

Anish Dubey

523002969

Artificial Intelligence - HW-2

First Implemented Heuristic Function :

$$h1 = 2.5 * \text{Curr_move} + \text{Fut_move} + 0.5 * \text{num_blocks} / \text{num_ordered_elements_0th_stack} - 0.2 * \text{global_position}$$

Explanation:

- **Curr_mov:** Number of moves required for next in order alphabet to come in 0th stack.

eg:

0 | A B C F G H

1 | D L M

.....

So next in order alphabet is D. It requires 2 moves to remove alphabet L, M and will take 3 moves to remove F, G and H. Thus 5 will be curr_mov here. This gives importance to current moves.

- **Fut_move:** Number of moves required for the rest of alphabet to come in 0th stack. Since this is a large number as compared to Curr_mov, a weightage is given to curr_mov factor. This is done in order to keep both factors equal weightage.
- **Num_blocks/num_ordered_elements_0th_stack:** In later part of searching, the above factor gives more importance to the blocks where 0th stack contains more ordered element. On trying different parameters, 0.5 showed a good result. Combination of all above parameters resulted in good heuristic.

- **Global Position:** This factor gives importance to the search space where blocks are positioned in the right order.

Like:

Stack 4 | A, B, C, D

Here Since A needs to move out penalty is given to A as it needs to shift 3 blocks.

Stack 4 | D, C, B, A

Here Since A is already in position, no penalty is given to this position.

Ref:

["http://www.cse.hcmut.edu.vn/~tru/AI/chapter3.pdf"](http://www.cse.hcmut.edu.vn/~tru/AI/chapter3.pdf)

Second Standard Heuristic Function

$h0$ = Number of blocks out of place

Heuristic is implemented to compare with the implemented heuristic. Results shown below.

Evaluation Results are below

Evaluation metrics

| BlocksWor ld | Heuristic | Stacks | Blocks | Iterations | Queue Size | Solution Length |
|---|-----------|--------|--------|---|---------------|--------------------|
| 0 B D A 1 C 2 E | h1 | 3 | 5 | 9 | 21 | 9 |
| | h0 | 3 | 5 | 2519 | 595 | 9 |
| 0 A E 1 D B F 2 G 3 C | h1 | 4 | 7 | 12 | 70 | 11 |
| | h0 | 4 | 7 | Crossed 10000 Iterations. Code terminated | | |
| 1 I G A F E 2 J 3 D H 4 5 C B | h1 | 5 | 10 | 32 | 410 | 21 |
| | h0 | 5 | 10 | Crossed 10000 Iterations. Code terminated | | |
| 1 D 2 E F I J 3 B G 4 C H | h1 | 5 | 10 | 41 | 541 | 18 |

| | | | | | | |
|--|----|---|----|---|------|----|
| 5 A | | | | | | |
| | h0 | 5 | 10 | Crossed 10000 Iterations. Code terminated | | |
| 1 LJHKCE F 2 3 4 G D 5 A 6 B | h1 | 6 | 12 | 30 | 593 | 26 |
| | h0 | 6 | 12 | Crossed 10000 Iterations. Code terminated | | |
| 1 M H N 2 K O I G E 3 F J B A L 4 5 6 7 C D | h1 | 7 | 15 | 32 | 882 | 27 |
| | h0 | 7 | 15 | Crossed 10000 Iterations. Code terminated | | |
| 1 L 2 B C G O 3 A N 4 H M K J 5 I P D 6 E 7 8 F | h1 | 8 | 16 | 49 | 2023 | 30 |
| | h0 | 8 | 16 | Crossed 10000 Iterations. | | |

| | | | | | | |
|--|----|---|----|---|------|----|
| | | | | Code terminated | | |
| 1 QPHAO KECJB 2 MNLRD 3 S 4 F I 5 T 6 7 8 9 G | h1 | 9 | 20 | 142 | 7837 | 43 |
| | h0 | 9 | 20 | Crossed 10000 iterations. Code terminated | | |

As we can see, the heuristic performs very bad in case of standard heuristic function(h0).

Admissible:

Function value is $f+g = 13.5$

Depth at 9

0 |

1 | D A B

2 | C E

Since we know it can be reached by 9 steps but our heuristic estimates 13.5. So it is not admissible. But it is seen that in later iterations, heuristic becomes more closer to approximation and is closer to being admissible.

Scalability:

| Stacks | Blocks | Iterations | Queue Size | Solution Path |
|--------|--------|------------|------------|---------------|
| 3 | 5 | 12 | 30 | 11 |
| 3 | 6 | 17 | 39 | 14 |
| 3 | 7 | 171 | 363 | 16 |
| 3 | 8 | 185 | 420 | 20 |
| 3 | 9 | 230 | 574 | 24 |
| 3 | 10 | 734 | 1701 | 31 |

As we increase the number of blocks, problems becomes harder to solve.

| Stacks | Blocks | Iterations | Queue Size | Solution Path |
|--------|--------|------------|------------|---------------|
| 3 | 10 | 734 | 1701 | 31 |
| 4 | 10 | 21 | 135 | 18 |
| 5 | 10 | 32 | 414 | 20 |
| 6 | 10 | 19 | 312 | 17 |
| 7 | 10 | 19 | 475 | 17 |
| 8 | 10 | 16 | 427 | 16 |
| 9 | 10 | 19 | 732 | 18 |
| 10 | 10 | 16 | 585 | 16 |

As we increase the number of stack for a given block, it becomes easier to solve. Thus it shows that the above problem with the defined heuristic is **scalable**.

Transcript:

Below example shows transcript for following input:

0 | D
1 | E F I J
2 | B G
3 | C H
4 | A

Final Stage

0 | A B C D E F G H I J
1 |
2 |
3 |
4 |

Search Algorithm A Star Search

Total Iterations 41

Max Frontier Size 541

Goal Reached

Depth 18

Depth at 18

0 | D
1 | E F I J
2 | B G
3 | C H
4 | A

Depth at 17

0 |
1 | E F I J
2 | B G
3 | C H D
4 | A

Depth at 16

0 | A
1 | E F I J
2 | B G

3 | C H D

4 |

Depth at 15

0 | A

1 | E F I J

2 | B

3 | C H D

4 | G

Depth at 14

0 | A

1 | E F I J

2 | B

3 | C H

4 | G D

Depth at 13

0 | A B

1 | E F I J

2 |

3 | C H

4 | G D

Depth at 12

0 | A B

1 | E F I

2 | J

3 | C H

4 | G D

Depth at 11

0 | A B

1 | E F I

2 | J H

3 | C

4 | G D

Depth at 10

0 | A B C

1 | E F I

2 | J H

3 |

4 | G D

Depth at 9

0 | A B C

1 | E F

2 | J H

3 | I

4 | G D

Depth at 8

0 | A B C D

1 | E F

2 | J H

3 | I

4 | G

Depth at 7

0 | A B C D

1 | E

2 | J H

3 | I F

4 | G

Depth at 6

0 | A B C D E

1 |

2 | J H

3 | I F

4 | G

Depth at 5

0 | A B C D E F

1 |

2 | J H

3 | I

4 | G

Depth at 4

0 | A B C D E F G

1 |

2 | J H

3 | I

4 |

Depth at 3

0 | A B C D E F G H

1 |

2 | J

3 | I

4 |

Depth at 2

0 | A B C D E F G H I

1 |

2 | J

3 |

4 |

Depth at 1

0 | A B C D E F G H I J

1 |

2 |

3 |

4 |
