

# Math 143 Homework 2

Aniruddh V.

September 2023

## 1 Problem 1

**a. Solution** Let  $I, J \subset k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  be ideals, and  $I + J := \{f + g : f \in I, g \in J\}$ . Let  $P_1, P_2 \in I + J$ . Then, there are  $f_1, f_2 \in I$  and  $g_1, g_2 \in J$  such that  $P_1 = f_1 + g_1$  and  $P_2 = f_2 + g_2$ . But this implies  $P_1 + P_2 \in I + J$ , because  $P_1 + P_2 = (f_1 + f_2) + (g_1 + g_2)$ , with  $f_1 + f_2 \in I$  and  $g_1 + g_2 \in J$  since  $I$  and  $J$  are assumed to be ideals. Next, if  $r \in k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  and  $P = f + g \in I + J$ , then  $rP \in I + J$ , since  $rP = r(f + g) = rf + rg$ , with  $rf \in I$  and  $rg \in J$ , since  $I$  and  $J$  are assumed to be ideals. We have shown that if  $P_1, P_2 \in I + J$ , then  $P_1 + P_2 \in I + J$  and if  $r \in k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ , then  $rP \in I + J$  for all  $P \in I + J$ . Thus  $I + J$  is an ideal.  $\square$

**b. Solution** Suppose a point  $P \in V(I) \cap V(J)$ . Then for all  $f \in I$  and  $g \in J$ ,  $f(P) = g(P) = 0$ , so  $f(P) + g(P) = 0$  as well. Thus  $(f + g)(P) = 0$ , so  $V(I) \cap V(J) \subseteq V(I + J)$ . Alternatively, suppose  $P \in V(I + J)$ . Then the polynomials  $f + g \in I + J$  vanish at  $P$ . One way for this to happen is if both  $f$  and  $g$  both vanish at  $P$ , that is  $P \in V(I)$  and  $P \in V(J)$ . But then  $P \in V(I) \cap V(J)$ , so  $V(I + J) \subseteq V(I) \cap V(J)$ . But now we have  $V(I) \cap V(J) \subseteq V(I + J)$  and  $V(I + J) \subseteq V(I) \cap V(J)$ , so  $V(I) \cap V(J) = V(I + J)$   $\square$

## 2 Problem 2

**a. Solution** The set  $V(y - x^2) \subset \mathbb{A}^2$  is irreducible if and only if the ideal  $\langle y - x^2 \rangle \subset \mathbb{C}^2$  is prime. An ideal  $R \subset I$  is prime if and only if the quotient  $R/I$  is an integral domain. To this end, consider the ring homomorphism  $\phi : k[x, y] \rightarrow k[x]$  which sends  $f(x, y) \mapsto f(x, x^2)$ . Clearly,  $\phi$  is surjective. We claim  $\ker \phi = \langle y - x^2 \rangle$ . Clearly  $y - x^2 \in \ker \phi$ , so  $\langle y - x^2 \rangle \subset \ker \phi$ . If  $f \in \ker \phi$ , then  $f(x, x^2) = 0$ , so  $y - x^2$  divides  $f$  and  $\langle y - x^2 \rangle \supset \ker \phi$ . Thus  $\langle y - x^2 \rangle = \ker \phi$ . Then, since  $\phi$  is surjective, by the first isomorphism theorem,  $k[x, y]/\langle y - x^2 \rangle \cong k[x]$ .  $k[x]$  is an integral domain, since if  $f(x)g(x) = 0$ , then either  $f(x) = 0$  or  $g(x) = 0$ , since  $k$  is a field. Thus  $k[x, y]/\langle y - x^2 \rangle$  is an integral domain, so  $\langle y - x^2 \rangle$  is prime, and thus  $V(y - x^2)$  is irreducible.

**b. Solution** Starting with  $V(y^4 - x^2, y^4 - x^2y^2 + xy^2 - x^3)$ , factoring each polynomial gives  $V((y^2 - x)(y^2 + x), (y^2 + x)(y - x)(y + x))$ . The  $y^2 + x$  is common in both terms, and taking the points where  $y^2 - x$ ,  $y - x$ , and  $y + x$

vanish gives the set with two points  $\{(1, 1), (1, -1)\}$ . Then the decomposition becomes  $V(y^4 - x^2, y^4 - x^2y^2 + xy^2 - x^3) = V(y^2 + x) \cup V((1, 1)) \cup V((1, -1))$ , where  $V(y^2 + x)$  is irreducible by part **a**.

### 3 Problem 3

**a. Solution** Let  $I \subset R$  be an ideal, with  $a^n, b^m \in I$ . Then, by the binomial formula:

$$(a + b)^{n+m} = \sum_{k=1}^{n+m} \binom{n+m}{k} a^{n+m-k} b^k$$

Expanding this gives

$$(a+b)^{n+m} = a^{n+m} + (n+m)a^{n+m-1}b + \dots + \binom{n+m}{m} a^n b^m + \dots + (n+m)ab^{n+m-1} + b^{n+m}$$

Factoring gives

$$(a + b)^{n+m} = a^n \underbrace{(a^m + \dots + b^m)}_{r_1 \in R} + b^m \underbrace{\left( \binom{n+m}{m+1} a^{n-1} b + \dots + b^n \right)}_{r_2 \in R}$$

Since  $I$  is an ideal,  $r_1 a^n \in I$  and  $r_2 b^m \in I$ , and thus  $r_1 a^n + r_2 b^m = (a+b)^{n+m} \in I$  as well.

**b. Solution** Let  $a, b \in \sqrt{I}$ . Then, there are  $n, m$  such that  $a^n \in I$  and  $b^m \in I$ . By part **a**,  $(a + b)^{n+m} \in I$  as well, and since there is  $t$  such that  $(a + b)^t \in I$ ,  $a + b \in \sqrt{I}$ . Next, if  $r \in R$  and  $a \in \sqrt{I}$ , there is some  $n$  such that  $(ra)^n = r^n a^n \in I$  since  $I$  is an ideal, so  $ra \in \sqrt{I}$ . Thus  $\sqrt{I}$  is an ideal.

**c. Solution** Suppose  $f^r \in \sqrt{I}$ . Then there exists  $s$  such that  $(f^r)^s \in I$ . But  $(f^r)^s = f^{rs}$ , and since there is  $n = rs$  such that  $f^n \in I$ ,  $f \in \sqrt{I}$ . So  $f^r \in \sqrt{I} \implies f \in \sqrt{I}$  and thus  $\sqrt{I}$  is radical.

**d. Solution** Let  $I \subset R$  be a prime ideal. Then if  $ab \in I$ , either  $a \in I$  or  $b \in I$ . Now suppose  $a^n \in I$ . Since  $a^n = a \cdot a \cdots a \cdot a$ ,  $I$  being a prime ideal implies  $a \in I$ . Thus  $I$  is radical as well.

### 4 Problem 4

**a. Solution** Let  $X, Y$  be algebraic sets, and let  $p \in I(X \cup Y)$ . Then  $p$  vanishes on all of  $X$  and  $Y$ , so certainly  $p$  vanishes on  $X$  and  $Y$  individually. This means  $p \in I(X)$  and  $p \in I(Y)$ , so  $p \in I(X) \cap I(Y)$  and  $I(X \cup Y) \subseteq I(X) \cap I(Y)$ . Now let  $p \in I(X) \cap I(Y)$ . Then  $p$  vanishes on  $X$  and  $Y$ , so  $p$  vanishes on  $X \cup Y$  as well, and  $p \in I(X \cup Y)$ . Thus  $I(X \cup Y) = I(X) \cap I(Y)$ .

**b. Solution** This does not hold in general. Take  $X = V(y)$ ,  $Y = V(y + x^2)$ . Then  $I(X) + I(Y) = \langle y \rangle + \langle y + x^2 \rangle = \langle y, x^2 \rangle$ . But the intersection  $X \cap Y$  contains only the origin, and thus  $I(X \cap Y) = \langle y, x \rangle$ . So in general  $I(X \cap Y) \neq I(X) + I(Y)$ .

## 5 Problem 5

**Solution** Let  $R$  be a ring such that every ascending chain of ideals  $I_0 \subsetneq I_1 \subsetneq I_2 \subsetneq \cdots$  is finite. For the sake of contradiction, suppose  $I$  is an ideal of  $R$  that is infinitely generated. Then there is an infinite set  $G$  that generates  $I$ , that is  $I = \langle G \rangle$ . Suppose the elements in  $G$  are  $g_1, g_2, \dots$ . Then the ascending chain of ideals  $\langle g_1 \rangle \subsetneq \langle g_1, g_2 \rangle \subsetneq \langle g_1, g_2, g_3 \rangle \subsetneq \cdots$  is an infinite ascending chain of ideals, contradicting the initial assumption that every ascending chain of ideals is finite. Thus, if  $R$  is a ring in which every ascending chain of ideals is finite, then every ideal must be finitely generated, and hence  $R$  is Noetherian.