# PSETs Landing Page\*

# Anish Krishna Lakkapragada

This is the documentation for using my PSET PDFs responsibly. I post these LaTEX'd PSETs (1) as an education resource for friends at other universities, fellow Yalies, and all those interested and (2) for quick reference. These PSETs are not to be used irresponsibly; only look at the solution after giving each problem an honest attempt. If YOU USE THESE PSETS TO CHEAT, YOU ARE NOT ONLY STUPID BUT YOU ARE CHEATING YOURSELF OUT OF THE ABILITY TO FALL IN LOVE WITH MATH. Furthermore, I am not smarter than you and my solutions did not always get a perfect score.

The general format for accessing the (one-indexed) Nth assigned PSET PDF of a Yale course with course number CODE is:

https://anish.lakkapragada.com/notes/TYPE-CODE/psets/N.pdf

where TYPE is stats or math. Similarly, to access my solution for this PSET you can go to:

https://anish.lakkapragada.com/notes/TYPE-CODE/sols/N.pdf

These PSETs and associated solution PDFs are synchronized daily at 4:20AM with my computer files through a Cronjob Shell Script. If you want to contribute any corrections, please email anish.lakkapragada@yale.edu.

<sup>\*</sup>Note that PDF here is referring to Portable Document Format, not to be confused with the veritable Probability Density Function.

# MATH 244 HW 1

## January 24, 2025

#### 1. Section 1.2, Question 5

If  $X \times Y = X \times Z$ , this implies  $X \times Y \subseteq X \times Z$  and  $X \times Z \subseteq X \times Y$ . We look at the implications of these two facts below:

- ①  $X \times Y \subseteq X \times Z$ If  $X \times Y \subseteq X \times Z$ , this means that every element in the set  $\{(x,y) : x \in X, y \in Y\}$ belongs to the set  $X \times Z = \{(x,z) : x \in X, z \in Z\}$ . Because the first element in each of these ordered products is drawn from the same set X, this means that  $\forall y \in Y, y \in Z \Rightarrow Y \subseteq Z$ .
- ②  $X \times Z \subseteq X \times Y$ If  $X \times Z \subseteq X \times Y$ , this means that every element in the set  $\{(x,z) : x \in X, z \in Z\}$ belongs to the set  $X \times Y = \{(x,y) : x \in X, y \in Y\}$ . Because the first element in each of these ordered products is drawn from the same set X, this means that  $\forall z \in Z, z \in Y \Rightarrow Z \subseteq Y$ .

Because we have proven  $Y \subseteq Z$  and  $Z \subseteq Y$ , we can conclude Y = Z if  $X \times Y = X \times Z$ .

#### 2. Section 1.2, Question 6

To prove  $(A \setminus B) \cup (B \setminus A) = (A \cup B) \setminus (A \cap B)$ , we prove  $(A \setminus B) \cup (B \setminus A) \subseteq (A \cup B) \setminus (A \cap B)$  and  $(A \cup B) \setminus (A \cap B) \subseteq (A \setminus B) \cup (B \setminus A)$ .

1.  $\forall x \in (A \backslash B) \cup (B \backslash A), x \in (A \cup B) \backslash (A \cap B)$ 

Let us consider the case in which  $x \in A$ . If  $x \in A$ , we are guaranteed that  $x \in (A \backslash B) \Rightarrow x \notin B$ . Furthermore, because  $x \in A \Rightarrow x \in (A \cup B)$  and since  $x \notin B \Rightarrow x \notin (A \cap B)$ . Thus,  $x \in (A \cup B) \backslash (A \cap B)$ .

Let us consider the case in which  $x \in B$ . If  $x \in B$ , we are guaranteed that  $x \in (B \setminus A) \Rightarrow x \notin A$ . Furthermore, because  $x \in B \Rightarrow x \in (A \cup B)$  and since  $x \notin A \Rightarrow x \notin (A \cap B)$ . Thus,  $x \in (A \cup B) \setminus (A \cap B)$ .

2.  $\forall x \in (A \cup B) \setminus (A \cap B), x \in (A \setminus B) \cup (B \setminus A)$ 

Let us consider the case in which  $x \in A$ . Because  $x \notin (A \cap B) \Rightarrow x \notin B$ . Thus, since  $x \in A$  and  $x \notin B$ , then  $x \in (A \setminus B) \Rightarrow x \in (A \setminus B) \cup (B \setminus A)$ .

Let us consider the case in which  $x \in B$ . Because  $x \notin (A \cap B) \Rightarrow x \notin A$ . Thus, since  $x \in B$  and  $x \notin A$ , then  $x \in (B \setminus A) \Rightarrow x \in (A \setminus B) \cup (B \setminus A)$ .

### 3. Section 1.3, Question 2

Let us define  $s = \frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2} \in \mathbb{R}$ . To prove this statement, we use induction:

- ① Base cases: n=0 and n=1We first show that  $F_n \leq s^{n-1}$  holds for n=0 and n=1. For the n=0 case,  $F_0=0\leq \frac{1}{s}$ . For the n=1 case,  $F_1=1\leq s^0$ .
- 2 Inductive step: Show  $F_n \leq s^{n-1}$  for  $n \geq 2$ Our inductive hypothesis for this case is that both  $F_{n-1} \leq s^{n-2}$  and  $F_{n-2} \leq s^{n-3}$ , and we must now show that  $F_n \leq s^{n-1}$ . We first investigate the value of  $F_n$  below:

$$F_n = F_{n-1} + F_{n-2} \le s^{n-2} + s^{n-3}$$

Note that  $s^{n-2}+s^{n-3}=s^{n-1}(\frac{1}{s}+\frac{1}{s^2})$ . Because  $\frac{1}{s}+\frac{1}{s^2}=1$ , we know that  $s^{n-2}+s^{n-3}=s^{n-1}$ . Thus, we can restate the previous inequality as:

$$F_n \le s^{n-1}$$

and so we have proven this step.

### 4. Section 1.4, Question 2

- a)  $f(x) = x^2$
- b) f(x) = |x 2| + 1

# 5. Section 1.4, Question 6

To prove that statements (i) and (ii) are equivalent, we prove the following statements:

- (1) If (i), then (ii)  $g_1$  and  $g_2$  have the same domain and co-domain. However, because they are distinct functions, this means  $\exists z \in Z$  s.t.  $g_1(z) \neq g_2(z)$ . For this z,  $f \circ g_1(z) \neq f \circ g_2(z)$ . This is because inputs  $g_1(z) \neq g_2(z)$  and so because f is injective,  $f(g_1(z)) \neq f(g_2(z))$  or  $f \circ g_1(z) \neq f \circ g_2(z)$ . Thus,  $\exists z \in Z$  s.t.  $f \circ g_1(z) \neq f \circ g_2(z)$  and so we can conclude that  $f \circ g_1$  and  $f \circ g_2$  are distinct.
- (2) If (ii), then (i)To prove that f is injective, we will proceed by contradiction and assume that f is not injective. The means  $\exists x, x' \in X$  s.t. f(x) = f(x') and  $x \neq x'$ . Let us define set  $Z = \{u\}$  and distinct functions  $g_1, g_2 : Z \to X$  where  $g_1(u) = x$  and  $g_2(u) = x'$ . By assumption (ii), this means that  $f \circ g_1, f \circ g_2 : Z \to Y$  are distinct. In order for these two functions to be distinct,  $f(g_1(u)) \neq f(g_2(u))$  or  $f(x) \neq f(x')$ . Thus, our assumption that f is not injective is contradicted, and so we have proven that if (ii), then f is injective.