

Math H104 Homework 1

Aniruddh V.

September 2023

1 Problem 17

Solution Let ρ be a binary relation. Then, by definition, the binary relation ρ^{op} is such that $\rho(x, y) \implies \rho^{\text{op}}(y, x)$. But then

$$\rho^{\text{op}}\langle | = \{x \in X | \rho^{\text{op}}(x, y)\} = \{x \in X | \rho(y, x)\} = | \rangle_{\rho}$$

and

$$| \rangle_{\rho^{\text{op}}} = \{y \in Y | \rho^{\text{op}}(x, y)\} = \{y \in Y | \rho(y, x)\} = {}_{\rho}\langle |$$

2 Problem 18

Solution Consider the function $\langle | : \text{Rel}(X, Y) \rightarrow \text{Funct}(Y, \mathcal{P}(X))$, which takes a relation $\rho(x, y)$ and maps it to the function $f_{\rho} : Y \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(X)$, which sends each $y \in Y$ to subset $A_y \subset X$ defined by $A_y = \{x \in X | \rho(x, y)\}$. Then for any $f \in \text{Funct}(Y, \mathcal{P}(X))$, one can define the relation $\rho_f \in \text{Rel}(X, Y)$ such that $\rho_f(x, y)$ if and only if $x \in f(y)$, or x is in the subset of X defined by the image of y . This can be done for any function in $\text{Funct}(Y, \mathcal{P}(X))$, so $\langle |$ is surjective.

3 Problem 19

Solution Suppose $\rho \iff \sigma$. Then $\rho(x, y)$ holds exactly when $\sigma(x, y)$ holds. Then this implies ${}_{\rho}\langle | = {}_{\sigma}\langle |$. On the other hand, suppose ${}_{\rho}\langle | = {}_{\sigma}\langle |$. Then, if $\rho(x, y)$ holds, so does $\sigma(x, y)$, so ρ and σ are equipotent. Thus $\rho \iff \sigma$ if and ${}_{\rho}\langle | = {}_{\sigma}\langle |$.

4 Problem 20

Solution Suppose $\rho \in \text{Rel}_2(X)$ and $\rho \implies \leq$. This means that if $\rho(x, x')$, then $\langle x | \subseteq \langle x' |$. Clearly $\langle x | \subseteq \langle x |$, so $\rho(x, x)$ must hold, and ρ must be reflexive. Next, if $\langle x | \subseteq \langle x' |$ and $\langle x' | \subseteq \langle x'' |$, then $\langle x | \subseteq \langle x'' |$, so $\rho(x, x'), \rho(x', x'') \implies \rho(x, x'')$, and so ρ is transitive. Finally, ρ must be weakly antisymmetric, since if $\langle x | \subseteq \langle x' |$ and $\langle x' | \subseteq \langle x |$, then $\langle x | = \langle x' |$. Thus ρ must be an order relation.

5 Problem 21

Solution Suppose $\rho \in \text{Rel}_2(X)$ and $\leq \implies \rho$. This means that if $\langle x | \subseteq \langle x' |$, then $\rho(x, x')$ holds. But \subseteq is an order relation, so then ρ must also be an order relation.

6 Problem 22

Solution Suppose $\rho \in \text{Rel}_2(X)$ and $\rho \implies \geq$. This means that if $\rho(x, x')$, then $|x \rangle \subseteq |x' \rangle$. Clearly $|x \rangle \subseteq |x \rangle$, so $\rho(x, x)$ must hold, and ρ must be reflexive. Next, if $|x \rangle \subseteq |x' \rangle$ and $|x' \rangle \subseteq |x'' \rangle$, then $|x \rangle \subseteq |x'' \rangle$, so $\rho(x, x'), \rho(x', x'') \implies \rho(x, x'')$, and so ρ is transitive. Finally, ρ must be weakly antisymmetric, since if $|x \rangle \subseteq |x' \rangle$ and $|x' \rangle \subseteq |x \rangle$, then $|x \rangle = |x' \rangle$. Thus ρ must be an order relation.

Similarly, if $\geq \implies \rho$, then since \geq is an order relation, ρ must be an order relation as well.