# Recommendation: When to Set Up a Staging Environment

## Option 1: Early in Sprint @

#### Pros:

- Allows immediate testing of new features.
- · Identifies integration issues sooner.
- Encourages CI/CD discipline from the start.
- · Helps QA begin testing incrementally.

#### Cons:

- Extra overhead maintaining a half-built environment.
- · May test incomplete/inconsistent features.
- Can slow down developers with broken deploys.

Best for: Teams with strong CI/CD habits and stable builds.

## Option 2: Mid-Sprint or Later @

### Pros:

- Staging reflects a more stable, functional product.
- · Less maintenance early on.
- · QA can test more coherent flows.

## Cons:

- · Misses early feedback.
- Bugs may pile up undetected.
- · Rushed staging setup near release.

Best for: Teams with limited DevOps experience or lots of early churn.

# Low Priority Recommended Approach for Team: ${\mathscr O}$

**Set up the staging environment early in Sprint 2**, but keep it lightweight. Deploy feature toggles or only stable features. This allows testing and feedback to happen in parallel with development without creating too much maintenance burden.