

# Molecular Dynamics Project

FYS-MEK1110 - Mechanics

Tommy Myrvik and Anders Johansson  
13th July 2018





## Part 1 Introduction

In this project you will learn the basics of a simulation technique called molecular dynamics (MD). Molecular dynamics is a method actively used in research here at the Department of Physics, yet its basic principle can be understood and implemented with the background of a first-year physics student.

Molecular dynamics is based on the assumption that even atoms move according to the laws of Newton, given the correct model for interactions. The goal of this project is to model an argon gas, where the atoms interact according to the famous Lennard-Jones potential,

$$U(r) = 4\epsilon \left( \left( \frac{\sigma}{r} \right)^{12} - \left( \frac{\sigma}{r} \right)^6 \right), \quad (1)$$

where  $r$  is the distance between two atoms,  $r = \|\vec{r}_i - \vec{r}_j\|$ .  $\sigma$  and  $\epsilon$  are parameters which determine which chemical compound is modelled. This potential is a good approximation for noble gases.

### a) Understanding the potential

- i. Plot the potential with  $\epsilon = 1$  and  $\sigma = 1$ .
- ii. The behaviour of  $U(r)$  is vastly different for  $r \ll \sigma$  and  $r \gg \sigma$ . Which term in the potential, equation (1), dominates in each case and what is the effect?
- iii. Find and characterise the equilibrium points of the potential.
- iv. Describe qualitatively the motion of two atoms which start at rest separated by a distance of  $1.5\sigma$ .

### b) Forces and equations of motion

- i. Find the force on atom  $i$  at position  $\vec{r}_i$  from atom  $j$  at position  $\vec{r}_j$ .
- ii. Show that the equation of motion for atom  $i$  is

$$\frac{d^2\vec{r}_i}{dt^2} = \frac{24\epsilon}{m} \sum_{j \neq i} \left( 2 \left( \frac{\sigma}{\|\vec{r}_j - \vec{r}_i\|} \right)^{12} - \left( \frac{\sigma}{\|\vec{r}_j - \vec{r}_i\|} \right)^6 \right) \frac{\vec{r}_j - \vec{r}_i}{\|\vec{r}_j - \vec{r}_i\|^2}. \quad (2)$$

### c) Units

As you may remember from MAT-INF1100, numerical accuracy is reduced when computing with values which are many orders of magnitude apart. This is often an issue in physics, and molecular dynamics is no exception. For example, the mass of argon is smaller than  $10^{-25}$  kg, while typical length scales are on the order of nanometres,  $10^{-9}$  m.

The remedy is to change units so that most quantities are close to 1. From equation (1) it is clear that  $\sigma$  and  $\epsilon$  are the typical scale for length and energy.

- i. Introduce the scaled coordinates  $\vec{r}_i' = \vec{r}_i/\sigma$  and show that the equation of motion can be rewritten in terms of these coordinates as

$$\frac{d^2\vec{r}_i'}{dt'^2} = 24 \sum_{j \neq i} \left( 2 \|\vec{r}_j' - \vec{r}_i'\|^{-12} - \|\vec{r}_j' - \vec{r}_i'\|^{-6} \right) \frac{\vec{r}_j' - \vec{r}_i'}{\|\vec{r}_j' - \vec{r}_i'\|^2} \quad (3)$$

for a suitable choice of  $t'$ .

- ii. What is the characteristic time scale  $t'$ , and what is its value for argon, which has  $\sigma = 3.405 \text{ \AA}$  ( $1 \text{ \AA} = 1 \cdot 10^{-10} \text{ m}$ ),  $m = 39.95 \text{ u}$  ( $1 \text{ u} = 1.66 \cdot 10^{-27} \text{ kg}$ ) and  $\epsilon = 1.0318 \cdot 10^{-2} \text{ eV}$  ( $1 \text{ eV} = 1.602 \cdot 10^{-19} \text{ J}$ )?

## Part 1: 2-atom model

**Bare legger en liten mal her på oppgavene jeg har programmert så langt. Veldig overfladisk oppgavetekst, kan og bør endres underveis.**

**a)**

Plot the LJ-potential curve. What does the different terms in the potential do?

**b)**

Find the force corresponding to the potential from a), and plot the result. For what distance  $r$  is this force 0? Is this force conservative? *What's different with this force compared to say Newton's Law of gravitation? What about the force from a spring?*

**c)**

Say we place one atom at  $\vec{r}_1 = [0,0,0]$  and the other at  $\vec{r}_2 = [1.5,0,0]$ , with no initial velocities. Describe qualitatively what the motions of the atoms will look like. Support your arguments with the LJ potential curve.

If we place the second atom at  $\vec{r}_2 = [0.95,0,0]$  instead, what do you expect then? Explain by again using the potential curve from task a).

**d)**

Develop a code to simulate a system of two atoms with the LJ-forces being the only ones acting. Use the Euler-Cromer integration method (?). Simulate the system with the initial conditions (both cases) from task c), and plot the distance between the atoms  $r$  as a function of time. What do you see?

**e) (optional)**

Download a visualization tool (i.e. Ovito), and write a function that writes the positions of the atoms at every time step to a xyz.-file. Load this file into *Ovito* and describe what you see. Does this fit well with the assumptions you made in task c) ?

**f)**

Implement different integration methods so that you have the Euler, Euler-Cromer and Velocity-Verlet methods available for your simulations. Run simulations with the same initial conditions as in the first case in task c) ( $\vec{r}_1 = [0, 0, 0]$ ,  $\vec{r}_2 = [1.5, 0, 0]$ ) for all three methods, and plot the mechanical energy for all three with  $\Delta t = 0.01$ . Compare the results for all three methods. How does these methods perform in terms of energy conservation?

**g) (I tvil om denne skal med)**

Run simulations for all three methods implemented in the previous task, and find the an approximation to the largest time step ( $\Delta t$ ) required to keep the integration from exploding. Whats the difference between these methods, and why does some of them perform better than others?

**Part 2: N-atom model****h)**

Generalize the program you wrote in the previous part for any number of atoms,  $N$ . Computing time increases drastically with system size, so some precautions should be made:

- Pair-wise forces: The LJ-potential describes forces *between* atoms, meaning that the forces acting on atom  $i$  from atom  $j$  is the same as the forces acting on atom  $j$  from atom  $i$ , just with opposite signs. Realizing this can cut the computations in half, in contrary to computing the same force twice.
- Hopefully when you plotting the LJ-potential earlier you saw that the forces converge towards 0 as  $r \rightarrow \infty$ . This means that atoms that are far away from each other share little to none influence, and are basically neglectable. Computing the forces between these atoms are hence a waste of computing power, and can be ignored by implementing a cut-off length - If the atoms are further away than this length, the forces are set to 0. For the LJ-potential this cut-off is usually set to  $3.0$  (LJ units). **Note:** The LJ-force at  $r = 3.0\sigma$  is NOT exactly 0, meaning that with the cut-off implemented, the potential curve will do a small 'jump' at this point (messing up the conservation of energy, etc). A solution to this is to simply shift the whole potential curve by the value at the cut-off point, leading to a smooth curve (no jumps) with 0 forces at cut-off.

- Due to memory restrictions it may be a bad idea to keep positions and velocities for all time steps stored in arrays. MD-simulations typically need large system sizes ( $N$ ) and small time steps ( $dt$ ) to create decent results, potentially leading to really huge matrices. For the simulations we'll do in this project we *probably* won't need blue-screen inducing matrices (depending on the memory resources you have available), but if you're going to experiment further with different time steps and system sizes this *may* become a problem. A solution here is to just keep positions and velocities for two time steps at a time, and write out the data to a text-file instead. This way there is no memory constraints, only on your patience waiting for the simulations to complete.

Reproduce the results from the two-atom case with the generalized code for verification.

i)

Start with a 4-atom system. Place the atoms so that  $\vec{r}_1 = 2\vec{i}$ ,  $\vec{r}_2 = -2\vec{i}$ ,  $\vec{r}_3 = 2\vec{j}$  and  $\vec{r}_4 = -2\vec{j}$ . Compare with the experiment with two atoms from earlier. What did you expect to happen *before* you ran the simulation, and what did you actually see? Visualize with Ovoti, and explain the results.

j)

Do the same again, but now perturb *one* of the atoms, giving them a small positional component (say 0.1) in one of the directions where it originally was 0. Visualize the results, and describe the effect of this small perturbation.

### **Part 3: Let's do some science!**