

# CS 345: Algorithms II

Submitted By:

Anirudh Kumar (Y9088)

Chandra Prakash (Y9181)

## Assignment 5

### 1 Solution of 1

#### 1.1 Inference:

The edge  $(v, u)$  must be present in the shortest path that has been considered in the  $i^{th}$  iteration.

If  $(v, u)$  be a forward edge then for a non zero flow along this path, if  $(u, v)$  is not present in the graph then this is introduced with capacity  $f(v, u)$  in the residual graph. If  $(v, u)$  is present as a backward edge the  $(u, v)$  is introduced with residual capacity  $c(u, v) - f(u, v)$ . If  $(v, u)$  is not in the path then  $(u, v)$  can't be introduced by Ford-Fulkerson algorithm.

#### 1.2 Proof:

Considering the most general case, before the  $i^{th}$  there is a path  $s \rightarrow a \rightarrow b \rightarrow t$  such that this is the shortest path, edge  $(b, a)$  is not present in the graph and there is a path  $a \rightarrow v$ . Then in the  $i^{th}$  iteration edge  $(b, a)$  is introduced as result of which there is path  $p_i(v) = s \rightarrow b \rightarrow a \rightarrow v$  then the claim is,  $d_i(v) < d_{i-1}(v)$ . We also have  $d_{i-1}(a) < d_{i-1}(b)$  since the shortest path has been selected. Therefore

$$d_{i-1}(v) > d_i(v) > d_{i-1}(b) > d_{i-1}(a)$$

Since  $d_{i-1}(v) > d_{i-1}(a)$  therefore  $d_i(a) \geq d_{i-1}(a)$  must satisfy.

But in this case  $d_{i-1}(v) > d_{i-1}(v) \Rightarrow$  path length of the path  $s \rightarrow b \rightarrow a$  is less than the path length of the path  $s \rightarrow a$  (at the beginning of the  $i^{th}$  iteration). Therefore,  $d_i(a) < d_{i-1}(a)$ . Therefore contradiction.

#### 1.3 Proof:

Using (2) our claim is, when  $(u, v)$  is reappears in the graph, there must be an edge  $(v, u)$  in the graph at the beginning of the  $j^{th}$  iteration. Therefore,  $d_{j-1}(u) = d_{j-1}(v) + 1$ . Therefore, after the  $j^{th}$  iteration, using (3) our claim is  $d_j(u) > d_{j-1}(v) \geq d_i(v) = d_i(u) + 1$ . Therefore,  $d_j(u) > d_i(u)$ .

## 1.4 Proof:

During each iteration of FF algorithm, at least one edge disappears from the residual graph. Let  $(u, v)$  be an edge that is removed in the  $i^{th}$  iteration then,  $(u, v)$  can appear atmost  $n$  times. If this is not the case then using (4) there would be a shorted path  $d_k(u) > n$  ie  $d_k(u) = \infty$  since the total number of vertices is  $n$ . Therefore, edge  $(u, v)$  can't be reached. We have a total of  $m$  edges in the graph and each edge reappears atmost  $n$  times. Therefore, after  $mn$  iterations there is no path between  $s$  and  $t$ . Therefore the algorithm terminates at this point and hence the running complexity is  $O(m^2n)$ .

## 2 Solution of 2

### 2.1 Pseudo Code:

```
function FINDREACHABLE( $G, s, t, k$ )
  while there is an  $s$ - $t$  path in the graph  $G$  do
    Find a simple  $s$ - $t$  path in the graph  $G$  (no vertex repeated).
    Ping vertices on the path in order of a binary search to find the
    nearest node on this path which is unreachable from  $s$ . Let this
node
    be  $v$  and the node immediately preceding it be  $u$ .
    Remove  $(u, v)$  from the graph  $G$ .
  end while
  Do a DFS of the remaining graph  $G$  from  $s$  to find all the vertices
  reachable from  $s$ .
end function
```

### 2.2 Proof:

The length of  $s$ - $t$  path can be atmost  $n$  (no vertex is repeated). So if we do a binary search on this sequence of vertices we require atmost  $\log(n)$  ping operations. When we remove an edge  $(u, v)$  from the graph, it is the one removed by the hacker too, because if there is an edge  $(u, v)$ , there is no way to make  $v$  unreachable and keep  $u$  reachable without removing  $(u, v)$ . Also since hacker has removed edges from min-size cut,  $(u, v)$  belongs to min-size cut.

The algorithm terminates when there is no  $s$ - $t$  path remaining. Since we remove the edges from the min-size cut, after  $k$  iterations of while loop no edge from the min-size cut remains and consequently no  $s$ - $t$  path remains in the graph. So the while loop runs  $k$  times and in each iteration we execute

a maximum of  $\log(n)$  ping operations. So the algorithm executes  $O(k \log n)$  ping operations.

Finally we do a DFS on the remaining graph to find all the reachable vertices.

### 2.3 Time Complexity

The time complexity of  $\text{ping}(v)$  operation has not been given so we represent it by  $P$ . The overall time complexity of the algorithm can be represented as:

$$O(k(P \log(n) + m) + |S|)$$

This includes the time required to find an  $s$ - $t$  path in the graph using BFS i.e.  $O(m)$ . Second term is due to DFS done of the graph to report the set of reachable vertices.  $|S|$  is the size of the set returned by the algorithm. No node except those in  $|S|$  are reachable from  $s$ , so DFS takes  $O(|S|)$  time.