

# Bonding mechanism with liquidity on Solana

## Overview

According to [aip-1](#), here the workflow to enable the bonding of pairs on different chains:

1. Move OLAS token from Ethereum to the target chain, using bridges with low trust, good security, and minimal manual and team interaction to start the process.
2. Create an LP-token on the target chain with the bridged OLAS token on the target chain and use popular decentralized exchanges with a Uniswap-v2-style AMM design.
3. Transfer the LP-token back to Ethereum using the same bridge methods.
4. Use the transferred LP-token for bonding in Autonolas' mechanism on Ethereum.

For Solana, Wormhole is considered for bridging.

In pursuit of the workflow above, the focus is on selecting a Solana-based Automated Market Maker (AMM) among the top decentralized exchanges (dexes) that adheres to a Uniswap-like approach. The aim is to smoothly integrate this with the existing depository model, minimizing the need for modifications. [Orca](#), an AMM on Solana, shares similarities with Uniswap but it does not allow the creation of non-concentrated pools. Despite the possibility of creating [Full range deposit](#), LPs retain the ability to provide concentrated liquidity within a specific range, and as representations of their liquidity they receive non-fungible tokens. Since our depository model requires fungible token, to address this characteristics of Orca's liquidity provision model, a specialized fungible liquidity wrapper contract is required. This contract is designed to encapsulate concentrated liquidity from Orca whirlpool contracts and represent the liquidity with a full range with fungible tokens. This approach ensures compatibility with the existing depository model (cf. liquidity lockbox contract section for a description of the contract and depository math section for the depository model).

To finalize the bonding process, users will transfer fungible tokens representing the liquidity from Solana to Ethereum via Wormhole and use the bridged wrapped fungible liquidity tokens to participate in bonding programs.

## Liquidity lockbox contract

The key methods to understand the contract purpose are the following.

## Deposit Method:

For a successful deposit, the following conditions must be met:

- Transfer of NFT occurs.
- NFT parameters are verified, including the whirlpool address and Tick index ranges (Tick\_lower\_index=-Max, Tick\_upper\_index=Max).

Upon verification, the lockbox data account becomes the rightful owner of the NFT. An amount of fungible liquidity tokens corresponding to the NFT liquidity amount are minted in favor of the current LP owner.

## Withdraw method

This method facilitates a liquidation process, allowing a user to exchange a specified quantity,  $X$ , of fungible tokens representing liquidity NFTs in the contract, for the liquidated assets making up the liquidity NFTs. Specifically, the method checks whether the liquidity ( $L$ ) associated with the least recently deposited NFT is larger than or equals to the requested withdrawal amount  $X$ . If this condition is met, the corresponding liquidity is liquidated, and the  $X$  amount of fungible tokens is burnt. Additionally, when  $L$  equals  $X$ , the position is closed, and applicable fees are accrued.

**Note.** It's important to note that multiple withdrawal calls may be necessary, contingent on whether the user's fungible token amount,  $X$ , is greater than the least recently deposited NFT.

To facilitate this process, users seeking to withdraw  $X$  fungible tokens can utilize the `getLiquidityAmountsAndPositions( $X$ )` method. This function returns an array containing position addresses and amounts, crucial for obtaining information on the number of withdrawals necessary to withdraw the specified amount of token,  $X$ . Specifically, if  $X$  is less than or equal to the liquidity of the least recently wrapped NFT, the method provides the least recently wrapped NFT position account and corresponding liquidity. Conversely, if  $X$  exceeds the liquidity of the least recent NFT, the function yields an array of position accounts and liquidity amounts with a sum larger or equal to  $X$ . In such cases, users must perform successive withdrawals for each NFT, starting with the first and proceeding until the total withdrawal amount,  $X$ , is reached.

## Depository math

In the current depository math model, the OLAS payout calculation for a specified amount,  $x$ , of LP tokens involves

- applying the formula for liquidity removal in constraint product AMM,
- and evaluated the other asset of the pair (e.g. ETH, XDAI, or SOL) using their spot price within the pool.

For simplification, let's consider the Uniswap-v2 pool OLAS-ETH. The same principles apply to the OLAS-xDAI pool, given its 50:50 weighted balancer pool configuration. When creating a bonding program at time  $t$ , the 'spot' price of 1 LP in OLAS can be selected as an input parameter and this can be represented as:

$$\text{priceLP} = 2 * \text{RESERVE\_OLAS}(t) / \text{totalSupply}^1.$$

For a bond of  $x$  LP-tokens, the resulting OLAS payout is determined by:

$$\text{OLAS\_payout} = \text{priceLP} * \text{tokenAmount} * \text{IDF}^2.$$

In the Solana case, not all values accrued by the pool can be utilized, as we cannot guarantee that all the LP providers selected are full range. Therefore, only the liquidity amount deposited for full range is considered, resembling the constraint product AMM, such as Uniswap v2.

When initiating bonding programs, there is no need to get the LP price on-chain, hence the following

<https://everlastingsong.github.io/account-microscope/#/whirlpool/listPositions/poolAddress> can be utilized. Specifically, from this, we will accrue the amount of liquidity designated as full range, the balances of OLAS token and the assets deposited for full range. These values will be used for determining the LP 'spot' price and the OLAS spot price as for the constant product AMM.

It's important to note that this approach represents an approximation of real prices represented into the pool. Notably, the prices in the Orca pool, considering positions that are not full range, may differ from the spot prices. However, this approximation is a necessary measure to maintain consistency with the current depository logic and avoid unnecessary changes.

---

<sup>1</sup> See Appendix to understand where this formula comes from

<sup>2</sup> IDF is an inverse discount factor applied to the bond, and depends on the production of code from the past tokenomics epoch. IDF is larger or equal then 1 and smaller or equal then 1.1.

## Appendix

## LP-price formula using Uniswap formula for liquidation

Hence, the first thing to do is try to evaluate an LP-share in OLAS. To do that, it is possible to use the following formula from Uniswap liquidation.

1. If user holds  $x$  LP-share and remove its liquidity at time  $t=t_0$ , the users will receive

$$\text{no} = \text{RESERVE\_OLAS}(t) * x / \text{totalSupply OLAS} \text{ and } \text{ne} = \text{RESERVE\_ETH}(t) * x / \text{totalSupply ETH}, (1)$$

Where RESERVE\_OLAS(t) and RESERVE\_ETH(t) are respectively the reserves of OLAS and ETH in the pool at the time t.

2. Since we need to estimate how many OLAS we need to allocate, we need to compute how many OLAS I can receive swapping the ETH into the pool.

Which can be obtained as follows:

$$mo = \text{RESERVE\_OLAS}(t)ne / (\text{RESERVE\_ETH}(t) + ne)$$

Assuming that  $n_e$  is significantly smaller than  $\text{Re}(t)$  this value can be approximated as

$$mo \sim \text{RESERVE\_OLAS}(t) / \text{RESERVE\_ETH}(t) * ne$$

In the above eq. replacing the ne value we get  $m_o \sim x / \text{totalSupply} * R_o(t)$ .

Hence, in this idealist case, we can estimate the price of x LP-share as

$$x^2 \cdot \text{RESERVE\_OLAS}(t) / \text{totalSupply}. (*)$$

## References

- [illegible]

5. <https://docs.orca.so/orca-for-liquidity-providers/community-listing/how-to-guides/how-to-add-a-token-to-the-orca-token-list>
6. <https://pencilflip.medium.com/solanas-token-program-explained-de0ddce29714>
7. <https://github.com/solana-nft-programs/token-manager>
8. [https://github.com/everlastingsong/solsandbox/tree/main/orca/whirlpool/whirlpools\\_sdk/create\\_own\\_whirlpools/tools](https://github.com/everlastingsong/solsandbox/tree/main/orca/whirlpool/whirlpools_sdk/create_own_whirlpools/tools)
9. [https://github.com/everlastingsong/solsandbox/tree/main/orca/pool/sdk/swap\\_using\\_phantom/with\\_wallet\\_adapter](https://github.com/everlastingsong/solsandbox/tree/main/orca/pool/sdk/swap_using_phantom/with_wallet_adapter)
10. [https://github.com/everlastingsong/solsandbox/blob/main/orca/whirlpool/whirlpools\\_sdk/08a\\_close\\_position.ts#L216](https://github.com/everlastingsong/solsandbox/blob/main/orca/whirlpool/whirlpools_sdk/08a_close_position.ts#L216)
11. [https://github.com/everlastingsong/solsandbox/blob/main/orca/whirlpool/whirlpools\\_sdk/create\\_own\\_whirlpools/tools/03\\_create\\_whirlpool.ts](https://github.com/everlastingsong/solsandbox/blob/main/orca/whirlpool/whirlpools_sdk/create_own_whirlpools/tools/03_create_whirlpool.ts)
12. <https://stackoverflow.com/questions/70082314/convert-ethereum-uint256-to-solana-rust-u64-in-smart-contract>
13. <https://github.com/orca-so/whirlpools/blob/2c9366a74edc9fefd10caa3de28ba8a06d03fc1e/programs/whirlpool/src/state/position.rs#L20>
14. Deploy SPL and add liquidity to SPL/SOL pool on Orca  
<https://gist.github.com/Flydexo/c4ed592dcb83c338c5ac7e4246512e83>
15. Helper class to interact with a Whirlpool account and build complex transactions  
<https://orca-so.github.io/whirlpools/interfaces/Whirlpool.html>
16. <https://medium.com/coinmonks/pricing-uniswap-v3-nft-positions-ad18608b8c81>
17. <https://solanacookbook.com/core-concepts/accounts.html#facts>
18. <https://www.sec3.dev/blog/solana-programs-part-2-understanding-spl-associated-token-account>