

[Download PDF](#)

TEACHER REPORT

Name of Teacher	ANIMESH PRASAD
Module	1710(CS3244-MACHINE LEARNING (TUTORIAL))
Academic Year/Sem	2017/2018 - SEM 1
Department	COMPUTER SCIENCE
Faculty	SCHOOL OF COMPUTING

Raters	Student
Responded	18
Invited	43
Response Ratio	42%

Note:
Class Size = Invited; Response Size = Responded; Response Rate = Response Ratio

A. GUIDELINES FOR INTERPRETING THE REPORT

The teacher evaluation report is for developmental purposes and is meant to help identify strengths and areas for improvement. Please consider the following recommendations that will aid in interpreting the results:

1. Examine the report by taking note of patterns in order to consider how best to act on the feedback your students have taken the time to provide. Use the reflection section at the end to reflect upon how you might act on the feedback.
2. These evaluations stem from student perception and thus constitute one source of evidence among others as to the quality of your teaching. Any response to the feedback should be based on the most representative results rather than on outlying responses.
3. Upon getting a general sense as to what has gone well, and which areas may require attention and improvement, it is important to drill down to the related questions. These questions can help guide future action if feedback from students suggest areas for improvement.
4. Keep both the likert scale and written comments in mind while reading through the report. High scores (4+) suggest student consensus indicating a strength. On the other hand, low scores (2-) should be considered as an area that requires immediate developmental focus based on student feedback.

B. NOMINATION FOR TEACHING AWARDS

	Response Count
I would like to nominate ANIMESH PRASAD for teaching awards	2

Comment

- It would make a nice April Fool joke.
- he manage to understand all my broken english emails


C. SUMMARY OF TEACHING SCORES

(i) Teaching Rating Score Analysis

Question	Average Score (TEACHER)		Department Average (COMPUTER SCIENCE)		Faculty Average (SCHOOL OF COMPUTING)	
	Mean	Standard Deviation	Mean	Standard Deviation	Mean	Standard Deviation
Overall, the teacher is effective.	3.1	1.4	4.2	0.8	4.2	0.8

Question	Average Score (TEACHER)	Dept Average by Activity & Level (COMPUTER SCIENCE-TUTORIAL (Level 3000))	Fac Average by Activity & Level (SCHOOL OF COMPUTING-TUTORIAL (Level 3000))	Dept Average by Activity (COMPUTER SCIENCE-TUTORIAL)	Fac Average by Activity (SCHOOL OF COMPUTING-TUTORIAL)
	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Overall, the teacher is effective.	3.1	4.0	4.0	4.2	4.2

Overall, the teacher is effective



Question	Average Score (TEACHER)		Department Average (COMPUTER SCIENCE)		Faculty Average (SCHOOL OF COMPUTING)	
	Mean	Standard Deviation	Mean	Standard Deviation	Mean	Standard Deviation
The teacher has enhanced my thinking ability.	3.1	1.4	4.2	0.8	4.2	0.8
The teacher provided timely and useful feedback.	3.1	1.4	4.2	0.8	4.1	0.8
The teacher has increased my interest in the subject.	3.1	1.4	4.1	0.9	4.1	0.9
Average of Q1-Q3	3.1	1.3	4.1	-	4.1	-

	Dept Average by Activity & Level	Fac Average by Activity & Level	Dept	Fac Average
--	----------------------------------	---------------------------------	------	-------------

Question	Average Score (TEACHER)	Level (COMPUTER SCIENCE-TUTORIAL (Level 3000))	Level (SCHOOL OF COMPUTING-TUTORIAL (Level 3000))	Average by Activity (COMPUTER SCIENCE-TUTORIAL)	by Activity (SCHOOL OF COMPUTING-TUTORIAL)
	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
The teacher has enhanced my thinking ability.	3.1	4.0	4.0	4.2	4.2
The teacher provided timely and useful feedback.	3.1	4.0	4.0	4.2	4.2
The teacher has increased my interest in the subject.	3.1	3.9	3.9	4.1	4.0
Average of Q1-Q3	3.1	3.9	4.0	4.1	4.1


Department Specific Questions

Question	Average Score (TEACHER)		Department Average (COMPUTER SCIENCE)	
	Mean	Standard Deviation	Mean	Standard Deviation
The teacher has enhanced my ability to communicate the subject material.	3.1	1.3	4.1	0.8


Question	Average Score (TEACHER)		Department Average (COMPUTER SCIENCE)	
	Mean	Standard Deviation	Mean	Standard Deviation
The teacher's attitude and approach encouraged me to think and work in a creative and independent way.	3.2	1.2	4.1	0.8

Question	Average Score (TEACHER)		Department Average (COMPUTER SCIENCE)	
	Mean	Standard Deviation	Mean	Standard Deviation
The teacher cares about student development and learning.	3.3	1.2	4.2	0.8


(ii) Teacher Rating Analysis Based on Scale Distribution




The teacher has enhanced my ability to communicate the subject material.



The teacher's attitude and approach encouraged me to think and work in a creative and independent way.




The teacher cares about student development and learning.




(iii) Teacher Rating Frequency Analysis

1. The teacher has enhanced my thinking ability.




Statistics		Value
Response Count		17
Mean		3.1
80th Percentile		4.0
Standard Deviation		1.4
Positive Feedback		41%

2. The teacher provided timely and useful feedback.




Statistics		Value
Response Count		17
Mean		3.1
80th Percentile		4.0
Standard Deviation		1.4
Positive Feedback		41%

3. The teacher has increased my interest in the subject.




Statistics	Value
Response Count	17
Mean	3.1
80th Percentile	4.0
Standard Deviation	1.4
Positive Feedback	41%

The teacher has enhanced my ability to communicate the subject material.




Statistics	Value
Response Count	17
Mean	3.1
80th Percentile	4.0
Standard Deviation	1.3
Positive Feedback	41%

The teacher's attitude and approach encouraged me to think and work in a creative and independent way.



Statistics	Value
Response Count	17
Mean	3.2
80th Percentile	4.0
Standard Deviation	1.2
Positive Feedback	41%

The teacher cares about student development and learning.



Statistics	Value
------------	-------

Response Count	17
Mean	3.3
80th Percentile	4.0
Standard Deviation	1.2
Positive Feedback	47%

(iv) Teacher Rating Scores vs. Gender

Question	M	F	Overall
The teacher has enhanced my thinking ability.	3.5	2.0	3.1
The teacher provided timely and useful feedback.	3.5	2.0	3.1
The teacher has increased my interest in the subject.	3.5	2.0	3.1

D. STRENGTHS

What are ANIMESH PRASAD's strengths?

Comments
he knows a lot about ML
Very patient
Come to tutorial on time
In term of teaching, none. He comes in tutorials and just copies the solution onto the board. Might as well just send us the solutions directly and end the tutorial early.

E. AREAS FOR IMPROVEMENT

What improvements would you suggest to ANIMESH PRASAD?

Comments
Trying to give a simpler explanation
NA
Sometimes cannot understand what he want to say
Be much clearer when teaching.
Can consider preparing slides to make teaching much clearer.
Speak slower and louder.
A lot of people have suggested improvements to Animesh, but he seems to care more about maintaining his own ego than improving his teaching. In my opinion, he is a lost case.
Improve the way you explain concepts. Your accent is already difficult to understand, but on top of that your explanations are very unclear and convoluted.

F. SELF-REFLECTION

1. When comparing these results to the previous year's results, what areas have shown improvement?
2. What areas remain to be improved and what are the necessary steps / actions to do so?
3. Are there colleagues who could potentially guide me?
4. Are there issues that require departmental or institutional support?