CEO Narcissism and Firm Performance

<b>Table of Contents</b>	Page no.
1. Introduction	3
2. Literature Review	4
3. Methodology	6
4. Data Analysis and Interpretation	7
5. Regression Analysis Results	8

#### 1. Introduction

## 1.1 Background

The role of the Chief Executive Officer (CEO) in shaping the strategic direction and success of a firm is widely acknowledged. CEOs are the ultimate decision-makers, and their personality traits can significantly impact organizational outcomes. Among the various personality traits, narcissism has garnered particular attention due to its complex and often contradictory effects on organizational performance.

Narcissism is characterized by traits such as grandiosity, entitlement, a need for admiration, and a lack of empathy. In the context of leadership, narcissistic CEOs are often driven by a desire for power and status, leading them to make bold decisions that can either propel a firm to new heights or result in significant setbacks. The dual nature of narcissism—where it can be both a source of visionary leadership and a precursor to reckless decision-making—makes it a critical area of study in organizational behavior.

In recent years, there has been growing interest in understanding how narcissistic traits in CEOs influence firm performance. Some scholars argue that narcissistic CEOs can be effective leaders, particularly in environments that require strong, charismatic leadership. They may excel in situations where bold decisions and a clear vision are necessary. However, the same traits that drive these leaders to pursue ambitious goals can also lead to overconfidence, resistance to criticism, and poor decision-making, which can ultimately harm the organization.

The impact of CEO narcissism on firm performance is a topic of considerable debate in both academic and business circles. This report aims to explore this dichotomy by analyzing the relationship between CEO narcissism and firm performance, with a focus on how changes in narcissism interact with CEO transitions. Understanding these dynamics is crucial for corporate boards, investors, and other stakeholders who must navigate the complex landscape of executive leadership.

## 1.2 Objectives

The objectives of this report are to:

- Investigate the relationship between CEO narcissism and key financial performance indicators such as revenue, profit, and return on equity (ROE).
- Examine how changes in CEO narcissism, particularly during periods of leadership transition, affect firm performance.
- Provide insights into how corporate boards and stakeholders can manage the potential risks and rewards associated with narcissistic leadership.

The analysis will focus on understanding the extent to which CEO narcissism influences strategic decisions and their outcomes, particularly during periods of change within the

organization. By exploring these relationships, the report aims to contribute to the broader understanding of leadership dynamics in corporate governance.

#### 2. Literature Review

#### 2.1 Theoretical Framework

Narcissism as a personality trait has been extensively studied in psychology, where it is often associated with both positive and negative outcomes depending on the context. In organizational behavior, the upper echelons theory posits that the experiences, values, and personalities of top executives significantly influence their interpretations of situations and their choices, which in turn affect organizational outcomes.

According to this theory, narcissistic CEOs are likely to make decisions that reflect their grandiose sense of self-importance. These decisions may include pursuing high-risk, high-reward strategies that can lead to substantial gains—or significant losses—for their firms. Narcissistic CEOs are also more likely to resist feedback and criticism, creating a leadership environment where poor decisions are less likely to be corrected.

## 2.1.1 Risk-Taking and Strategic Decisions

One of the key features of narcissistic leadership is a propensity for risk-taking. Narcissistic CEOs often believe in their invincibility and are willing to undertake bold strategies that others might shy away from. This risk-taking behavior can be beneficial in volatile markets where rapid decision-making and a strong vision are required. However, the downside is that it can lead to decisions that are not fully thought through or are overly optimistic, increasing the potential for significant setbacks.

Narcissistic CEOs also tend to focus on projects that enhance their personal image or legacy, which can lead to strategic decisions that prioritize short-term success over long-term stability. This focus can result in an emphasis on activities like mergers and acquisitions, which, while potentially lucrative, also carry high levels of risk and uncertainty.

### 2.1.2 Resistance to Feedback

Narcissistic CEOs' need for admiration and their tendency to resist criticism can create a dangerous feedback loop where poor decisions are reinforced rather than corrected. This can be particularly problematic in organizations where the CEO has significant control over decision-making processes. In such environments, the CEO's narcissism can stifle dissent and lead to an organizational culture that discourages critical thinking and open communication.

## 2.1.3 Leadership Transitions

The impact of narcissism is often amplified during periods of leadership transition. When a new, narcissistic CEO takes over, the organization may experience significant shifts in strategy, culture, and performance. The success of these transitions depends largely on the alignment between the CEO's vision and the firm's existing culture and capabilities.

## 2.2 Empirical Studies

Empirical research on the impact of CEO narcissism has yielded mixed results, reflecting the complex nature of this trait. Some studies suggest that narcissistic CEOs can drive substantial growth and innovation, particularly in dynamic and competitive industries. For example, Chatterjee and Hambrick (2007) found that narcissistic CEOs are more likely to engage in bold and risky acquisitions, which can lead to substantial gains if successful.

However, the same study also highlighted the risks associated with narcissistic leadership. Narcissistic CEOs were found to be less likely to retreat from failing ventures, leading to potential long-term negative impacts on the firm. This finding suggests that while narcissistic traits can be advantageous in certain contexts, they can also lead to stubbornness and an unwillingness to acknowledge mistakes.

Further research by Patel and Cooper (2014) explored the relationship between CEO narcissism and firm performance over time. They found that while narcissistic CEOs may initially drive performance through their decisive and charismatic leadership, their overconfidence and tendency to ignore dissenting opinions can lead to strategic missteps. These missteps often manifest in overly ambitious projects that do not deliver the expected results, ultimately harming the firm's long-term performance.

The effects of CEO narcissism are often amplified during periods of leadership transition. A study by Olsen, Dworkin, and Sutcliffe (2019) found that when a new, narcissistic CEO takes over, the organization may experience significant shifts in strategy, culture, and performance. The study highlighted that the success of such transitions depends largely on the alignment between the CEO's vision and the firm's existing culture and capabilities.

In summary, while narcissistic CEOs may drive short-term performance improvements through their bold and decisive actions, their long-term impact on firm performance is more ambiguous. This report builds on these findings by exploring the interaction between CEO changes and narcissistic tendencies, offering insights into how these dynamics play out in real-world scenarios.

## 3. Methodology

#### 3.1 Data Collection

The data for this analysis was sourced from a comprehensive dataset that includes multiple firms over several years. The dataset encompasses various industries, ensuring a broad representation of organizational contexts. The key variables analyzed in this report include:

- **SigSize**: A metric representing the size of the firm, typically measured by total assets or market capitalization. Larger firms often have more complex operations, which can influence the impact of leadership traits on performance.
- Nar: CEO narcissism, measured through a standardized psychometric assessment. These assessments often involve analyzing CEOs' public communications, such as speeches and shareholder letters, to gauge the degree of narcissism. The scores were normalized across firms to allow for comparative analysis.
- **CEO\_Change**: A binary variable indicating whether there was a change in the CEO position during a given year. This variable is crucial for understanding how leadership transitions affect firm performance.
- Narcissism\_Change: A binary variable indicating whether there was a significant change in the CEO's narcissism score. This variable captures shifts in leadership style, which can influence organizational outcomes.
- **Financial Metrics**: Including revenue, total assets, total expenses, total liabilities, profit after tax, and ROE. These metrics provide a comprehensive view of firm performance and are essential for understanding the impact of CEO traits.

## 3.2 Data Preparation

Before proceeding with the analysis, the data underwent a rigorous cleaning process. This involved addressing missing values in key variables, such as revenue, by imputing average values from adjacent time periods. This approach ensures that the dataset remains robust and minimizes the potential biases introduced by missing data.

An interaction term between CEO change and narcissism change was created to explore the compounded effects of these variables on firm performance. This term is essential for understanding whether the impact of a new CEO is influenced by changes in their narcissistic tendencies. For instance, a new CEO who becomes more narcissistic over time may have a different impact on the firm compared to one who remains consistent in their leadership style.

## 3.3 Analytical Approach

The analytical approach for this report involves both descriptive and inferential statistics:

- **Descriptive Statistics**: To provide an overview of the data, including measures of central tendency, dispersion, and the distribution of key variables. Descriptive statistics help to contextualize the findings and ensure that the analysis is grounded in an accurate understanding of the data.
- Correlation Analysis: This was used to identify the relationships between CEO narcissism and various financial performance metrics. Correlation analysis provides insights into the strength and direction of these relationships, helping to form hypotheses about potential causal links.
- Ordinary Least Squares (OLS) Regression: The regression analysis was conducted
  with ROE as the dependent variable, while CEO change, narcissism, and other
  financial metrics served as independent variables. The interaction term between CEO
  change and narcissism change was included to explore how these factors jointly
  influence ROE.

The combination of these methods provides a comprehensive view of the impact of CEO narcissism on firm performance. The regression analysis, in particular, allows for the quantification of these effects and helps to identify which factors are most strongly associated with changes in ROE.

# 4. Data Analysis and Interpretation

## **4.1 Descriptive Statistics**

The dataset comprises 140 observations, each representing a firm-year combination across various industries. The descriptive statistics provided a foundational understanding of the data's central tendencies, variability, and distribution. Key insights from the descriptive analysis include:

- The average firm size (SigSize) was skewed towards larger firms, indicating a potential bias towards more established companies in the sample. This bias is important to consider when interpreting the results, as larger firms may have different dynamics compared to smaller firms, particularly in terms of how leadership traits impact performance.
- CEO narcissism scores varied significantly across firms, with some firms showing consistently high scores over multiple years, while others exhibited more variability.

This variation suggests that narcissism is not a static trait but can change over time, potentially in response to changes in the firm's environment or the CEO's experiences.

## **4.2 Correlation Analysis**

The correlation matrix revealed several important relationships:

- **Firm Size and Narcissism**: There is a strong positive correlation (0.997) between firm size and CEO narcissism, suggesting that larger firms tend to have more narcissistic leaders. This could be due to the greater visibility and pressures associated with leading a large organization, which may attract or cultivate narcissistic traits.
- Narcissism Change and ROE: The positive correlation (0.348) between changes in CEO narcissism and ROE suggests that firms experiencing increases in CEO narcissism may see improvements in equity returns. This could be attributed to the bold and risk-taking behaviors that often accompany narcissistic leadership.
- **Interaction Term**: The interaction between CEO change and narcissism change is significantly correlated with CEO changes (0.654), indicating that these factors are closely linked and may jointly influence firm performance.

#### 4.3 Financial Metrics

Revenue and profitability (Profit After Tax) show a strong positive correlation (0.739), consistent with the fundamental relationship between sales and earnings. However, the weaker correlation between narcissism and these financial metrics suggests that while CEO traits can influence strategy, other factors—such as market conditions, industry trends, and operational efficiency—also play crucial roles in determining financial outcomes.

## **5. Regression Analysis Results**

To further understand the relationship between CEO narcissism, CEO changes, and firm performance, an Ordinary Least Squares (OLS) regression was conducted. The dependent variable was Return on Equity (ROE), a key indicator of financial performance, while the independent variables included CEO change, year, firm size, narcissism, revenue, total assets,

total expenses, total liabilities, profit after tax, and an interaction term between CEO change and narcissism change.

## **OLS Regression Summary**:

- **R-squared**: 0.594, indicating that approximately 59.4% of the variance in ROE can be explained by the model.
- **Adjusted R-squared**: 0.562, suggesting that the model remains robust even after accounting for the number of predictors.
- **F-statistic**: 18.87 (p < 0.001), confirming that the model is statistically significant.

## **Key Findings:**

- Narcissism (Nar): The coefficient for CEO narcissism is significant and positive (coef = 3.4948, p < 0.001), indicating that higher levels of CEO narcissism are associated with higher ROE. This finding supports the idea that narcissistic CEOs can drive performance through their bold and decisive actions.
- **Firm Size** (**SigSize**): The negative and significant coefficient for firm size (coef = -0.6501, p < 0.001) suggests that larger firms may experience lower ROE, potentially due to the complexities and inefficiencies associated with managing larger organizations.