# Discretionary Note

Anish Krishna Lakkapragada

# IF YOU USE THIS FILE TO CHEAT, YOU ARE NOT ONLY STUPID BUT YOU ARE CHEATING YOURSELF OUT OF THE ABILITY TO FALL IN LOVE WITH MATH. Furthermore, I am not smarter than you and my solutions did not always get a perfect score.

# CONTENT STARTS ON NEXT PAGE.

To access the general instructions for this repository head here.

### Math 226: HW 3

## Completed By: Anish Lakkapragada (NETID: al2778)

- 1. a) The set S is linearly independent if for  $a_1, a_2, \ldots a_n \in \mathbb{F}$  and  $e_i \in S$ ,  $\sum_{i=1}^N a_i e_i = 0$  when  $a_1, a_2, \ldots a_n$  all equal zero. To solve the equation  $\sum_{i=1}^N a_i e_i = (a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n) = \mathbf{0}^n$ , all elements in the set  $\{a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n\}$  must be equal to zero. Thus we have proved that  $a_1, a_2, \ldots a_n$  all equal zero as the only solution to  $\sum_{i=1}^N a_i e_i = 0$  and so S is proven to be linearly independent.
  - We define  $Span(S) = \{\Sigma_{i=1}^N a_i e_i : a_1, a_2, \dots a_n \in \mathbb{F}\} = \{(a_1, a_2, \dots a_n) : a_1, a_2, \dots a_n \in \mathbb{F}\}$  and define  $\mathbb{F}^n = \{(a_1, a_2, \dots a_n) : a_1, a_2, \dots a_n \in \mathbb{F}\}$ . Thus  $Span(S) = \mathbb{F}^n$  and so we have proven that S generates  $\mathbb{F}^n$ .
  - c) To prove that  $\{u,v\}$  is linearly independent if and only if  $\{u+v,u-v\}$  is linearly independent, we first show (1)  $\{u+v,u-v\}$  is linearly independent if  $\{u,v\}$  is linearly independent and (2)  $\{u,v\}$  is linearly independent if  $\{u+v,u-v\}$  is linearly independent.
    - ① Given  $\{u,v\}$  is linearly independent, prove  $\{u+v,u-v\}$  is linearly independent

If  $\{u, v\}$  is linearly independent, for  $a, b \in \mathbb{F}$ , the solution to  $au + bv = \mathbf{0}$  is a = b = 0. Given  $c, d \in \mathbb{F}$ , let us now re-express  $au + bv = \mathbf{0}$  with a = c + d, b = c - d.

$$au + bv = \mathbf{0}$$
$$(c+d)u + (c-d)v = \mathbf{0}$$
$$c(u+v) + d(u-v) = \mathbf{0}$$

As we are given  $\{u,v\}$  is linearly independent, we know that a=b=0 and so given c+d=c-d=0, we know that  $c=d=0^1$ . Thus,  $\{u+v,u-v\}$  is proven to be linearly independent as the only solution to  $c(u+v)+d(u-v)=\mathbf{0}$  is proven to be c=d=0.

② Given  $\{u+v,u-v\}$  is linearly independent, prove  $\{u,v\}$  is linearly independent

Given  $a, b \in \mathbb{F}$ , if we know  $\{u+v, u-v\}$  is linearly independent, we know that the solution to  $a(u+v)+b(u-v)=\mathbf{0}$  is a=b=0. We can also simplify this as:

$$a(u+v) + b(u-v) = \mathbf{0}$$
$$(a+b)u + (a-b)v = \mathbf{0}$$

Given that we know a=b=0, if we define  $c=a+b=0\in\mathbb{F}$  and  $d=a-b=0\in\mathbb{F}$ , we get that

$$cu + dv = 0$$

If  $\{u, v\}$  is linearly independent, the solution for the equation  $eu + fv = \mathbf{0}$  is e = f = 0 for  $e, f \in \mathbb{F}$ . From the above equation, we know that e = c = 0

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Note that we can only conclude this because  $\mathbb{F}$  has a characteristic not equal to two. If this was not the case, the condition c+d=c-d=0 can be met if c=d=1.

and f=d=0 and thus  $e=f=0 \Rightarrow \{u,v\}$  is linearly independent. Thus we haven proven that if  $\{u+v,u-v\}$  is linearly independent,  $\{u,v\}$  is linearly independent.

- 2. a) To prove that for every  $x \in V$ ,  $x \in Span(S)$  iff  $Span(S) = Span(S \cup \{x\})$  we must show (1) given  $x \in Span(S)$ ,  $Span(S) = Span(S \cup \{x\})$  and (2) given  $Span(S) = Span(S \cup \{x\})$ ,  $x \in Span(S)$ .
  - Given  $x \in Span(S)$ ,  $Span(S) = Span(S \cup \{x\})$ Let us define N = |S|.  $Span(S) = \{\sum_{i=1}^{N} a_i v_i : a_i \in \mathbb{F}, v_i \in V\}$  and  $Span(S \cup \{x\}) = \{cx + \sum_{i=1}^{N} b_i v_i : b_i, c \in \mathbb{F}, v_i \in V\}$ . If  $x \in Span(S)$ , x can be expressed as  $\sum_{i=1}^{N} a_i v_i$  for some set of values  $a_i \in \mathbb{F}$ . We can re-express our d  $Span(S \cup \{x\})$  as  $\{\sum_{i=1}^{N} ca_i v_i + \sum_{i=1}^{N} b_i v_i : b_i, c \in \mathbb{F}, v_i \in V\} = \{\sum_{i=1}^{N} (ca_i + b_i) v_i : b_i, c \in \mathbb{F}, v_i \in V\}$ . Because  $ca_i + b_i \in \mathbb{F}$ ,  $Span(S \cup \{x\}) = \{\sum_{i=1}^{N} d_i v_i : d_i \in \mathbb{F}, v_i \in V\} = Span(S)$ . Thus, we have proved given  $x \in Span(S)$ ,  $Span(S) = Span(S \cup \{x\})$ .
  - (2) Given  $Span(S) = Span(S \cup \{x\})$ ,  $x \in Span(S)$ We use the definitions provided from 1. Because we know  $x \in S \cup \{x\}$ , we know that  $x \in Span(S \cup \{x\})$ . Since we are given  $Span(S) = Span(S \cup \{x\})$ , if  $x \in Span(S \cup \{x\}) \Rightarrow x \in Span(S)$ . Thus, we have proved if  $Span(S) = Span(S \cup \{x\})$ ,  $x \in Span(S)$ .
  - b) We are given that S is linearly independent. To prove that  $S \cup \{w\}$  is linearly independent iff  $w \notin Span(S)$ , we first prove (1) if  $w \notin Span(S)$ ,  $S \cup \{w\}$  is linearly independent and then prove (2) if  $S \cup \{w\}$  is linearly independent,  $w \notin Span(S)$ .
    - ① Given  $w \notin Span(S)$ ,  $S \cup \{w\}$  is linearly independent We define n = |S|.

For proof by contrapositive, let us assume that  $S \cup \{w\}$  is linearly dependent. That means that for the solution to the equation  $cw + \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i v_i = 0$ , where  $c, a_1, a_2, \ldots a_n \in \mathbb{F}$ , there exists at least one nonzero element of  $\{c, a_1, a_2, \ldots a_n\}$ . For this solution  $c \neq 0$ , as if c = 0, then the equation would simply be  $\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i v_i = 0$ . Because S is linearly independent, the only solution to this equation is all  $a_i = 0$ . However, this would violate the condition that there exists at least one nonzero element in set  $\{c, a_1, a_2, \ldots a_n\}$  because we are assuming  $S \cup \{w\}$  is linearly dependent. Thus, we know  $c \neq 0$  and so we can re-express w as:

$$w = -\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i v_i}{c} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{-a_i}{c} v_i$$

This expression of w is an expression of w as a linear combination of S. Thus,  $w \in Span(S)$  if  $S \cup \{w\}$  is linearly dependent. By proof by contrapositive, we haven proven if  $w \notin Span(S)$ ,  $S \cup \{w\}$  is linearly independent.

(2) Given  $S \cup \{w\}$  is linearly independent,  $w \notin Span(S)$ We use the same definition for n provided in  $\widehat{\mathbf{1}}$ . If  $S \cup \{w\}$  is linearly independent, it means that the solution to the equation  $cw + \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i v_i = 0$ , where  $c, a_1, a_2, \dots a_n \in \mathbb{F}$ , is that all elements of the set  $\{c, a_1, a_2, \dots a_n\}$  must be zero. We now try to express w as some linear combi-

nation of S.

$$cw + \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i v_i = 0$$
$$cw = -\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i v_i$$

Note that because  $S \cup \{w\}$  is linearly independent, c = 0. Because we cannot divide the above equation by c on both sides, there does not exist any linear

combination of S that is equal to w. Because the Span(S) represents all possible linear combinations of elements in S, we have proven  $w \notin Span(S)$ .

c) Given  $S = \{u_1, u_2, u_3, \dots u_k\}$ , to prove iff Condition M (defined below)

$$M: \{0\} \subseteq Span(\{u_1\}) \subseteq Span(\{u_1, u_2\}) \subseteq Span(\{u_1, u_2, u_3\}) \subseteq Span(\{u_1, \dots u_k\})$$

then S is linearly independent, we must first prove (1) if condition M holds, then S is linearly independent and (2) if S is linearly independent, then condition M holds.

### (1) If condition M holds, then S is linearly independent

For proof by contrapositive, let us assume S is linearly dependent. Thus, there exists some  $m < k \in \mathbb{Z}$  where subset  $D = \{u_1, \dots u_m\} \subsetneq S$  is linearly independent and subset  $K = \{u_1, \dots u_m, u_{m+1}\} \subseteq S$  is linearly dependent. Let us define  $a_1, a_2, \dots a_{m+1} \in \mathbb{F}$  and  $u_i \in K$ . Because K is linearly dependent, we know that for the solution to the equation  $\sum_{i=1}^{m+1} a_i u_i = 0$ . there exists at least one nonzero element in the set  $\{a_1, a_2, \dots a_{m+1}\}$ . We can further develop this equation as:

$$a_{m+1}u_{m+1} + \sum_{i=1}^{m} a_i u_i = 0$$

Let us consider the case in which  $a_{m+1} = 0$ . This would leave us with the equation  $\sum_{i=1}^{m} a_i u_i = 0$ . Because D is linearly independent, we know that the only solution to this equation is  $\forall a_i \in \{a_1, a_2, \dots a_m\}, a_i = 0$ . However, because this solution violates the condition that there exists at least one nonzero element in the set  $\{a_1, a_2, \dots a_{m+1}\}$ , we know that  $a_{m+1} \neq 0$ . Thus,  $u_{m+1} = \sum_{i=1}^{m} (-\frac{a_i}{a_{m+1}})u_i$  and so because we can express  $u_{m+1}$  as linear combination of D,  $u_{m+1} \in Span(D)$ .

We now compute  $Span(K) = \{cu_{m+1} + \sum_{i=1}^{m} b_i u_i : c, b_i \in \mathbb{F}\} = \{c\sum_{i=1}^{m} (-\frac{a_i}{a_{m+1}})u_i + \sum_{i=1}^{m} b_i u_i : c, b_i \in \mathbb{F}\} = \{\sum_{i=1}^{m} (\frac{-ca_i}{a_{m+1}} + b_i)u_i : c, b_i \in \mathbb{F}\}.$  Because  $\frac{-ca_i}{a_{m+1}} + b_i \in \mathbb{F}$ ,  $Span(K) = \{\sum_{i=1}^{m} d_i u_i : d_i \in \mathbb{F}\} = Span(D).$  Because Span(D) = Span(K), condition M does not hold if S is linearly dependent. Thus, we have proven by contrapositive that if condition M holds, then S is linearly independent.

### (2) If S is linearly independent, then condition M holds

We use the definitions of m, k, D, K from  $\bigcirc$  1.

For proof by contrapositive, let us assume that condition M does not hold<sup>2</sup> and so for some  $m < k \in \mathbb{R}$ , Span(D) = Span(K) Because  $u_{m+1} \in Span(K) \Rightarrow u_{m+1} \in Span(D)$ , we know that  $u_{m+1}$  can be written as  $u_{m+1} = \sum_{i=1}^{m} a_i u_i$  for  $a_i \in \mathbb{F}$ ,  $v_i \in D$ .

The set S is linearly independent if given  $b_i \in \mathbb{F}$  and  $u_i \in S$ , the only solution to the equation  $\sum_{i=1}^k b_i u_i = 0$  is  $\forall b_i \in \{b_1, b_2, \dots b_k\}, b_i = 0$ . We can re-express this equation below as:

$$\Sigma_{i=1}^{k} b_i u_i = 0$$

$$b_{m+1} u_{m+1} + \Sigma_{i=m+2}^{k} b_i u_i + \Sigma_{i=1}^{m} b_i u_i = 0$$

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>There is only one case in which condition M does not hold. Condition M would not hold if  $\exists j < k \in \mathbb{Z}$  s.t.  $Span(\{u_1, \ldots, u_j\}) = Span(\{u_1, \ldots, u_j, u_{j+1}\})$  or  $Span(\{u_1, \ldots, u_j\}) \not\subseteq Span(\{u_1, \ldots, u_j, u_{j+1}\})$ . All elements in  $Span(\{u_1, \ldots, u_j\})$  exist in  $Span(\{u_1, \ldots, u_j, u_{j+1}\})$  as the last element in the set  $u_{j+1}$  can always be ignored in a linear combination of  $\{u_1, \ldots, u_j, u_{j+1}\}$  by setting its coefficient to zero. Thus  $Span(\{u_1, \ldots, u_j\}) \subseteq Span(\{u_1, \ldots, u_j, u_{j+1}\})$  and so condition M can only be violated if  $Span(\{u_1, \ldots, u_j\}) = Span(\{u_1, \ldots, u_j, u_{j+1}\})$ .

Let us set  $b_i = 0$  for  $m + 2 \le i \le k$ ,  $b_i = -a_i$  for  $1 \le i \le m$ , and  $b_{m+1} = 1$ . This gives us:

$$u_{m+1} = \sum_{i=1}^{m} b_i u_i = \sum_{i=1}^{m} a_i u_i$$

As shown before, we know this statement is true. Thus, we have found a solution to the equation  $\sum_{i=1}^k b_i u_i = 0$  with at least one  $b_i \neq 0$ . This means S is linearly dependent. Thus, if condition M does not hold, we have shown S is linearly dependent. By proof by contrapositive, we have proven that if S is linearly independent, then condition M holds.

3. a) Given  $U = \{(x_1, \frac{x_1}{3}, x_3, \frac{x_3}{7}, x_5) \in \mathbb{R}^5; x_1, x_3, x_5 \in \mathbb{F}\}$ , a basis of U,  $\beta_U$ , can be given by:

$$\beta_U = \{(1, \frac{1}{3}, 0, 0, 0), (0, 0, 1, \frac{1}{7}, 0), (0, 0, 0, 0, 1)\}$$

i) Extending  $\beta_U$  to  $\mathbb{R}^5$ 

$$\beta_{\mathbb{R}^5} = \{(1, \frac{1}{3}, 0, 0, 0), (0, 0, 1, \frac{1}{7}, 0), (0, 0, 0, 0, 1), (0, 1, 0, 0, 0), (0, 0, 0, 1, 0)\}$$

ii) Find a subspace W of  $\mathbb{R}^5$  such that  $\mathbb{R}^5 = U \bigoplus W$ 

$$W = \{(0, a_1, 0, a_2, 0) : a_1, a_2 \in \mathbb{R}\}\$$

We now validate (1) that  $U + W = U \bigoplus W$  and (2)  $U \bigoplus W = \mathbb{R}^5$ .

Let us define  $z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4, z_5 \in \mathbb{R}$ . Given  $x = (z_1, \frac{z_1}{3}, z_3, \frac{z_3}{7}, z_5) \in U$  and  $y = (0, z_2, 0, z_4, 0) \in W$ , we can find  $U \cap W$  as the set of solutions to x = y. This would be defined as the solution to the system of equations below.

$$z_1 = 0$$

$$\frac{z_1}{3} = z_2$$

$$z_3 = 0$$

$$\frac{z_3}{7} = z_4$$

$$z_5 = 0$$

The solution to this system is  $z_1 = z_2 = z_3 = z_4 = z_5 = 0$ . Thus  $U \cap W = \{0^5\} \Rightarrow U + W = U \bigoplus W$ .

 $\mathbf{\widehat{2}} \ U \bigoplus W = \mathbb{R}^5$ 

We use the same definitions of  $x, y, z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4, z_5$  from (1). We compute  $x + y = (z_1, z_2 + \frac{z_1}{3}, z_3, z_4 + \frac{z_3}{7}, z_5) \in U \bigoplus W$ . Because  $z_1, z_2 + \frac{z_1}{3}, z_3, z_4 + \frac{z_3}{7}, z_5 \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $x + y \in \mathbb{R}^5$  and so  $U \bigoplus W \subseteq \mathbb{R}^5$ . Because  $\mathbf{0}^5 \in U \bigoplus W$  and  $U \bigoplus W$  can be trivially shown to be closed under addition and scalar multiplication,  $U \bigoplus W \leq \mathbb{R}^5$ .

We now prove that  $U \bigoplus W = \mathbb{R}^5$  by showing that  $\dim(U \bigoplus W) = \dim(\mathbb{R}^5) = 5$ .

The basis of U,  $\beta_U$ , is given above and we give the basis of W as  $\beta_W = \{(0,1,0,0,0), (0,0,0,1,0)\}$ . We now compute  $Span(\beta_U \cup \beta_W)$  as given  $v_i \in \beta_U \cup \beta_W$  and  $a_i \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $Span(\beta_U \cup \beta_W) = \sum_{i=1}^3 a_i v_i + \sum_{i=5}^4 a_i v_i$ . Because all vectors in U can be expressed as  $\sum_{i=1}^3 a_i v_i$  (a linear combination of  $\beta_U$ ) and all vectors in W can be expressed as  $\sum_{i=5}^4 a_i v_i$  (a linear combination of  $\beta_W$ ),  $Span(\beta_U \cup \beta_W) = \{u + w : u \in U, w \in W\} = U \bigoplus W \Rightarrow \beta_U \cup \beta_W$  is the basis for  $U \bigoplus W$ . Thus  $dim(U \bigoplus W) = |\beta_U \cup \beta_W| = 5 = dim(\mathbb{R}^5)$ . Thus, we have proved  $U \bigoplus W = \mathbb{R}^5$ .

b) Given  $U = \{f(x) = c_1 + (-2c_3 - 3c_4 - 4c_5)x + c_3x^2 + c_4x^3 + c_5x^4 \in P_4(\mathbb{R}) : c_1, c_3, c_4, c_5 \in \mathbb{R}\}$ , a basis of U can be given by:

$$\beta_U = \{1, -2x + x^2, -3x + x^3, -4x + x^4\}$$

i) Extending  $\beta_U$  to  $P_4(\mathbb{R})$ 

$$\beta_{P_4(\mathbb{R})} = \{1, x, -2x + x^2, -3x + x^3, -4x + x^4\}$$

ii) Find a subspace W of  $P_4(\mathbb{R})$  such that  $P_4(\mathbb{R}) = U \bigoplus W$ 

$$W = \{ f(x) = a_1 x \in P_4(\mathbb{R}) : a_1 \in \mathbb{R} \}$$

We now validate (1) that  $U + W = U \oplus W$  and (2)  $U \oplus W = P_4(\mathbb{R})$ .

(1)  $U + W = U \bigoplus W$ 

Let us define  $z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4, z_5 \in \mathbb{F}$ . Given  $u = z_1 + (-2z_3 - 3z_4 - 4z_5)x + z_3x^2 + z_4x^3 + z_5x^4 \in U$  and  $w = z_2x \in W$ , we find  $U \cap W$  as the set of solutions to u = w. This would be defined as the solution to the system of equations below.

$$z_{1} = 0$$

$$-2z_{3} - 3z_{4} - 4z_{5} = z_{2}$$

$$z_{3} = 0$$

$$z_{4} = 0$$

$$z_{5} = 0$$

The solution to this system is  $z_1 = z_2 = z_3 = z_4 = z_5 = 0$ . Thus since  $U \cap W = \{f(x) = 0 \in P_4(\mathbb{R})\} \Rightarrow U + W = U \bigoplus W$ .

 $(2) U \bigoplus W = P_4(\mathbb{R})$ 

We use the same definitions of  $u, w, z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4, z_5$  from  $\bigcirc$ 1. We compute  $u+w=z_1+(z_2-2z_3-3z_4-4z_5)x+z_3x^2+z_4x^3+z_5x^4\in U\bigoplus W$ . Because  $z_1, z_2-2z_3-3z_4-4z_5, z_3, z_4, z_5\in \mathbb{R}, u+w\in P_4(\mathbb{R})$  and so  $U\bigoplus W\subseteq P_4(\mathbb{R})$ . Because  $0\in U\bigoplus W$  and  $U\bigoplus W$  can be trivially shown to be closed under addition and scalar multiplication,  $U\bigoplus W\le P_4(\mathbb{R})$ . We now prove that  $U\bigoplus W=P_4(\mathbb{R})$  by showing that  $dim(U\bigoplus W)=dim(P_4(\mathbb{R})=5$ . The basis of  $U, \beta_U$ , is given above and we give the basis of U as  $\beta_W=\{x\}$ . We now compute  $Span(\beta_U\cup\beta_W)$  as given  $f_i(x)\in\beta_U\cup\beta_W$  and  $a_i\in\mathbb{R}, Span(\beta_U\cup\beta_W)=\Sigma_{i=1}^3a_if_i(x)+\Sigma_{i=5}^4a_if_i(x)$ . Because all functions in U can be expressed as  $\Sigma_{i=1}^3a_if_i(x)$  (a linear combination of  $\beta_U$ ) and all functions in W can be expressed as  $\Sigma_{i=5}^4a_if_i(x)$  (a linear combination of

$$\beta_W$$
),  $Span(\beta_U \cup \beta_W) = \{u + w : u \in U, w \in W\} = U \bigoplus W \Rightarrow \beta_U \cup \beta_W$  is the basis for  $U \bigoplus W$ . Thus  $dim(U \bigoplus W) = |\beta_U \cup \beta_W| = 5 = dim(P_4(\mathbb{R}))$ . Thus, we have proved  $U \bigoplus W = P_4(\mathbb{R})$ .

4. Let us define a matrix  $E_{ij}$  as a 3x3 matrix with all zeros except in the *i*th row and *j*th column, where there is a one.

The basis for 
$$M_{3x3}(\mathbb{R}) = \{\bigcup_{\substack{i,j=1\\i\neq j}}^3 E_{ij}\} \cup \{E_{00} - E_{33}, E_{11} - E_{33}\}.$$