

Assignment 3

Instructor: Monosij Maitra

Due: 11.59 pm, Oct 6, 2024

Note: The basic policies are stated in the course page. Using GPT (or similar tools) to solve problems from the assignment is **strictly prohibited**. Use of any other (possibly online) source(s) **must** be clearly stated in the solution. **Any dishonesty, if caught, will yield zero credits for the entire assignment.**

A. [Block Ciphers : 8 + 10 + (3 × 4) = 30 points.]

1. Compute the linear approximation table for the following S-box:

x	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	A	B	C	D	E	F
$\sigma_S(x)$	8	4	2	1	C	6	3	D	A	5	E	7	F	B	9	0

2. This is your chance to break a cryptosystem. As we now know, building encryption is non-trivial. This problem illustrates how easy it is to weaken a strong scheme with minor modifications. Recall we discussed briefly in class about key-whitening that it can be a good strategy for strengthening block ciphers against brute-force attacks. DESX, in particular, provides such an approach modifying DES. In DESX, two additional 64-bit keys K_1 and K_2 are XOR-ed to the message and ciphertext, respectively, prior to and after DES scheme as follows:

$$y = \text{DESX}_{K,K_1,K_2}(x) = \text{DES}_K(x \oplus K_1) \oplus K_2$$

We now look at the following variant of key-whitening against DES, which we will call DESA:

$$y = \text{DESA}_{K,K'}(x) = \text{DES}_K(x) \oplus K'$$

Even though the method looks similar to key-whitening, it hardly adds to the security. Assume you have 2 valid message-ciphertext pairs under DESA. Show that breaking DESX is roughly as difficult as a brute-force attack against single DES.

3. Let $E(\cdot)$ be a block cipher for encrypting 5-bit message blocks, where E is a bit permutation, which depends on the key. Assume that for a given key encryption is as follows: $E(m_1m_2m_3m_4m_5) = (m_2m_5m_4m_1m_3)$. Encrypt $x = 01101\ 11011\ 11010\ 00110$ with four different modes of operation ECB, CBC, CFB and OFB, and provide the corresponding ciphertexts y . Use $IV = 11001$.

B. [Cryptographic Hash Functions & MACs: (3 + 4) + (4 + 3) + (3 + 3) = 20 points.]

1. Let $h : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ be an (N, M) -hash function and let $h^{-1}(y) = \{x : h(x) = y\}$. Let $s_y = |h^{-1}(y)|$ for any $y \in \mathcal{Y}$. Recall the algorithm PREIMAGE discussed in class used to solve the **Preimage** problem for any hash function. Consider solving **Preimage** for the function h , using PREIMAGE, assuming that we have only oracle access for h . For a given $y \in \mathcal{Y}$, let $\mathcal{X}_0 \subseteq \mathcal{X}$ be a *random* subset of size q . Let ϵ be the success probability of PREIMAGE, given y .

(a) Prove that $\epsilon = 1 - \frac{\binom{N-s_y}{q}}{\binom{N}{q}}$.

(b) With $q = 1$, prove that the *average* success probability of PREIMAGE over all $y \in \mathcal{Y}$ is $\frac{1}{M}$.

2. Suppose g is a collision-resistant hash function that takes bitstrings of arbitrary lengths as input and produces n -bit message digests. Define a hash function h as follows:

$$h(x) = \begin{cases} 0 || x & \text{if } x \text{ is a bitstring of length } n \\ 1 || g(x) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

- (a) Prove that h is collision-resistant.
 - (b) Prove that h is *not* preimage-resistant. [Show preimages for h can easily be found for half of the possible message digests.]
3. Assume that the hash family $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{K}, \mathcal{H})$ provides a secure MAC algorithm, where the tag for a message $x \in \mathcal{X}$ is computed as $h_K(x)$ for any $h \in \mathcal{H}, K \in \mathcal{K}$.
- (a) Suppose we compute the tag as $x||h_K(x)$. Is this new MAC still secure or not? Explain.
 - (b) Discuss why the general strategy of **MAC-and-Encrypt** should be avoided. [Modify a secure MAC algorithm and examine the impact of this change in the context of **MAC-and-Encrypt**.]