$ Distributive laws
11. $\equiv [((p \wedge q) \vee q) \vee ((p \wedge q) \vee p)] \wedge [((\neg q \wedge \neg p) \vee \neg p) \vee ((\neg q \wedge \neg p) \vee \neg q)]$ Distributive laws
12. $\equiv [(q \vee (p \wedge q)) \vee (p \vee (p \wedge q))] \wedge [(\neg p \vee (\neg q \wedge \neg p)) \vee (\neg q \vee (\neg q \wedge \neg p))]$ Commutative laws
13. $\equiv [(q \vee (q \wedge p)) \vee (p \vee (p \wedge q))] \wedge [(\neg p \vee (\neg p \wedge \neg q)) \vee (\neg q \vee (\neg q \wedge \neg p))]$ Commutative laws
14. $\equiv [q \vee (p \vee (p \wedge q))] \wedge [(\neg p \vee (\neg p \wedge \neg q)) \vee (\neg q \vee (\neg q \wedge \neg p))]$ Absorption laws
15. $\equiv [q \vee p] \wedge [(\neg p \vee (\neg p \wedge \neg q)) \vee (\neg q \vee (\neg q \wedge \neg p))]$ Absorption laws
16. $\equiv [q \vee p] \wedge [\neg p \vee (\neg q \vee (\neg q \wedge \neg p))]$ Absorption laws
17. $\equiv [q \vee p] \wedge [\neg p \vee \neg q]$ Absorption laws
18. $\equiv (p \vee q) \wedge (\neg p \vee \neg q)$ Commutative laws

I have obtained RHS of the equivalence at the end. Hence, we have prove that $\neg(p \wedge q) \leftrightarrow (\neg q \rightarrow p)$ is logically equivalent to $(p \vee q) \wedge (\neg p \vee \neg q)$.

Question 2

$I(x; y)$: x is an intern in faculty y .

$E(x; y)$: x has employee id number y .

$S(x; y)$: x is supervised by y .

$A(x; y)$: x is admitted to job position y .

$J(x; y)$: x is a job position in faculty y .

a. Two different interns in the same faculty cannot have the same employee id number.

Answer a:

$$\forall x \forall y \forall z \forall w ((x \neq y) \wedge I(x, z) \wedge I(y, z) \wedge E(x, w)) \rightarrow \neg E(y, w)$$

where the domain of discourse for x, y is all people, the domain of discourse for z is all faculties and, the domain of discourse for w is all id numbers.

b. There are some interns in all faculties who are supervised by no one but themselves.

Answer b:

$$\forall x \exists y \forall z [I(y, x) \wedge S(y, y) \wedge (S(y, z) \rightarrow (z = y))]$$

where the domain of discourse for x is all faculties, the domain of discourse for y and z is all people.

c. At most two interns can be admitted to each job position in the medicine faculty.

Answer c:

$$\forall x \forall y \forall z \forall w (((x \neq y) \wedge (x \neq z) \wedge (y \neq z) \wedge I(x, \text{medicine}) \wedge I(y, \text{medicine}) \wedge I(z, \text{medicine}) \wedge J(w, \text{medicine}) \wedge A(x, w) \wedge A(y, w)) \rightarrow \neg A(z, w))$$

where the domain of discourse for x, y and z is all people, the domain of discourse for w is all job positions.

Lemmas

In the following questions, I am going to use several lemmas which I will prove first here.

1.	$\neg p \wedge \neg q$	<i>premise</i>
2.	$\neg p$	$\wedge e, 1$
3.	$\neg q$	$\wedge e, 1$
4.	$p \vee q$	assumption
5.	p	assumption
6.	\perp	$\neg e, 2, 5$
7.	$p \rightarrow \perp$	$\rightarrow i, 5 - 6$
8.	q	assumption
9.	\perp	$\neg e, 3, 8$
10.	$q \rightarrow \perp$	$\rightarrow i, 8 - 9$
11.	\perp	$\vee e, 4, 7, 10$
12.	$\neg(p \vee q)$	$\neg i, 4 - 11$

Table 1: De Morgan's Law: $\neg p \wedge \neg q \vdash \neg(p \vee q)$. This law will be referred as **DM**

1.	$p \vee q$	<i>premise</i>
2.	$\neg q$	<i>premise</i>
3.	$\neg p$	<i>assumption</i>
4.	$\neg p \wedge \neg q$	$\wedge i, 2, 3$
5.	$\neg(p \vee q)$	DM , 4
6.	\perp	$\neg e, 1, 5$
7.	$\neg\neg p$	$\neg i, 3 - 6$
8.	p	$\neg\neg e, 7$

Table 2: Lemma: $p \vee q, \neg q \vdash p$. I will refer this lemma as **Göçer's Rule**.

1.	$\neg(p \rightarrow q)$	premise
2.	$\neg(p \wedge \neg q)$	assumption
3.	p	assumption
4.	$\neg q$	assumption
5.	$p \wedge \neg q$	$\wedge i, 3, 4$
6.	\perp	$\neg e, 2, 5$
7.	$\neg\neg q$	$\neg i, 4 - 6$
8.	q	$\neg\neg e, 7$
9.	$p \rightarrow q$	$\rightarrow i, 3 - 8$
10.	\perp	$\neg e 1, 9$
11.	$\neg\neg(p \wedge q)$	$\rightarrow i, 2 - 10$
12.	$p \wedge \neg q$	$\neg\neg e, 11$

Table 3: Lemma: $\neg(p \rightarrow q) \vdash p \wedge \neg q$. This lemma will be referred as **Beautiful Rule**.

1.	$\exists x \neg P(x)$	premise
2.	$\forall x P(x)$	assumption
3.	$\neg P(a)$	assumption
4.	$P(a)$	$\forall e, 2$
5.	\perp	$\neg e, 3, 4$
6.	\perp	$\exists e, 1, 3 - 5$
7.	$\neg \forall x P(x)$	$\neg i, 2 - 6$

Table 4: Lemma: $\exists x \neg P(x) \vdash \neg \forall x P(x)$. This lemma will be referred as **Ankara's Rule**.

1. $\forall x \neg P(x)$	premise
2. $\exists x P(x)$	assumption
3. $P(a)$	assumption
4. $\neg P(a)$	$\forall e, 2$
5. \perp	$\neg e, 3, 4$
6. \perp	$\exists e, 2, 3 - 5$
7. $\neg \exists x P(x)$	$\neg i, 2 - 6$

Table 5: Lemma: $\forall x \neg P(x) \vdash \neg \exists x P(x)$. This lemma will be referred as **METU's Rule**

1. $p \rightarrow q$	Premise
2. $\neg q$	Premise
3. p	Assumption
4. q	$\rightarrow e, 1, 3$
5. \perp	$\neg e, 2, 4$
6. $\neg p$	$\neg i, 3 - 5$

Table6: Lemma: $p \rightarrow q, \neg q \vdash \neg p$. This lemma will be referred as **Modus Tollens**.

Question 3

a. $p \vee \neg q, p \vee r \vdash (r \rightarrow q) \rightarrow p$.

1. $p \vee \neg q$	premise
2. $p \vee r$	premise
3. $r \rightarrow q$	assumption
4. $\neg p$	assumption
5. $\neg q$	Göçer's Rule 1,4
6. r	Göçer's Rule 2,4
7. q	$\rightarrow e, 3, 6$
8. \perp	$\neg e, 5, 7$
9. $\neg\neg p$	$\neg i, 4 - 8$
10. p	$\neg\neg e, 9$
11. $(r \rightarrow q) \rightarrow p$	$\rightarrow i, 3 - 10$

b. $\vdash ((q \rightarrow p) \rightarrow q) \rightarrow q$.

1. $((q \rightarrow p) \rightarrow q)$	assumption
2. $\neg q$	assumption
3. $\neg(q \rightarrow p)$	Modus Tollens ,1,2
4. $q \wedge \neg p$	Beautiful Rule ,3
5. q	$\wedge e, 4$
6. \perp	$\neg e, 2, 5$
7. $\neg\neg q$	$\neg i, 2, 6$
8. q	$\neg\neg e, 7$
9. $((q \rightarrow p) \rightarrow q) \rightarrow q$	$\rightarrow i, 1 - 8$

Question 4

a. $\neg\forall x(P(x) \rightarrow Q(x)) \vdash \exists x(P(x) \wedge \neg Q(x))$.

1.	$\neg\forall x(P(x) \rightarrow Q(x))$	<i>premise</i>
2.	$\neg(P(x_0) \rightarrow Q(x_0))$	$\forall xe, 1$
3.	$\neg(P(x_0) \wedge \neg Q(x_0))$	<i>assumption</i>
4.	$P(x_0)$	<i>assumption</i>
5.	$\neg Q(x_0)$	<i>assumption</i>
6.	$P(x_0) \wedge \neg Q(x_0)$	$\wedge i, 4, 5$
7.	\perp	$\neg e, 3, 6$
8.	$\neg\neg Q(x_0)$	$\neg i, 5 - 7$
9.	$Q(x_0)$	$\neg\neg e, 8$
10.	$P(x_0) \rightarrow Q(x_0)$	$\rightarrow i, 4 - 9$
11.	\perp	$\neg e, 2, 10$
12.	$\neg\neg(P(x_0) \wedge \neg Q(x_0))$	$\neg i, 3 - 11$
13.	$P(x_0) \wedge \neg Q(x_0)$	$\neg\neg e, 12$
14.	$\exists x(P(x) \wedge \neg Q(x))$	$\exists xi, 13$

b. $\forall x\forall y(P(x, y) \rightarrow \neg P(y, x)), \forall x\exists yP(x, y) \vdash \neg\exists v\forall zP(z, v)$.

1.	$\forall x\forall y(P(x, y) \rightarrow \neg P(y, x))$	<i>premise</i>
2.	$\forall x\exists yP(x, y)$	<i>premise</i>
3.	a	
4.	$\exists yP(a, y)$	$\forall e, 2$
5.	b, $P(a, b)$	<i>assumption</i>
6.	$P(a, b) \rightarrow \neg P(b, a)$	$\forall\forall e, 1$
7.	$\neg P(b, a)$	$\rightarrow e, 5, 6$
8.	$\exists y\neg P(y, a)$	$\exists i, 6$
9.	$\forall x\exists y\neg P(y, x)$	$\forall xi, 3, 4 - 7$
10.	$\forall v\exists z\neg P(z, v)$	the same as 9
11.	$\forall v\neg\forall zP(z, v)$	Ankara's Rule,10
12.	$\neg\exists v\forall zP(z, v)$	METU's Rule,11