Fixing UXID Assignment – Updating Rule_150

What's happening today

Right now, our system (Rule_150) only cares about the **guest_id** when deciding which UXID to give a record:

- If two records have the **same guest_id**, they get the **same UXID**.
- If the **guest_id** is **different**, the system just creates a **new UXID**, even if the email is the same.

This creates a problem:

People with the same email but different guest_ids end up being treated like different people.

The problem:

We really just have three situations to think about:

Case	guest_id	email	What we expect
A	same	same or different	Same UXID (because guest_id is the main key)
В	different	same	Same UXID (because email shows they are the same person)
С	different	different	Different UXIDs (because they really are different people)

- Case A already works today.
- Case B is broken.
- Case C already works today.

What needs to change

We don't want to build a whole new "rule."

Instead, we'll teach Rule_150 to look at email too.

Here's how it will work:

- 1. Step 1 Guest check (as today)
 - If the system sees the same guest_id again, it uses the same UXID.
- 2. Step 2 Email check (new step)
 - If guest_id doesn't match anything, check the email.
 - o If the email is already linked to a UXID, reuse that UXID.
- 3. Step 3 New UXID (as today)
 - o If neither guest_id nor email matches anything, then create a brand-new UXID.

Why this works

- Guests still keep the same UXID as before (no change).
- People with the same email but different guest_ids will now be unified into the same UXID.
- People who are truly different (different guest_id and different email) will still get separate UXIDs.

Why we like this

- Fixes the big bug (Case B).
- No extra rules to manage just an improvement inside Rule 150.
- Backward-compatible with everything we already have.

Conclusion: Rule_150 still checks guest_id first, but now it also checks email before creating a new UXID. This way, we stop splitting the same person into multiple UXIDs just because their guest_id was different.