



BITS Pilani
Pilani Campus



CS/IS F214 Logic in Computer Science

MODULE: PREDICATE LOGIC

Expressing using Predicates : Examples

Expressing using Predicates: Horn-Clause Form and Prolog

Specifications using Predicate Logic

- Examples:

- No student attended every lecture*

- $\forall X \neg (\forall Y \text{ student}(X) \wedge \text{lecture}(Y) \rightarrow \text{attended}(X,Y))$

- Exercises:

- Rewrite this (*without changing the meaning*)
 - by replacing the outermost quantifier with an existential quantifier.
 - by replacing the innermost quantifier with an existential quantifier.
 - such that the scope of the inner quantifier is the smallest



Specifications using Predicate Logic

- Examples:

i. *No student attended every lecture*

- $\forall X \neg (\forall Y \text{ student}(X) \wedge \text{lecture}(Y) \rightarrow \text{attended}(X,Y))$

ii. *No lecture was attended by every student*

- $\forall Y \neg (\forall X \text{ student}(X) \wedge \text{lecture}(Y) \rightarrow \text{attended}(X,Y))$

- Exercises:

i. Does (i) imply (ii)?

ii. Does (ii) imply (i)?

iii. If you swap variables X and Y in the quantifier positions will the formula in (ii) remain the same?

iv. If you swap all occurrences of variables X and Y will the formula in (ii) remain the same?



Exercises (from the text book: Huth & Ryan).

- Write the following in Predicate Logic
 - i. All red things are in the box*
 - $\forall T \text{ red}(T) \rightarrow \text{inBox}(T)$
 - ii. Only red things are in the box*
 - $\forall T \text{ inBox}(T) \rightarrow \text{red}(T)$



Exercises (adapted from the text book: Huth & Ryan).

- Write the following in Predicate Logic
 - *Raj is Jaya's cousin*
- Given a **cousin** relation defined, this would do:
 - **cousin("Raj","Jaya")**
- Otherwise one has to define a cousin relation.



Exercises (adapted from the text book: Huth & Ryan).

- Define a *cousin* property using *father*, *mother*, *sister*, *brother*:
 - $\forall \text{Any1 } \forall C \text{ cousin}(\text{Any1}, C) \leftarrow$

$$\exists Pa \exists Pc \text{ parent}(\text{Any1}, Pa) \wedge \text{parent}(C, Pc) \wedge \text{sibling}(Pa, Pc)$$
 - $\forall A \forall B \text{ sibling}(A, B) \leftarrow \text{brother}(A, B) \vee \text{sister}(A, B)$
 - $\forall \text{Any1 } \forall Pa \text{ parent}(\text{Any1}, Pa) \leftarrow \text{mother}(A, Pa) \vee \text{father}(A, Pa)$



Exercises (from the text book: Huth & Ryan).

- Write the following in Predicate Logic
 - All brothers are siblings
- Given a predicate **brother(X,Y)** and **sibling(X,Y)** to indicate X's brother is Y and X's sibling is Y,
 - $\forall X \forall Y \text{ brother}(X,Y) \rightarrow \text{sibling}(X,Y)$.



Exercises (from the text book: Huth & Ryan).

- Write the following in Predicate Logic
 - i. An attacker can persuade a server that a successful login has occurred even if it hasn't.
- Given the predicates
 - **attacker(X)** // X is an attacker
 - **server(S)** // S is a server
 - **persuade(A, X, Y)** // A can persuade X about Y
 - **login(S, token(S, T))**
 - // attempt to login into S results in token(S,T) where T is TRUE or FALSE
- the statement above can be encoded as:
 - $\forall S \forall A \text{ attacker}(A) \wedge \text{server}(S) \rightarrow$
 $(\forall T \text{ login}(S, \text{token}(S, T)) \rightarrow \text{persuade}(A, S, \text{token}(S, \text{TRUE})))$



Encoding in Predicate Logic: Example

- Axioms of Group $(G,+)$:
 - Closure:
 - Associativity:
 - Existence of Identity
 - Existence of Inverse



Encoding in Predicate Logic: Example

- Definition of natural numbers (*incomplete*):
 - $\forall X (\text{equals}(X,0) \vee \exists Y \text{equals}(X, \text{succ}(Y)) \rightarrow \text{natural}(X)$
- **Note:** *We must insist Y to be a natural number – this will require a recursive definition.* **End of Note**
 - **Exercise:** Write such a recursive definition.



Prolog Programming and Horn Clauses

- Prolog uses Horn Clauses as the basis for programming:
 - A Horn Clause is an implication with zero or more antecedents and one implicand:
 - All antecedents and the implicand are predicates.
 - i.e. a Horn Clause is of the form:
 - $p_1(T_{11}, \dots, T_{1K_1}) \wedge p_2(T_{21}, \dots, T_{2K_2}) \wedge \dots \wedge p_m(T_{m1}, \dots, T_{mK_m}) \rightarrow q(T_{q1}, \dots, T_{qK_q})$
 - where each p_i is a predicate name and each T_{ij} is a term: i.e.
 - a constant
 - a variable or
 - a function term
- A single predicate $p(T_1, \dots, T_K)$ is a degenerate implication:
 - $\text{TRUE} \rightarrow p(T_1, \dots, T_K)$

Prolog Programming and Horn Clauses

- In Prolog, a typical Horn Clause of the form
 - $p_1(T_{11}, \dots, T_{1K1}) \wedge p_2(T_{21}, \dots, T_{2K2}) \wedge \dots \wedge p_m(T_{m1}, \dots, T_{mKm}) \rightarrow q(T_{q1}, \dots, T_{qKq})$
- is represented as:
 - $q(T_{q1}, \dots, T_{qKq}) \text{ :- } p_1(T_{11}, \dots, T_{1K1}), p_2(T_{21}, \dots, T_{2K2}), \dots, p_m(T_{m1}, \dots, T_{mKm}).$
i.e.
 - the implicand is on the left most end,
 - the antecedents occur on the right of :- (read this as <--),
 - the commas separating the antecedents indicate conjunction, and
 - there is a period ending the clause.

Prolog Programming and Horn Clauses

- A Prolog program is a conjunction of Horn Clauses and the conjunction is implicit:
 - i.e. syntactically, a Prolog program is a list of Horn Clauses.
- A degenerate clause (with a single predicate) is referred to as a ***fact*** in Prolog: e.g.
 - `nat(0).`
- A typical Horn Clause is referred to as a ***rule*** in Prolog: e.g.
 - `nat(s(X)):-nat(X).`
 - Note: A fact is a special form of a rule. End of Note.
- Argue that these two rules form a specification of natural numbers in Prolog.
- Exercise:
 - Specify the addition operation in Prolog.

