# Discretionary Note

Anish Krishna Lakkapragada

**IF YOU USE THIS FILE TO CHEAT, YOU ARE NOT ONLY STUPID BUT YOU ARE CHEATING YOURSELF OUT OF THE ABILITY TO FALL IN LOVE WITH MATH.** Furthermore, I am not smarter than you and my solutions did not always get a perfect score.

## CONTENT STARTS ON NEXT PAGE.

To access the general instructions for this repository head here.

# S&DS 242/542: Homework 4

## Due Wednesday, February 12, at 1PM

1. **Signed rank test.** Suppose $X_1, \ldots, X_n$ are IID continuous random variables with an unknown PDF $f$. Consider testing the null hypothesis

$$H_0 : f \text{ is symmetric around } 0$$

(This means that $f(x) = f(-x)$ for every $x \in \mathbb{R}$.) The **Wilcoxon signed-rank statistic** is

$$W = \sum_{i=1}^{n} S_i R_i$$

where

$$S_i = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } X_i > 0 \\ 0 & \text{if } X_i \leq 0 \end{cases}$$

and $R_i$ is the rank of $|X_i|$ among the values $\{|X_1|, \ldots, |X_n|\}$ sorted in increasing order (so $R_i = 1$ for the smallest $|X_i|$, $R_i = 2$ for the second smallest $|X_i|$, etc.). Thus, $W$ sums these ranks corresponding to only the positive values of $X_i$.

(a) Explain briefly why $W$ is pivotal under $H_0$. To test against a one-sided alternative $H_1$ that the $X_i$'s tend to take positive values, would you reject $H_0$ for large or small values of $W$?

(b) Under $H_0$, show that

$$\mathbb{E}[W] = \frac{n(n+1)}{4}$$

$$\text{Var}[W] = \frac{n(n+1)(2n+1)}{24}$$

Assuming that $W$ has an approximate normal distribution under $H_0$ for large $n$, explain how you would use this approximation to perform your test in part (a) at significance level $\alpha$.

(Hint: To compute the mean and variance of $W$, write $W = \sum_{k=1}^{n} k I_k$, where $I_k = 1$ if the observation $i$ with rank $R_i = k$ has $S_i = 1$, and $I_k = 0$ if this observation has $S_i = 0$.)

2. **Permutation tests for paired samples.** Suppose $X_1, \ldots, X_n$ are IID continuous random variables with an unknown PDF $f$. Consider testing the same null hypothesis as in Problem 1,

$$H_0 : f \text{ is symmetric around } 0$$

Let $T(X_1, \ldots, X_n)$ be any test statistic.

(a) Describe the distribution of $T$ conditional on $|X_1|, \ldots, |X_n|$, under $H_0$. (What values can $T$ take conditional on $|X_1|, \ldots, |X_n|$, and with what probabilities? You may assume that no $X_i$ is exactly equal to 0.)

(b) Using part (a), explain how computer simulation can be used to perform a level-$\alpha$ test that rejects $H_0$ for large values of $T$.

If each $X_i$ is the difference $X_i = Y_i - Z_i$ where $(Y_1, Z_1), \ldots, (Y_n, Z_n)$ are $n$ IID data pairs (e.g. $X_1, \ldots, X_n$ are the differences between two test scores for $n$ students), explain why your procedure may be interpreted as a permutation test for testing the null hypothesis

$$H_0 : (Y_i, Z_i) \text{ has the same bivariate distribution as } (Z_i, Y_i)$$

3. **Testing a uniform null (Rice 9.20).** Consider two PDFs over $x \in [0, 1]$: $f_0(x) = 1$ and $f_1(x) = 2x$. Consider a single observation $X \in [0, 1]$ generated from one of these two distributions. Among all tests of the null hypothesis $H_0 : X \sim f_0(x)$ versus the alternative $H_1 : X \sim f_1(x)$ with significance level $\alpha = 0.10$, how large can the power possibly be?

4. **Most-powerful test for the normal variance.**
(a) For data $X_1, \ldots, X_n$ and two known and pre-specified values $\sigma_0^2 < \sigma_1^2$, consider testing

$$H_0 : X_1, \ldots, X_n \overset{IID}{\sim} \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma_0^2)$$
$$H_1 : X_1, \ldots, X_n \overset{IID}{\sim} \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma_1^2)$$

What is the most powerful test for testing $H_0$ versus $H_1$ at significance level $\alpha$? Letting $\chi_n^2(\alpha)$ denote the upper-$\alpha$ point of the $\chi_n^2$ distribution, describe explicitly both a test statistic $T$ for your test and its associated rejection region.

(b) What is the distribution of your test statistic $T$ under the alternative hypothesis $H_1$? Letting $F$ denote the CDF of the $\chi_n^2$ distribution, provide a formula for the power of this test against $H_1$, in terms of $\chi_n^2(\alpha)$, $\sigma_0^2$, $\sigma_1^2$, and $F$. Keeping $\sigma_0^2$ and $\alpha$ fixed, what happens to the power of the test as $\sigma_1^2$ increases to $\infty$?

2