

Wrangle Data

Gather

The data is collected from three different sources and they are of different format. They are

1. WeRateDogs twitter archive file, which is a simple comma separated file, read using pandas.
`read_csv()`
2. Data retrieved via twitter API, which is a text file in JSON format, is read line by line using `json.loads()` and the required attributes are extracted and appended and then converted to a dataframe.
3. Image predictions file, which is in tab separated format and is hosted on an external URL. Instead of using requests library, I have used `pandas.read_csv()` with separator option as `'\t'` (tab).

Assess

1. WeRateDogs twitter archive file
 - Looking at the `info()` results we could see that the total number of rows are 2356 and all the columns that we are interested in (`tweet_id`, `timestamp`, `rating_numerator`, `rating_denominator`, `doggo`, `floofer`, `pupper`, `puppo`) are non-nulls
 - Using `uplicated()` we could see that there are no duplicate `tweet_ids`
 - By applying `value_counts()` on the `rating_denominator` we could see that 10 is most commonly used. So, will convert the other rows to have a common denominator of 10
 - By applying `value_counts()` we could see that `doggo`, `floofer`, `pupper` and `puppo` columns has either None or their value.
 - By applying `group by`, we could see that there is an overlap between `doggo`, `floofer`, `pupper` and `puppo` columns i.e one row has values for both `floofer` and `doggo`, 12 rows have both `pupper` and `doggo`, etc. which needs to be fixed.
 - For some rows that don't have `rating_denominator` as 10, the text suggests that the ratings were wrongly extracted. So, we could manually correct them.
2. Data retrieved via twitter API
 - All columns have no null values and there's no duplicate `tweet_id`. From the `describe()` we could see that both the count columns have valid values from 0 to positive integer
3. Image predictions file
 - All columns have no null values and there's no duplicate `tweet_id`. From the `describe()` we could see that both the prediction columns have valid values between 0.0 to 1.0

Quality

- For all tables, convert tweet_id column to string data type
- Extract correct ratings from text column of archive table
- Remove invalid names in archive table
- Replace underscore '_' with space ' ' for predictions columns
- Trim Source column to have only the href attribute
- Delete retweeted rows i.e. rows with non-null values for retweeted_status_id and in_reply_to_status_id
- In the archive table, check the values of the columns doggo, floofer, pupper and puppo to true or false based on its value
- Update the values of columns doggo, floofer, pupper and puppo for rows manually

Tidiness

- For archive_df, convert and combine the values for the columns doggo, floofer, pupper, puppo into one column stage.
 - If only one of the columns is set then update the stage column else update it as none.
 - For rows that has more than one stage, update it as multiple
- For archive_df, convert and combine rating_numerator and rating_denominator columns to one column rating

Other changes

- Find the highest confidence among the three predictions and save it in a new column if it is a dog.
- Merge all three tables to one with only the required columns for analysis.

Clean

- Save a copy of each data frame for cleaning
- Clean each of the issues and test them.

Store

- Store the merged dataframe to twitter_archive_master.csv file