



2K16-MC-013 Anish Sachdeva &lt;anish\_bt2k16@dtu.ac.in&gt;

---

**Decision on Submission to (BMS-RACSC-2021-03)**

1 message

**Admin** <admin@bentham.manuscriptpoint.com>

Wed, May 19, 2021 at 02:12 PM

Reply-To: Admin &lt;admin@jms.eurekaselect.com&gt;

To: Anish Sachdeva&lt;anish\_bt2k16@dtu.ac.in&gt;, Rajiv Kapoor &lt;rajivkapoor@dce.ac.in&gt;

Cc: racsc@benthamsience.net, baseerat@benthamsience.net

Dear Authors,

This is "Recent Advances in Computer Science and Communications" journal, a peer reviewed open access journal that values publications of articles in all areas of educational research. The goal of this journal is to provide scientists and academicians all over the world to promote, share and discuss various issues in new developments in different areas of research.

We are delighted to inform you that your paper titled "Converting Images to Hand Drawn Sketches Using Novel Orthogonal Gaussian Lattice Method" has been selected for the 14th volume of Bentham Science - Recent Advances in Computer science an Communications (BMS-RACSC).

In preparing the revised version, please pay careful attention to the following instructions to prevent delay in processing. The following are general suggestions when updating your review draft :

- \* EDIT THE PAPER CAREFULLY. It is recommended to use a professional native English-speaking editor. Papers with less than excellent English will not be published even if technically perfect.
- \* Up front in your revised paper, describe how the comments of each reviewer are addressed.
- \* Include a list of four to ten key words after the Abstract.
- \* Make sure the Abstract succinctly describes the paper as it is used in abstracting and citation services. Keep the Abstract between 100 to 150 words.
- \* Make sure the Conclusion succinctly summarizes the paper. It should not repeat phrases from the Introduction! Keep the Conclusion to about 300 words.
- \* Spell out each acronym the first time used in the Abstract as well as the body of the paper.
- \* Number references sequentially as they are used in the text (not alphabetically). Each reference must be referred to in the text. Limit the number of references to about 25 strongly relevant and recent ones. Provide complete information for all references (authors' names, journal name, pages, etc.; do not use et al for authors).
- \* Make sure all figures and tables are referred to in the text.
- \* Keep the paper size within 25 double-spaced pages (with font no less than 10) including any tables and figures.
- \* Submit the revised version within 30 days.

The following reviews have been suggested after the peer review process on the manuscript titled "Converting Images to Hand Drawn Sketches Using Novel Orthogonal Gaussian Lattice Method":

Reviewer #1: First of all, I would like congratulate authors for this manuscript because is well written and easy to follow it. Some minor issues must be managed to improve the quality:

- 1) Section 2 is too strong. Please include a diagram or a summary table to help readers.
- 2) Figure 1. Do we need to use Lenna as a standard Image? it may be considered as degrading to women.
- 3) You used Gaussian Kernels, but 1vs1 or 1vsAll? It is necessary to justify the selection.
- 4) Equation (5) is it parallelized or stationary?

Reviewer #2: I have some recommendations to improve the paper that have to be with the structure and content:

1. Regarding the abstract, I suggest to end with main conclusion and not with a sentence regarding the motivation of the work. Then structure the abstract so that it follows this order:

context and motivation

objective

method

results

main findings and conclusions

2. I suggest removing subsections in the section of introduction and to have all text integrated as one. Firstly the context and relevance of the problem is described and then limitations or disadvantages of state-of-art work are mentioned to motivate the present work, which is then introduced. All in just one section.
3. Besides, mention specific technologies of the proposed method in abstract and introduction (e.g. Caricatures are not mentioned)
4. Integrate the section 4 into the section 5.
5. Extend the conclusions, and make them more understandable highlighting the main results and findings of the work. Besides, I recommend to avoid sentences such as that end with non article verbs, as it is much difficult to confirm something like that, better to say something like, "our literature review does not include a suitable solution..." or ", through the literature review, we have not found a suitable solution"

---

The above mentioned suggestions need to be incorporated into your manuscript and the updated version submitted via email within 30 days.

Regards

Editorial Office  
Recent Advances in Computer Science and Communications  
Bentham Science Publishers  
Email: [racsc@benthamscience.net](mailto:racsc@benthamscience.net)

To unsubscribe from MPS and stop receiving emails further. Please send an email to [unsubscribe@bentham.manuscriptpoint.com](mailto:unsubscribe@bentham.manuscriptpoint.com).

Powered by [Bentham Manuscript Processing System](#)