

# Continued Fractions and Transcendental Numbers

Joline Cheng

University of Waterloo

August 2022

# Introduction

Continued fractions are expressions that can either be in two forms:

Finite

$$a_0 + \cfrac{1}{a_1 + \cfrac{1}{a_2 + \cfrac{1}{\ddots + \cfrac{1}{a_n}}}}$$

for a finite sequence  $\{a_i\}_{i=0}^n$ . It is denoted by  $[a_0; a_1, \dots, a_n]$ .

Infinite

$$a_0 + \cfrac{1}{a_1 + \cfrac{1}{a_2 + \cfrac{1}{\ddots}}}$$

for an infinite sequence  $\{a_i\}_{i=0}^\infty$ . It is denoted by  $[a_0; a_1, \dots]$ .

We will only consider  $a_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $a_i \in \mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, \dots\}$  for  $i \geq 1$ .

# Notation and Basic Properties

- Every finite continued fraction can be written as a **rational** number. Denote the numerator  $p$  and the denominator  $q$ , so we assign the fraction  $[a_0; a_1, \dots, a_n]$  to the value  $\frac{p}{q}$ , where  $\frac{p}{q}$  is irreducible.
- Consider a truncation of an infinite sequence of length  $k$ ,  $\{a_i\}_{i=0}^k$ . These truncations give finite continued fractions —  
$$[a_0; a_1, \dots, a_k] = \frac{p_k}{q_k}.$$
- Let  $\frac{p_k}{q_k}$  be called the  $k$ -th ordered *convergent*. Naturally, this leads us to consider the sequence of convergents  $\{\frac{p_k}{q_k}\}$ .

## Fundamental Fact

The sequence of convergents converges for all continued fractions!

- Infinite continued fractions are assigned to the value  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{p_k}{q_k}$ , where the limit is always **irrational**.

# Notation and Basic Properties

## Fun Fact

Every convergent of odd order is greater than any convergent of even order!

## Theorem 1

---

For any real number  $\alpha$ , there is a unique continued fraction representation equal to  $\alpha$ . The fraction is finite if  $\alpha$  is rational and infinite if  $\alpha$  is irrational.

*Proof Idea.* Let  $\alpha$  be a real number.

If we have  $\alpha = a_0 + \frac{1}{r_1}$  for some  $r_1 \geq 1$ , then  $a_0$  must be the largest integer not exceeding  $\alpha$  since  $\frac{1}{r_1} \leq 1$ .

Next, we need  $r_1 = a_1 + \frac{1}{r_2}$  for some  $r_2 \geq 1$ , so  $a_1$  must be the largest integer not exceeding  $r_1$ . Continue on using the same logic.

## Fundamental Fact

$$p_k = a_k p_{k-1} + p_{k-2}$$

$$q_k = a_k q_{k-1} + q_{k-2}$$

# Approximation

A fraction  $\frac{a}{b}$  is a **best approximation** of a number  $\alpha$  if for any fraction  $\frac{c}{d}$ , if  $0 < d \leq b$  we have

$$|d\alpha - c| > |b\alpha - a|$$

Note: we can see the definition above as a stricter version of this inequality:

$$\left| \alpha - \frac{c}{d} \right| > \left| \alpha - \frac{a}{b} \right|$$

## Theorem 2

---

- 1 Every best approximation is a convergent
- 2 Every convergent is a best approximation, besides the trivial case

$$\alpha = a_0 + \frac{1}{2}, \quad \frac{p_0}{q_0} = \frac{a_0}{1}$$

## Approximation

We know  $\{\frac{p_k}{q_k}\}_{k=0}$  converges to  $\alpha$  — but at what rate? Note that for given  $a_0, \dots, a_k$ , the size of  $q_{k+1}$  relative to  $q_k$  is directly related to the size of  $a_{k+1}$ . Indeed, we have:

$$\frac{q_{k+1}}{q_k} = \frac{a_{k+1}q_k + q_{k-1}}{q_k} \approx a_{k+1}$$

In addition, we can find the inequality

$$\frac{1}{q_k(q_k + q_{k+1})} < \left| \alpha - \frac{p_k}{q_k} \right| \leq \frac{1}{q_k q_{k+1}}$$

This means the greater  $a_{k+1}$  is, the better the approximation of  $\frac{p_k}{q_k}$  is with respect to the size of  $q_k$ . This also tells us how to create numbers with bad rates of approximation — the worst being the golden ratio!

$$\phi = \frac{1 + \sqrt{5}}{2} = 1 + \frac{1}{1 + \frac{1}{\ddots}}$$

# Approximation

## Theorem 3

We can strengthen our inequality with some restrictions.

- ① For all indices  $k$ , we have

$$\left| \alpha - \frac{p_k}{q_k} \right| \leq \frac{1}{q_k^2}$$

- ② Out of any two consecutive indices  $\{k-1, k\}$ , we can find an index  $i$  with

$$\left| \alpha - \frac{p_i}{q_i} \right| \leq \frac{1}{2q_i^2}$$

- ③ Out of any three consecutive indices  $\{k-2, k-1, k\}$ , we can find an index  $i$  with

$$\left| \alpha - \frac{p_i}{q_i} \right| \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}q_i^2}$$

but we cannot continue this pattern, since for any  $c > \sqrt{5}$ , we have

$\left| \alpha - \frac{p_k}{q_k} \right| > \frac{1}{cq_k^2}$  for  $\alpha = \phi = \frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}$  for all sufficiently large  $k$ .

# Transcendental Numbers

**Algebraic numbers** are numbers that can be written as a root of an algebraic equation, e.g.,  $\sqrt[3]{2}$  is algebraic, since it is the root of  $x^3 = 2$ . The **degree** of an algebraic number is the highest power of its algebraic equation. **Transcendental numbers** are numbers that are not algebraic.

## Fun Fact

Almost every number is transcendental!

## Theorem 4 (Liouville's Approximation Theorem)

For an irrational algebraic number  $\alpha$  of degree  $n$ , there exists a  $C$  such that for any  $p, q$ , we have

$$\left| \alpha - \frac{p}{q} \right| > \frac{C}{q^n}$$



# Transcendental Numbers

We can use Theorem 4 cleverly to create transcendental numbers. If we create  $\alpha$  such that for any  $C$  and any  $n$ , we can find  $p, q$  with

$$\left| \alpha - \frac{p}{q} \right| \leq \frac{C}{q^n}$$

then  $\alpha$  is transcendental.

For given  $K \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $a_0, \dots, a_K$ , if we choose

$$a_{k+1} > q_k^{k-1}$$

for infinitely many  $k \geq K$ , then we have

$$\left| \alpha - \frac{p_k}{q_k} \right| \leq \frac{1}{q_k q_{k+1}} < \frac{1}{q_k (a_{k+1} q_k)} = \frac{1}{q_k^2 a_{k+1}} < \frac{1}{q_k^{k+1}} \leq^* \frac{C}{q_k^k}$$

This happens for infinitely many  $k$  such that  $q_k > \frac{1}{C}$ .

Numbers that satisfy this condition are called [Liouville numbers](#).

# Transcendental Numbers

A **periodic** continued fraction is a fraction that, for some  $k$  and  $h$ , can be written as  $\alpha = [a_0; a_1, \dots, a_k, \overline{a_{k+1}, \dots, a_{k+h}}]$ , in which the overline represents repetition of terms  $a_{k+1}, \dots, a_{k+h}$ .

## Theorem 5

---

A number is represented by a periodic continued fraction if and only if it is a quadratic irrational number.

*Proof Idea: periodic  $\implies$  quadratic.* For simplicity's sake, we omit  $a_1, \dots, a_k$ . Let  $x = [\overline{a_{k+1}; a_{k+2}, \dots, a_{k+h}}]$ . Then

$$x = a_{k+1} + \frac{1}{\dots + \frac{1}{a_{k+h} + \frac{1}{x}}} = \frac{Ax + B}{Cx + D} \longrightarrow x \text{ is quadratic}$$

It can then easily be shown that  $\alpha$  is quadratic.

# Palindromic Continued Fractions

This section is based on *Palindromic continued fractions* by B. Adamczewski and Y. Bugeaud.

A **palindrome** is a finite word  $a_1 a_2 \dots a_n$  where  $a_j = a_{n+1-j}$  for  $j \geq 1$ , e.g. 41044014.

Theorem 6

---

If  $\alpha = [a_0; a_1, \dots, a_n, \dots]$ , where  $a_1 \dots a_n$  is an palindrome for arbitrary large  $n$ , then  $\alpha$  is either quadratic irrational or transcendental.

What do these sequences  $\{a_i\}$  look like? 410440145678 876541044014

This theorem can be generalized. The generalization comes down to loosening the palindromic condition on the sequence, as to allow a certain amount of noise. In all cases, we end up with a transcendental/quadratic irrational number.

# Palindromic Continued Fractions

*Proof sketch.*

- ① We bring in a theorem obtained by Wolfgang M. Schmidt.

Theorem A: Let  $\alpha$  be a non-rational, non-quadratic number. If there exists a  $\omega > \frac{3}{2}$  and infinitely many  $(p, q, r)$  triples such that

$$\max \left\{ \left| \alpha - \frac{p}{q} \right|, \left| \alpha^2 - \frac{r}{q} \right| \right\} < \frac{1}{q^\omega}$$

then  $\alpha$  is transcendental.

- ② We have  $\frac{q_{n-1}}{q_n} = [0; a_n, \dots, a_1]$ . When  $a_1 \dots a_n$  is a palindrome,  $\frac{p_n}{q_n} = [0; a_1, \dots, a_n] = [0; a_n, \dots, a_1] = \frac{q_{n-1}}{q_n}$ . This gives  $p_n = q_{n-1}$ .

③

$$\alpha^2 \approx \left( \frac{p_n}{q_n} \right) \left( \frac{p_{n-1}}{q_{n-1}} \right) = \left( \frac{\cancel{p_n}}{q_n} \right) \left( \frac{p_{n-1}}{\cancel{q_{n-1}}} \right) = \frac{p_{n-1}}{q_n}$$

For other continued fractions, it is harder to find an approximation of  $\alpha^2$  with the same denominator as the approximations of  $\alpha$ .

Thank You