## On my program, each peer does the following

- 1. Get a list of other peers from the *hostsfile*.txt
- 2. Configure an address using *getaddrinfo* with hints and port 8888
- 3. Configure a socket with the above address
- 4. Bind the socket to the created address
- 5. Create a listener thread to listen for messages from the other peers
  - a. This thread will wait for "ALIVE?" message from other peers and sends an "ACK!" message to acknowledge that it's alive to the other peers
- 6. The main thread then sleep for 5 seconds to let other peers also finish the set-ups above
- 7. It then starts sending "ALIVE?" messages to all of the other peers up to 10 times till it hears from all of them. The main thread knows when to stop sending by reading from an atomic boolean variable that the receiver thread updates when it hears back from all the other peers in thread-safe manner. The receiver thread also configures the destination programs's address info to send this message.

## Problems faced when developing the program

- 1. The first challenge I faced during this homework was not knowing exactly what the hostname return from getnameinfo is. My program was trying to find peers solely by their name after a call to getnameinfo. For example looking for peer1 when a message is received from peer1. This was making my program exit without being 'ready'. I later found out the names of programs is not just their name, but also includes the project name and network name. So, for peer1 it is peer1.lab1\_mynetwork, instead of peer1. Although a naive mistake, I spent a few hours trying to debug this with lots of logging before figuring out and taking care of with string operations.
- 2. Another challenge I faced is that some of the peers' receiving threads kept exiting before the other peers heard back from them. This made the other peers to retry and eventually exit without the program being ready as well. The problems still persist though. After attending the TA office hours, I kept the receiving thread run in a loop continuously and doing that gave the peers time to hear back from everyone else.