Al Travel Planner

Introduction

Ever tried planning a trip and felt overwhelmed by all the research? The **Al Travel Planner** is a web app that helps you plan smarter trips using cutting-edge Al. It acts like a personal travel assistant, automatically crafting itineraries that fit your interests **and** budget. The app focuses on historical sights, keeps an eye on the weather, and finds budget-friendly options for travel and stay. In short, it saves you time by doing the heavy lifting of trip planning.

What problem does it solve? Travelers often spend hours reading blogs, checking weather, and hunting for deals. This app streamlines that process. You simply tell it where you want to go (and your budget), and it will generate:

- **Top attractions** (especially historical sites or landmarks)
- Real-time weather info for your travel days
- Budget-friendly options for flights, hotels, and food
- **MATERIAL PROPERTY AND INTERPORT OF A MUITI-DAY INTO A MUITI-DAY A MUITI-DAY INTO A MUITI**

Technologies Used: Under the hood, Al Travel Planner combines several advanced tools:

- **Google Gemini 1.5** a powerful Large Language Model (LLM) from Google, used to understand your input and generate the trip plan.
- CrewAl a framework for multi-agent task delegation, allowing the app to break the planning
 job into smaller tasks handled by specialized Al "agents."
- **Serper.dev API** a real-time search API to fetch up-to-date information (like current weather or latest travel info from the web).
- **Streamlit** a Python-based web app framework that creates the friendly user interface you interact with.

Together, these technologies enable a *smart* and *interactive* travel planning experience. No more flipping through multiple websites – your Al travel buddy does it for you, in one place, with a casual chat-like feel.

How It Works (User Flow)

Using the Al Travel Planner is straightforward. Here's a step-by-step walkthrough of the user experience and what happens behind the scenes:

- 1. **Open the App & Enter Details:** The user navigates to the Streamlit web app. They are greeted with a simple interface. You'll see input fields asking for your **destination** (e.g., "London") and your **budget** (how much you plan to spend). For now, the app assumes a 3-day trip duration by default. (In the future, you might be able to specify the number of days or exact dates.) After filling in the details, you hit the **"Generate Travel Plan"** button.
- 2. **Al Planning in Action:** Once you submit your info, the Al engine kicks off in the background. You might see a small message like " in Generating your travel plan..." indicating that the app is working. During this time:

- The app's **Al agents** (more on them later) analyze your input.
- They use **Google Gemini** to brainstorm an itinerary and decide what information they need (for example, "What are the top historical sites in London?" or "Is it going to rain this week in London?").
- They fetch live data via the Serper.dev search API for things like weather forecasts or current attraction details.
- All this happens in a few moments. It's as if a team of travel experts is quickly researching and collaborating on your trip plan in real-time.
- 3. **Results Your Personalized Plan:** After a short wait (usually seconds), the app presents the output to you in a clear format. You'll typically get:
- Highlights Section: Key findings like a list of must-see historical sites, a quick note on the expected weather during your trip, and perhaps a few recommended hotels (chosen for good location near public transport).
- Budget Breakdown: An estimate of costs for example, approximate flight prices, hotel costs for 3 nights, daily food/transport budget ensuring the plan stays within your stated budget.
- Day-by-Day Itinerary: A detailed itinerary for each day of your trip. For each day, it might outline activities for morning, afternoon, and evening. For example, "Day 1: Visit the British Museum in the morning (great for a rainy day), have lunch at a local pub, then tour the Tower of London in the afternoon..." and so on. It considers the historical sites you'd enjoy, and plans them in an order that makes sense (maybe grouping places that are near each other, or indoor activities on a rainy day).
- 4. **Review and Enjoy:** You can scroll through the plan right in the app. The tone of the itinerary is friendly and informative, almost like a tour guide wrote it for you. If something isn't to your liking or you have a different budget in mind, you can tweak the inputs and run it again. Each run might give slightly different suggestions or ordering, providing you with options to choose from.

(Imagine a simple flowchart here: User Input Al Planner Processes Itinerary Output. The user just sees input and output, while the Al does all the steps in between.)

Overall, the user flow is designed to be as simple as "enter info, get plan". There's no need to navigate multiple pages or deal with complex forms. It's an **interactive demo** style experience – perfect for a quick trip preview or brainstorming your next vacation!

Tech Stack and Architecture

Tech Stack Overview: The Al Travel Planner is built on a modern stack of Al and web technologies. Each component plays a specific role:

- CrewAl (Multi-Agent Framework): Allows the app to create a team of Al agents with different roles. Instead of one monolithic Al handling everything, CrewAl lets us have specialized agents (one focused on researching info, one on budgeting, one on scheduling the itinerary).
- Serper.dev (Search API): Provides real-time Google search results. This is how the AI gets
 up-to-date data (for example, current weather or latest flight prices) which a static model might not
 know.
- Google Gemini 1.5 (LLM): The brain of the operation. Gemini is Google's powerful large
 language model that understands the task and generates human-like text. All the agents use this
 model to reason and create outputs (e.g., listing attractions, calculating budgets, writing the itinerary
 description).
- Streamlit (Web UI): The front-end of the app. Streamlit allows us to build a web interface in Python quickly. It takes care of the layout, input widgets, and displaying results nicely, so users have a smooth experience without dealing with any installation or complex UI.

Architecture & How Components Communicate:

Think of the architecture as a pipeline that turns user input into a travel plan, with different specialists handling each part:

- 1. **User Interface (Streamlit):** The user enters information on the Streamlit app. When they click the button, this input is sent to the backend logic.
- 2. **Orchestrator (CrewAl Crew):** The app creates a *Crew* (like a team) of agents via CrewAl. This orchestrator knows what agents (roles) are involved and in what order to execute them. It's configured to run the agents sequentially for this project.
- 3. Agents and LLM: There are three main agents (defined by us) let's call them Travel Researcher, Budget Planner, and Itinerary Planner. Each agent is essentially a wrapper around the Gemini LLM with a specific role description:
 - The *Travel Researcher* agent's job is to gather factual info (points of interest, weather, transport, etc.).
 - The *Budget Planner* agent focuses on anything money-related (flight costs, accommodation options within budget, daily expense estimates).
 - The *Itinerary Planner* agent takes the insights from the other two and crafts the actual day-by-day schedule.
- 4. **Tools (Serper Search):** The agents aren't working blindly they can call external tools. In this app, the main tool available is the **web search** via Serper.dev. For example, if the Researcher agent needs the latest weather, it uses this tool to get that info from the web. The integration is seamless: the agent "asks" for data, and the tool returns snippets that the agent (via the LLM) can read and incorporate.
- 5. **Data Flow:** When the process starts, the CrewAl orchestrator triggers each agent in turn:
 - The Travel Researcher agent runs first. It uses Gemini to formulate search queries (through Serper) and gathers info. Once it's done, it produces an output (like a summary of historical sites and weather forecast).
 - Next, the Budget Planner agent runs. It might search for flight prices or average hotel rates given the budget. It then outputs a cost breakdown and suggestions to stay on budget.
 - Finally, the Itinerary Planner agent runs. It knows the goal is to use the info gathered (at least conceptually: historical sites, weather, costs) to make a coherent 3-day plan. It can also do its own searches if needed (for example, checking an attraction's opening hours). This agent uses the Gemini LLM to write out the itinerary in detail.
- 6. **Aggregation:** After all agents have done their part, their outputs can be combined or shown to the user. In our app, we collect each agent's result. The Streamlit frontend then displays those results in sections (so you can see the research info, the budget tips, and the itinerary).

Architecture Diagram (conceptual): Imagine the following flow:

```
rust
CopyEdit
User (Browser)
   -> Streamlit App (Python)
        -> CrewAI Crew (orchestrator)
        -> [Travel Researcher Agent  -> calls Serper API  for data ->
returns info
        -> [Budget Planner Agent  -> calls Serper API  for data ->
returns info
        -> [Itinerary Planner Agent  -> (uses previous info + maybe more search) -> returns itinerary
        -> Results sent back to Streamlit
        -> User sees the plan in browser.
```

All communication with the LLM (Gemini) and the search API is handled in the backend. The front-end just waits for the final results to display. This modular design (UI separated from logic, and logic separated into agents) makes the system easier to understand and extend. For example, we could add another agent (say, a "Foodie Agent" for restaurant suggestions) without changing how the others work.

In summary, the tech stack and architecture enable **collaboration between multiple AI components** in an organized way, all wrapped in an easy-to-use web app. It's like having a mini AI team – researcher, accountant, planner – all coordinating to plan your trip!

Setup Instructions

Ready to try the Al Travel Planner yourself? This page walks you through setting up the project on your local machine.

Prerequisites: You should have **Python 3.x** installed (preferably 3.9+). Also, you'll need API keys for Google Gemini and Serper.dev (explained below).

1. Clone the Repository: First, get the code from the repository (for example, if hosted on GitHub):

```
bash
CopyEdit
git clone https://github.com/YOUR_USERNAME/ai-travel-planner.git
cd ai-travel-planner
```

This will download the project files into a new folder and navigate into it.

2. Install Dependencies: All required Python libraries are listed in a requirements.txt. Install them using pip:

```
bash
CopyEdit
pip install -r requirements.txt
```

This will fetch and install packages like **Streamlit**, **CrewAI**, **crewAI-tools**, etc., that the app needs. (If you don't see a requirements file, you can manually install the main ones: pip install streamlit crewai crewai-tools.)

3. Obtain API Keys:

- Google Gemini API Key: Gemini is a private Large Language Model service. If you have access to Google's LLM (Gemini 1.5), obtain an API key or credentials from Google/DeepMind. (This might involve signing up for an AI cloud service or using a developer token.) If you don't have access to Gemini, you could modify the code to use an alternative LLM (like OpenAI's GPT-4 via OpenAI API) as a substitute.
- Serper.dev API Key: Serper.dev offers a free tier for search API. Go to serper.dev and sign up for an API key. The free plan typically gives a certain number of searches per month which is sufficient for testing.
- o Once you have the keys, you'll need to set them as environment variables so the app can use them:
- For Gemini: set an environment variable GOOGLE_API_KEY with your Gemini API key.
- o For Serper: set SERPER_API_KEY with your Serper.dev key.

On Linux/Mac, you can do this in the terminal like: bash

CopyEdit

```
export GOOGLE_API_KEY="YOUR_GEMINI_KEY_HERE"
export SERPER_API_KEY="YOUR_SERPER_KEY_HERE"
```

- On Windows, use set instead of export. Alternatively, you can put these in a .env file or directly in the code (not recommended for security reasons).
- **4. Run the Application:** With dependencies installed and API keys set, launch the Streamlit app:

```
bash
CopyEdit
streamlit run app.py
```

This will start a local web server and open a browser tab (usually at http://localhost:8501) to show the app UI. You should see the title * AI-Powered Travel Planner* and input fields as described earlier.

5. Using the App: Enter a destination and a budget in the interface and click the **"Generate Travel Plan"** button. Within a few seconds, you should see the results populate below. Each section (research findings, budget suggestions, itinerary) will appear with its own heading.

6. Troubleshooting Setup:

- If the browser doesn't open automatically, manually navigate to the provided local URL (e.g., http://localhost:8501).
- If you get an error about missing packages, double-check that all dependencies from requirements.txt are installed (you might need to upgrade pip or install missing ones manually).
- If the app runs but you see errors about authentication or missing keys, ensure your environment variables for API keys are set in the same context where you ran streamlit. You can also double-check by printing os.environ.get("GOOGLE_API_KEY") in the code to see if it's loaded.
- If you don't have a Gemini key, consider replacing the model with one you have access to (the CrewAl LLM wrapper can likely be pointed to other models like GPT-3.5/4 if needed, with minor code changes).

That's it! With the app running, you can generate as many itineraries as you like. Feel free to experiment with different destinations or budgets.

Behind the Scenes (APIs + LLM Logic)

Let's dive deeper into **how the Al actually plans the trip**. Under the hood, the Travel Planner uses a combination of *Large Language Model intelligence* and *API tools* orchestrated together.

LLM (Google Gemini) for Planning: Google's **Gemini 1.5** model is the core brain that generates the content of the itinerary and performs reasoning. We leverage this model in three distinct contexts (via the agents mentioned earlier):

- For gathering info, the LLM is prompted as a "**Travel Researcher**" it might get a prompt like: "You are a travel researcher. Find the top historical sites in London and the current weather forecast. Also find a few hotels near public transport hubs." The LLM will then possibly generate some internal queries or directly ask for info (through the search tool) and compile an answer.
- For budgeting, the LLM acts as a "Budget Planner" prompt example: "You are a budget planner. The total budget is \$1500. Find approximate flight costs to London, cheap but decent hotels, and

- estimate food/transport per day such that the total stays under \$1500." The LLM can do some basic math or reasoning to divide the budget and even use the search tool to lookup flight prices.
- For the itinerary, the LLM becomes an "Itinerary Planner" prompt: "Given points of interest, weather, and a budget, create a day-by-day itinerary for a 3-day trip to London. Include historical sites, and account for rainy weather or budget limits as needed." Here the LLM actually writes out the plan in a narrative form.

Each agent's prompt (often called its **goal** or role) guides Gemini to focus on a specific aspect. The **CrewAl** framework handles setting up these prompts and contexts for us, so we don't have to hard-code huge prompt strings. We defined each agent with a role name, a goal, and a bit of backstory – this essentially tells the LLM "pretend to be this kind of expert for this task."

Serper.dev for Real-Time Data: The LLM is knowledgeable but might not have the latest data (for instance, it won't know today's weather or current flight prices on its own if its training data is old). This is where **Serper.dev's API** comes in. It acts as the eyes and ears of our agents:

- The Travel Researcher agent uses Serper to get up-to-date info on attractions and weather. For
 example, it could query "London weather next 3 days" and "top 5 historical sites in London" and get
 back snippets from search results. The LLM will then read those snippets (provided by CrewAl's tool
 interface) and incorporate the relevant facts into its output.
- The Budget Planner might search for "average cost of flight to London from NYC" or "cheap hotels in London". The search API returns a summary of what it finds (which could be an excerpt from a travel site or Google's answer box). The agent then parses that text to pull out a dollar amount or a list of hotel names, etc.
- Essentially, Serper.dev gives the Al access to the world's information in real time, overcoming one of the main limitations of static Al models. It's like giving the Al a web browser to look things up as needed.

CrewAl's Role in Delegation: CrewAl is the glue that makes the multiple agents work together smoothly:

- We set up a sequence of tasks: research -> budget -> itinerary. CrewAl makes sure each task is handled by the right agent in the right order.
- It manages each agent's interaction with the LLM and tools. For instance, when the Researcher agent runs, CrewAl feeds its prompt to the Gemini model and also provides access to the Serper tool. The agent (through the LLM) can decide to call the tool (CrewAl handles the API call to Serper), get the result, and continue the conversation. This loop can happen multiple times: the agent can search multiple queries until it's satisfied.
- CrewAl also allows agents to have memory. In our setup, each agent has memory=True, which
 means if an agent had to perform multiple steps or refer back to something it just found, it can
 remember what was said earlier in the session. (This is essentially how the LLM keeps track of the
 ongoing "thought process" within that task.)
- Task Delegation: In more complex setups, one agent could even delegate a sub-task to another agent (for example, the Itinerary agent could ask the Researcher agent a follow-up question if needed). Our configuration allowed the Researcher to delegate (allow_delegation=True), though in the current sequential design, each agent mostly completes its task independently. Still, CrewAl's framework is built to support these kinds of interactions if we expand the project.
- After each agent finishes, CrewAl collects the output. By the end, we have three pieces of
 information (from each agent). We then use those to display results. (We could also
 programmatically feed the outputs from one agent into the next agent's prompt if we wanted an even
 more integrated chain. For simplicity, each agent here knew the overall goal and did its part without
 an explicit hand-off, relying on the shared inputs and general instructions.)

Putting it all together: The LLM logic combined with API calls means the AI Travel Planner follows a *sense-plan-act* cycle:

- 1. Sense: Use Serper (search) to get facts/data.
- 2. **Plan/Think:** Use Gemini LLM to analyze data and make decisions (what places to include, how to divide budget, etc.).
- 3. Act (Output): Generate a human-readable itinerary or list of recommendations.

This happens within each agent and finally produces a coherent plan. The magic is that we didn't hard-code any specific knowledge (we didn't manually list London sites or prices); the AI figured it out via the LLM's reasoning and live web info. CrewAI just made that process easier to manage by giving structure to it.

So behind the scenes, it really is like having a **crew of Al specialists** collaborating. The benefit of this design is flexibility and clarity – each part of the problem (information gathering, budgeting, planning) is handled separately, which makes debugging and improving each aspect easier (as we will see in the testing section). And thanks to Serper and Gemini, the planner's knowledge is both **broad (LLM general knowledge)** and **current (live search data)**.

UI/UX and Streamlit

The Al Travel Planner's user interface is built with **Streamlit**, which makes it simple yet effective. The goal for the UI/UX was to keep it clean and let the content (the generated plan) shine. Here's what the interface includes and how it was made:

- **Title and Description:** At the top of the app, there's a bold title: " Al-Powered Travel Planner". The globe emoji adds a fun touch and immediately signals the app is travel-related. Below the title or right under it, the app can include a short description or tagline (e.g., "Plan smarter trips with Al get itineraries, budgets, and more in seconds!") to welcome the user.
- Input Form: The core inputs are just two fields:
- Destination implemented with st.text_input(). It's a simple textbox where the user types the
 city or location they want to visit.
- Budget also a text input (or it could be a number input). In the code, it's a text field for now (labeled "Budget (INR)" in the prototype, meaning you could enter something like 1500 assuming Indian Rupees, or any currency you decide to use). In a polished version, this might be a number input with a dropdown for currency. These inputs are straightforward and on one line each, making it intuitive to fill out. We also include a quick validation: if either field is left empty and the user clicks the button, the app shows an error message prompting them to fill both (using st.error()).
- **Generate Button:** A big friendly button labeled "Generate Travel Plan" triggers the AI planning. This is created with st.button(). When clicked, it runs the logic (calls the CrewAI agents with the given inputs). While the plan is being generated, the UI gives feedback:
- We use st.info(" Generating your travel plan...") to display a temporary message with a suitcase emoji, indicating the app is working. This improves UX by not leaving the user guessing. (Streamlit executes the code sequentially, so this message will appear, then be replaced once results come in.)
 - o **Output Display:** Once the AI returns the results, the app displays them in sections:
 - The app uses st.subheader(" ★ Travel Researcher Findings") (for example) to title the section containing the research agent's output. Underneath, st.write() is used to output the actual text. This might include a bullet list of historical sites, a sentence about the weather, and a few hotel names. Using a subheader and an emoji pin helps separate it visually and add personality.

- Next, st.subheader(" is Budget Planner Suggestions") introduces the budget section, followed by st.write() of the budget breakdown text.
- Then st.subheader(" Itinerary Planner Recommendations") for the day-by-day itinerary section, with another st.write() for the detailed itinerary.
- Finally, for a bit of positive reinforcement, we show a success message: st.success(" ✓ Travel Plan Generated!") and a heading like st.subheader("Your Travel Plan:"). The success box highlights that the process is done and was successful.
- The results are in plain text/Markdown, which Streamlit will format nicely. For instance, if the LLM output contains lists or newlines, st.write will respect those (even rendering Markdown if any is present).
- Layout and Styling: Streamlit takes care of basic layout. The app currently is a single-page app with a top-to-bottom flow (which is fine for this use case). We didn't need to add custom HTML/CSS; the built-in Streamlit theming is used, which is clean and modern by default. We did use emojis in text to make sections stand out and feel more engaging. (For example, each agent's section had a relevant emoji: a pin, money bag, and map icon. This makes the output skimmable you can identify sections at a glance.)
- Interactivity and Responsiveness: The UI updates automatically when the user submits the form.
 Streamlit apps are reactive, meaning after the button is clicked and the Python code runs to produce results, the interface updates in real-time. If you change the inputs and click again, it'll rerun and update accordingly. There's no manual page refresh needed.
- Screenshot Placeholder: Imagine here a screenshot of the app: On the left, you might see the
 input text boxes for "Destination" and "Budget" and the "Generate" button. Once a plan is generated,
 the right (or below on smaller screens) shows something like:
- **Travel Researcher Findings: (e.g., "Top 3 historical sites in London: Tower of London, Westminster Abbey, British Museum... It's currently 18°C with light rain expected tomorrow...")
- *is* Budget Planner Suggestions: (e.g., "Estimated round-trip flight: \$700. 3-night hotel (mid-range): \$450. Daily food & local transit: \$50/day. Total ≈ \$1350 within your \$1500 budget.")
- Itinerary Planner Recommendations: (e.g., "Day 1: Morning at the British Museum (indoors, good for rainy start), Afternoon at Westminster Abbey... Day 2: ... Day 3: ..."). Each day might be a little paragraph or list of activities.
- A success message at the bottom saying " Travel Plan Generated!"

(In a live document or project README, this is where we'd include an actual screenshot image showing an example output screen.)

UX Considerations: We aimed for a *casual, demo-friendly vibe*. Anyone should be able to use this without instructions:

- Minimal inputs to avoid decision paralysis.
- Immediate feedback on action (loading message then results).
- Clear sections in output so the user understands what they're looking at.

• Use of icons and emojis to make the experience fun (travel planning is exciting, so the app tone is upbeat).

Special Streamlit Features Used:

- st.title, st.subheader, st.write for structured text output.
- st.text_input for input fields.
- st.button to handle form submission.
- st.info and st.success for status messages.
- Simple control flow with if statements to handle the button logic and input validation.

We did not incorporate advanced Streamlit widgets like maps or file upload in this version, but those could be interesting future additions (e.g., showing a map of the itinerary route or allowing the user to download the itinerary as a PDF).

In summary, the Streamlit UI provides a **simple and polished interface** for the complex AI logic. It ensures the user experience is smooth: just fill in two fields and let the AI do the rest. Even without fancy graphics, the combination of clear text and thoughtful formatting gives a satisfying experience, much like a personalized travel guide being generated on the fly in front of your eyes.

Testing + Debugging

Building an AI application means dealing with dynamic outputs, which can make testing a bit different from traditional apps. Here's how we approach testing and debugging for the AI Travel Planner, and some tips for ensuring everything runs smoothly:

Manual Testing (Exploratory): Given the nature of the app, a lot of testing is done by running the app with various inputs and observing the results. For example:

- Test with different destinations (large city vs. small town) to see if the AI can handle both.
- Test with different budget values (very low budget to see if it still gives a plan, or a very high budget to see if it "splurges" appropriately).
- Verify that the outputs make sense (e.g., for Paris it should mention the Louvre or Eiffel Tower; for a beach destination, it shouldn't talk about ski resorts).
- Also verify the weather info changes with time (run the same city on different days to see updated weather details if possible).

Automated Testing (Ideas): Traditional unit tests are tricky because the output is generative text. However, we can still write tests for certain aspects:

- **Utility Functions:** If we had any helper functions (for example, a function to format the budget breakdown or to parse search results), those can and should be unit tested with deterministic inputs.
- API Connectivity: A simple test to ensure Serper.dev API is reachable and returns something for a sample query (maybe mock it to avoid real calls in every test run).
- Response Structure: We could write a test that runs the crew.kickoff for a fixed input (like "Denver, budget 1000") with deterministic LLM behavior (this might be hard without controlling the LLM). But one approach is to check that the response is a list of three items (for the three agents) and that each item is a non-empty string. This at least ensures our pipeline didn't break and produce no output.

• Streamlit Interface: Streamlit doesn't have a built-in testing framework, but we can simulate the logic. For instance, test that if destination or budget is empty and the button is pressed, our code sets an error (this can be done by calling the relevant function or simulating the conditions).

Debugging Techniques:

- Verbose Logging: We enabled verbose=True for the LLM and agents. This means when running in a console, CrewAl will print out a lot of information about what the agents are doing, the prompts being sent, and possibly the results from the search tool. This is incredibly useful to debug the reasoning process. For example, if the itinerary agent is producing a weird result, the verbose logs might show that it never got the weather info because maybe the researcher failed to fetch it. Then we know where to fix.
- Incremental Development: We can run each agent's logic individually to ensure that part works.
 E.g., directly call the Researcher agent with a destination and see if it returns a reasonable list of sites and weather. Doing this in an interactive environment (like a Jupyter notebook or even printing to console in Streamlit) helps isolate issues.
- Error Handling: We added some basic checks (like showing st.error if inputs are missing). For API calls, we should also handle exceptions:
- If Serper.dev search fails (network issue or rate limit), currently the agent might just not get results.
 We could improve by catching such exceptions and maybe have the agent proceed with what it knows or try again.
- o If the LLM model call fails (e.g., API quota exceeded or invalid API key), the app should catch that and display a friendly error message instead of crashing. In practice, wrapping the crew.kickoff call in a try-except and using st.error("Oops, something went wrong...") would help.

Common Issues & Fixes:

- Missing/Invalid API Keys: This is the number one issue that can cause the app to not work.
 Double-check environment variables. During debugging, print them (careful not to expose keys in a public log though). If Gemini isn't accessible, swapping in a known working model (like OpenAl's API, if you have that) can help determine if the issue is the model or the code.
- Slow Responses: Sometimes the LLM or search can be slow, causing the app to feel unresponsive.
 We use Streamlit's messages to mitigate this. For debugging, note the timestamps or use Python's
 time to measure how long each agent takes. If one is excessively slow, maybe limit the number of
 search queries or the length of output requested.
- Output Format Oddities: The AI might return text that doesn't render well (like very long lines or unexpected formatting). We can debug this by examining the raw output string. If needed, post-process it (for instance, insert newlines or bullet points). Testing a few outputs manually will reveal if any formatting tweaks are necessary.
- CrewAl or Streamlit version issues: Using the correct versions as in requirements is important. If a
 collaborator uses a newer CrewAl release, things might break (agent definitions or API might change).
 If something worked before and now doesn't after an update, pinning versions or checking the
 changelog is a good debugging step.

Logging and Monitoring: While developing, it's helpful to run the app in a terminal (not just in browser) so you can see the printouts from CrewAl's verbose mode. You'll see step-by-step what the Al is thinking/doing. For a production scenario, you might want to turn off verbose mode and use logging instead (so that users don't see internal info). You could integrate Python's logging module to log

important events (like "Search query X executed" or "Itinerary generation completed") to a file for later analysis.

Testing the Multi-Agent Logic: Because we rely on multiple agents, one thing to test is that they all contribute properly:

- Ensure that the itinerary agent can still produce a plan even if, say, the budget agent's info is sparse (robustness).
- Ensure that if the researcher finds no results (e.g., an obscure destination), the agents handle it
 gracefully (maybe the LLM will just say "couldn't find much info on historic sites", which is okay, but it
 shouldn't break).
- We might simulate a scenario with a very low budget to see if the budget agent appropriately limits recommendations (if it doesn't, that's a potential area to improve logic or prompt).

Continuous Improvement: As the app grows, we would consider adding more formal tests, especially for any deterministic parts. For the AI outputs, **evaluating quality** is more subjective – we rely on user feedback or our own evaluation to judge if an itinerary is good. Over time, refining the prompts and agent logic is part of the debugging process (it's a bit like tuning an algorithm, except with prompt engineering).

In summary, testing this project is a mix of **technical correctness** (no errors, keys set, functions working) and **content quality** (the plans make sense). Debugging involves checking everything from API calls to the AI's thought process. By using verbose logs and careful step-by-step checks, we can pinpoint issues. And remember, because the AI can occasionally be unpredictable, it's important to test multiple scenarios and handle the unexpected gracefully (fail-safe defaults, error messages, etc.).

Future Work and Improvements

The Al Travel Planner is already a cool demo of what Al can do for trip planning, but there's plenty of room to grow. Here are some ideas and potential improvements for future development, as well as notes for anyone who might collaborate on the project:

New Features to Add:

- Flexible Trip Duration & Multi-City Trips: Currently the itinerary is fixed to 3 days. In the future, we'd allow the user to specify the number of days and even multiple destinations (for example, a 7-day trip covering 3 different cities). This would involve looping the itinerary planning for each day or each location and linking them (including transit between cities as part of the plan).
- **User Accounts & Persistence:** Implement a login system so users can save their itineraries. A user could come back and view or tweak a saved plan. This might involve a database to store plans or user profiles (which is outside the current scope, but feasible with a service or local file).
- Map Integration: Integrate a map to visualize the itinerary. For example, using a library or API (Google Maps, Mapbox, or even Streamlit's built-in map for showing points). The app could show pins for each attraction or the route for each day.
- Images and Multimedia: Along with text, show images of attractions (fetched via an API or Google Images) to make the itinerary more engaging. Streamlit can display images, so an agent could search for image URLs of top sights. This would make the output more like a travel brochure.
- Multi-modal Transport Suggestions: Extend the planner to suggest transportation options. If a
 trip has multiple stops, the Al could recommend "take a train from City A to City B" or "rent a car on
 Day 3 to drive to the countryside." For within a city, it could mention using the metro, buses, or
 rideshares. This might require more complex logic or an additional agent focusing on transit.

- | Dining and Custom Preferences: Add options for personalizing the plan e.g., checkbox or select for "Interested in Food Tours" or "Outdoor Activities" or "Traveling with Kids". The AI can then tailor the itinerary (maybe via prompt changes) to include, say, kid-friendly spots or popular local eateries. A dedicated "Foodie agent" could list top restaurants for each day as an add-on.
- Date-specific Planning: Let the user input actual dates for the trip. Then the app can check
 events or seasonal info. For example, if the trip is in February, it might note a festival happening, or if
 a museum is closed on Mondays it will adjust the schedule accordingly. This would use the search
 tool for date-specific queries (like "events in London on March 10, 2025").
- Interactive Q&A or Chat Refinement: After getting a plan, allow the user to ask follow-up questions or make modifications through a chat interface. For instance, "Can you add a second day in Paris to see the Palace of Versailles?" and the AI would update the plan. This essentially turns it into a conversational travel agent, which could be implemented by maintaining the context and calling the agents again with new instructions.

Improving Reliability & Performance:

- Parallel Agent Execution: Right now tasks run sequentially. We could run the Researcher and Budget agents in parallel (since one is gathering info and the other is gathering costs, which are somewhat independent), then feed both results to the Itinerary agent. This would cut down the wait time.
- **©** Smarter Prompting & Constraints: Refine the prompts given to the LLM for more consistent outputs. For example, explicitly instruct the itinerary agent to use a bulleted list for each day or limit to X items per day, so the format is predictable. This makes the output easier to parse or even edit.
- Local Knowledge Base or Caching: For popular destinations, we could cache results or have a small database of attractions to reduce API calls. If many users ask about "Paris", the app could reuse some stored info instead of searching the same things repeatedly, making it faster and less reliant on external calls.
- Error Handling & Recovery: Add more robust error catching so that if one part fails (say Serper is down momentarily), the app can either retry or inform the user gently. Possibly implement a fallback to a different search API or to the LLM's built-in knowledge if live search fails.
- **Cost Precision:** Integrate with real APIs for flights/hotels (like Skyscanner, Expedia APIs) for actual pricing. Currently, the budget agent gives rough estimates. Hooking into a live pricing API could provide more accurate budget suggestions. However, this requires dealing with API costs and complexity of parsing results.
- Deployment and Scalability: If this project becomes popular, deploying it on a server or cloud service (Streamlit Cloud, Heroku, etc.) would be next. We'd need to manage API keys securely on the server. Also, ensuring the app can handle multiple users concurrently (Streamlit can handle some, but heavy use might need scaling or converting to a different architecture for concurrency).

Notes for Collaborators:

- The project is structured for clarity: most of the logic is in app.py for simplicity. If adding new features, consider whether they belong in the UI code or if a new module should be created (for example, planner_agents.py to define or adjust agents separately from the Streamlit UI).
- When adjusting prompts or agent roles, small changes can have big effects on output. It's a good
 practice to test after any change to see how the itinerary output differs.

- If you want to add an agent (say, a Restaurant Finder agent), you would:
- 1. Define a new Agent via CrewAl with its own role and tools (it could also use the search tool).
- 2. Create a Task for it and insert it into the Crew (maybe before the Itinerary agent or however logically fits).
- 3. Modify how the results are combined/presented in the UI (add another st.subheader for its output). This modular approach makes extending the planner quite flexible.
- Please keep API keys out of the repository (use environment variables as we have). If writing documentation or examples, use placeholder values for keys.
- We welcome ideas! For example, if someone has expertise with a Weather API, they might integrate a direct call to a weather service instead of using search which could give more structured data (and then the AI could reason on actual temperature values easily).
- Before pushing changes, do a quick run-through of the app (with a known destination like London or Tokyo) to ensure the output still looks good and no sections break.

Long-Term Vision: This project could evolve from a demo into a full-featured travel planning assistant. Imagine it integrating with calendars (to schedule your trip), with booking sites (to let you book recommended hotels or flights), or even generating a printable guide. Collaboration with designers could make the UI more visually rich. The AI models will also get better (Google Gemini itself might improve or we might switch to an even more powerful model), meaning more accurate and creative itineraries.

For now, the AI Travel Planner is a showcase of how far we can go with a few tools tied together — but with future improvements, it could genuinely simplify travel planning for everyone. We're excited about these possibilities, and contributions are welcome to help make them happen!