Machine Learning W8 Tutorial

COMP30027 | Sandy Luo

Overview

Logistic Regression

Concept, code

Gradient Descent

for logistic regression

Classifier Combination

Different approaches

Logistic Regression

Q1:

What is logistic regression? How is it "logistic" and what are we "regressing"?

Logistic Regression

- Goal: Classify an input observation (binary classification)
 - Consider a test instance X with a vector of features [x_1,x_2, ...,x_n].
 - \circ Output $y \in \{0, 1\}$
- Essentially:
 - a. Use linear regression to predict the probability (log odds) of P(y=1|x)
 - b. Transform linear regression w/ logistic regression (range in [0,1])
 - c. Define a decision boundary, generally = 0.5
 - d. If P(y=1|x) > 0.5, classify x as class 1. Otherwise, classify as class 0.

Q1:

What is logistic regression? How is it "logistic" and what are we "regressing"?

The term logistic refers to the logistic (sigmoid) function used in the model, which is defined as

$$\sigma(z) = rac{1}{1+e^{-z}}$$

where z is a linear regression of the features X and their corresponding weights eta

$$z=ec{eta}\cdotec{X}=eta_0+eta_1x_1+...+eta_Dx_D$$

The logistic (or sigmoid) function has an easy—to—calculate derivative, which makes it easy to calculate the optimum parameters, and it has a range of [0, 1], which makes it suitable to represent probabilities.

Q2:

We want identify if a piece of writing is about computer or fruit (e.g. 'new apple iPhone is very expensive' vs. 'an apple a day, keeps the doctor away').

To do so, we are using 4 terms (apple, ibm, lemon, sun) and the count of their occurrences in a piece of writing. **Build a logistic regression** classifier, which uses the counts of selected words in news articles to predict the class of the news article (fruit vs. computer).

Q2:

e.g. document A includes 'apple' once and 'sun' five times.

Use the weights $\hat{\beta} = [\beta_0, \beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3, \beta_4] =$ [0.2, 0.3, -2.2, 3.3, -0.2]

Recall that β_0 is the bias.

Training data:

| ID | apple | ibm | lemon | sun | Class |
|----|-------|-----|-------|-----|--------------|
| Α | 1 | 0 | 1 | 5 | fruit (1) |
| В | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | fruit (1) |
| С | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | fruit (1) |
| D | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | computer (0) |
| Е | 1 | 2 | 1 | 7 | computer (0) |

Test instance:

| ID | apple | ibm | lemon | sun | Class |
|----|-------|-----|-------|-----|--------------|
| Т | 1 | 2 | 1 | 5 | computer (0) |

Q2(a):

$$\hat{\beta} = [\beta_0, \beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3, \beta_4] = [0.2, 0.3, -2.2, 3.3, -0.2]$$

Explain how these parameters are used in a logistic regression model.

Q2(b):

- Here, output is either 0 (computer) or 1 (fruit)
- Four numeric features (apple, ibm, lemon, sun).

$$\hat{\beta} = [\beta_0, \beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3, \beta_4] = [0.2, 0.3, -2.2, 3.3, -0.2]$$

Predict the label for the test instance.

 Logistic regression → predict probability of class by doing linear regression of the features (X) and their corresponding weights (beta)

$$P(y=1|x_1,x_2,...,x_D)=rac{1}{1+e^{-(eta_0+eta_1x_1+...+eta_Dx_D)}}=\sigma(eta_0+eta_1x_1+...+eta_Dx_D)$$

- β_1 to β_4 = respective importance of the features 1 to 4 for predicting y=1
 - ∘ e.g. β_2 = -2.2 → how important feature 2 ('ibm') is for predicting the fruit class
- β_0 is the bias term for the regression

Q2(b):

 $\hat{\beta} = [\beta_0, \beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3, \beta_4] = [0.2, 0.3, -2.2, 3.3, -0.2]$ Predict the label for the test instance.

Training data:

| ID | apple | ibm | lemon | sun | Class |
|----|-------|-----|-------|-----|--------------|
| Α | 1 | 0 | 1 | 5 | fruit (1) |
| В | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | fruit (1) |
| С | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | fruit (1) |
| D | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | computer (0) |
| Е | 1 | 2 | 1 | 7 | computer (0) |

Test instance:

| ID | apple | ibm | lemon | sun | Class |
|----|-------|-----|-------|-----|--------------|
| Т | 1 | 2 | 1 | 5 | computer (0) |

$$P(y=1|x_1,x_2,...,x_D)=rac{1}{1+e^{-(eta_0+eta_1x_1+...+eta_Dx_D)}}=\sigma(eta_0+eta_1x_1+...+eta_Dx_D)$$

Q2(b):

$$\hat{\beta} = [\beta_0, \beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3, \beta_4] = [0.2, 0.3, -2.2, 3.3, -0.2]$$

Predict the label for the test instance.

Test instance:

| ID | apple | ibm | lemon | sun | Class |
|----|-------|-----|-------|-----|--------------|
| Т | 1 | 2 | 1 | 5 | computer (0) |

$$egin{align} P(y=1|T) &= \sigma(eta_0 + eta_1 t_1 + eta_2 t_2 + eta_3 t_3 + eta_4 t_4) \ &= \sigma(0.2 + 0.3 imes 1 + (-2.2) imes 2 + 3.3 imes 1 + (-0.2) imes 5) = \sigma(-1.6) \ &= rac{1}{1 + e^{-(-1.6)}} = 0.17 \ \end{array}$$

• 0.17 < 0.5, predict class 0 (computer) → correct!

$$\hat{\beta} = [\beta_0, \beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3, \beta_4] = [0.2, 0.3, -2.2, 3.3, -0.2]$$

Recall the conditional likelihood objective $-log\mathcal{L}(\beta)$ defined below, which is used as the loss function for the model. Verify that this value is lower when the model predicts the correct label for instance T and higher when the model predicts the incorrect label.

$$-log\mathcal{L}(eta) = -\sum_{i=1}^n y_i log(\sigma(x_i;eta)) + (1-y_i) log(1-\sigma(x_i;eta))$$

Test instance:

| ID | apple | ibm | lemon | sun | Class |
|----|-------|-----|-------|-----|--------------|
| Т | 1 | 2 | 1 | 5 | computer (0) |

| ID | apple | ibm | lemon | sun | Class |
|----|-------|-----|-------|-----|--------------|
| Т | 1 | 2 | 1 | 5 | computer (0) |

$$\hat{\beta} = [\beta_0, \beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3, \beta_4]$$

$$= [0.2, 0.3, -2.2, 3.3, -0.2]$$

Recall the conditional likelihood objective $-log\mathcal{L}(\beta)$ defined below, which is used as the loss function for the model. Verify that this value is lower when the model predicts the correct label for instance T and higher when the model predicts the incorrect label.

$$-log\mathcal{L}(eta) = -\sum_{i=1}^n y_i log(\sigma(x_i;eta)) + (1-y_i)log(1-\sigma(x_i;eta))$$

- Compute the negative log-likelihood of the test instance:
 - a. Assuming the true label was y = 1 (fruit), i.e., classifier made a mistake;
 - b. Assuming that the true label was y = 0 (computer), i.e., classifier correct.

| ID | apple | ibm | lemon | sun | Class |
|----|-------|-----|-------|-----|--------------|
| Т | 1 | 2 | 1 | 5 | computer (0) |

$$\hat{\beta} = [\beta_0, \beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3, \beta_4]$$

$$= [0.2, 0.3, -2.2, 3.3, -0.2]$$

A. Assuming true label y=1 and prediction $\hat{y}=0$ (model was incorrect):

$$-log\mathcal{L}(eta) = -\sum_{i=1}^{n} (1)log(\sigma(-1.6)) + (1-1)log(1-\sigma(-1.6))$$
 $= -[(1)log(\sigma(-1.6)) + 0] = -log(0.17) = 1.77$

B. Assuming true label y=0 and prediction $\hat{y}=0$ (model was correct):

$$\begin{split} -log\mathcal{L}(\beta) &= -\sum_{i=1}^{n} (0)log(\sigma(-1.6)) + (1-0)log(1-\sigma(-1.6)) \\ &= -[0+(1)log(1-\sigma(-1.6))+0] = -log(1-0.17) = log(0.83) = 0.19 \end{split}$$

• As expected, the negative log likelihood is lower when the predicted label is correct than when the label is incorrect

$$rac{\partial \mathcal{L}(eta)}{\partial eta_j} = \sum_i (y_i - \sigma(X_i;eta)) x_{1i}$$

 $\hat{\beta} = [\beta_0, \beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3, \beta_4] = [0.2, 0.3, -2.2, 3.3, -0.2]$ Compute a single gradient ascent update for β_1 .

Recall that for each feature *j*, weight update:

$$eta_j \leftarrow eta_j + lpha rac{\partial \mathcal{L}(eta)}{\partial eta_j}$$

with the conditional likelihood *L* computed over all training instances i. Do the update assuming a learning rate alpha = 0.

$$rac{\partial \mathcal{L}(eta)}{\partial eta_j} = \sum_i (y_i - \sigma(X_i;eta)) x_{1i} \qquad rac{1}{1 + e^{-(eta_0 + eta_1 x_1 + ... + eta_D x_D)}} = \sigma(eta_0 + eta_1 x_1 + ... + eta_D x_D)$$

Training data:

| ID | apple | ibm | lemon | sun | Class |
|----|-------|-----|-------|-----|--------------|
| Α | 1 | 0 | 1 | 5 | fruit (1) |
| В | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | fruit (1) |
| С | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | fruit (1) |
| D | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | computer (0) |
| Е | 1 | 2 | 1 | 7 | computer (0) |

Test instance:

| ID | apple | ibm | lemon | sun | Class |
|----|-------|-----|-------|-----|--------------|
| Т | 1 | 2 | 1 | 5 | computer (0) |

$$rac{\partial \mathcal{L}(eta)}{\partial eta_j} = \sum_i (y_i - \sigma(X_i;eta)) x_{1i}$$

$$rac{\partial \mathcal{L}(eta)}{\partial eta_j} = \sum_i (y_i - \sigma(X_i;eta)) x_{1i} \qquad rac{1}{1 + e^{-(eta_0 + eta_1 x_1 + ... + eta_D x_D)}} = \sigma(eta_0 + eta_1 x_1 + ... + eta_D x_D)$$

 $\hat{\beta} = [\beta_0, \beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3, \beta_4] = [0.2, 0.3, -2.2, 3.3, -0.2]$ Compute a single gradient ascent update for β₁.

Step 1: calculate sigma for all training instances

| ID | apple | ibm | lemon | sun | Class |
|----|-------|-----|-------|-----|--------------|
| Α | 1 | 0 | 1 | 5 | fruit (1) |
| В | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | fruit (1) |
| С | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | fruit (1) |
| D | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | computer (0) |
| Е | 1 | 2 | 1 | 7 | computer (0) |

$$\sigma(X_A; eta) = \sigma(0.2 + 0.3 \times 1 + (-2.2) \times 0 + 3.3 \times 1 + (-0.2) \times 5) = 0.94$$
 $\sigma(X_B; eta) = \sigma(0.2 + 0.3 \times 1 + (-2.2) \times 0 + 3.3 \times 1 + (-0.2) \times 2) = 0.97$
 $\sigma(X_C; eta) = \sigma(0.2 + 0.3 \times 2 + (-2.2) \times 0 + 3.3 \times 0 + (-0.2) \times 1) = 0.65$
 $\sigma(X_D; eta) = \sigma(0.2 + 0.3 \times 2 + (-2.2) \times 2 + 3.3 \times 0 + (-0.2) \times 0) = 0.03$
 $\sigma(X_E; eta) = \sigma(0.2 + 0.3 \times 1 + (-2.2) \times 2 + 3.3 \times 1 + (-0.2) \times 7) = 0.12$

$$rac{\partial \mathcal{L}(eta)}{\partial eta_j} = \sum_i (y_i - \sigma(X_i;eta)) x_i$$

$$rac{\partial \mathcal{L}(eta)}{\partial eta_j} = \sum_i (y_i - \sigma(X_i;eta)) x_{1i} \qquad rac{1}{1 + e^{-(eta_0 + eta_1 x_1 + ... + eta_D x_D)}} = \sigma(eta_0 + eta_1 x_1 + ... + eta_D x_D)$$

 $\hat{\beta} = [\beta_0, \beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3, \beta_4] = [0.2, 0.3, -2.2, 3.3, -0.2]$ Compute a single gradient ascent update for β₁.

Step 1: calculate update to beta_1

$$eta_1 = eta_1 + lpha \sum_{i \in \{A,B,C,D,E\}} (y_i - \sigma(X_i;eta)) x_{1i}$$

$$\beta_1 = 0.3 + 0.1[(y_A - \sigma(X_A; \beta))x_{1A} + (y_B - \sigma(X_B; \beta))x_{1B} + (y_C - \sigma(X_C; \beta))x_{1C} + (y_D - \sigma(X_D; \beta))x_{1D} + (y_E - \sigma(X_E; \beta))x_{1E}]$$

$$\beta_1 = 0.3 + 0.1[((1 - 0.94) \times 1) + ((1 - 0.97) \times 1) + ((1 - 0.65) \times 2) + ((0 - 0.03) \times 2) + ((0 - 0.12) \times 1)]$$

$$0.3 - 0.1((-0.06) + (-0.03) + (-0.70) + 0.06 + 0.12) = 0.3 - 0.1(-0.61) = 0.3 + 0.061 = 0.361$$

Classifier Combination

Q4:

Describe how to build a <u>random forest</u> for a given dataset.

Q4:

Describe how to build a random forest for a given dataset.

Random forest: Ensemble of multiple decision trees, classification via voting

- 1. <u>Feature selection</u>: For each tree in the forest, randomly select a subset (k) of features (M) to use for training. This helps to reduce overfitting and improve the generalisation performance of the model.
- 2. <u>Decision tree construction</u>: Using the selected features, construct a decision tree by randomly selecting N training instances with replacement similar to bagging.
- 3. <u>Random forest construction</u>: Combine the decision trees into a random forest by taking the majority vote of the individual trees.

Q4(a):

What is the benefit of bagging in random forests?

Q4(a):

What is the benefit of bagging in random forests?

- Bootstrap aggregating
- Intuition:
 - More data → better performance (lower variance)
 - Construct new datasets through random sampling & replacement from the training set

• Benefit: Bagging helps build uncorrelated decision trees

Q4(b):

What is the impact of the number of trees in a random forest?

Q4(b):

What is the impact of the number of trees in a random forest?

- More trees (assuming the trees are uncorrelated) reduce variance.
 - Good!
- More trees → need to build more trees → need to train more trees
 - Take more time to train and classify
 - The complexity of the algorithm grows linearly with the number of trees.
 - Bad, depending on computational resources...

Q4(c):

What will happen if the random number of features chosen for splitting nodes in a random forest is very large?

Q4(c):

What will happen if the random number of features chosen for splitting nodes in a random forest is very large?

- More features → More similar trees:
 - Subset at each split becomes less diverse → trees choose similar features to split on → more correlated trees
- More correlation → Less ensemble benefit:
 - Random Forest relies on averaging many independent trees to reduce variance
 - If trees are highly correlated, averaging doesn't reduce variance much → the ensemble becomes less powerful → accuracy drops

Q5:

Under what circumstances we prefer stacking to boosting and bagging?

1. **Boosting:** Tune base classifiers to focus on the hard-to-classify instances

- Reduce bias by iteratively adjusting the weights of misclassified data points
- Yet, if base models too simple, can overfit on misclassified points of previous models

2. Bagging: Construct new datasets through random sampling & replacement

- Reduce overfitting by reducing variance
- Yet, if base models too complex, can overfit on their respective subsets of data, leading to an overall overfitting of the bagging ensemble

3. **Stacking:** Train a meta-classifier over the outputs of base classifiers

- Combines the strengths of both bagging and boosting while mitigating weaknesses
- Can reduce both bias and variance by stacking models trained with different algorithms
- Yet, more complex to implement & tune → requires more computational resources

→ Stacking good when data is complex & want to consider predictions from heterogeneous models