

# CPMC: UHF fast update

Shu Fay Ung

At each propagation step, the walker wavefunction is updated by the two-body term as

$$\begin{aligned}
 |\phi^{(n+1)}\rangle &= e^{-\Delta\tau U \hat{n}_{i\uparrow} \hat{n}_{i\downarrow}} |\phi^{(n)}\rangle \\
 &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{x_i \in \{\pm 1\}} e^{(-\Delta\tau U/2 + \gamma x_i) \hat{n}_{i\uparrow}} e^{(-\Delta\tau U/2 - \gamma x_i) \hat{n}_{i\downarrow}} |\phi^{(n)}\rangle \\
 &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{x_i \in \{\pm 1\}} \left\{ e^{(-\Delta\tau U/2 + \gamma x_i) \hat{n}_{i\uparrow}} |\phi^{(n)\uparrow}\rangle \right\} \left\{ e^{(-\Delta\tau U/2 - \gamma x_i) \hat{n}_{i\downarrow}} |\phi^{(n)\downarrow}\rangle \right\}, \tag{1}
 \end{aligned}$$

*i.e.* each spin sector is propagated independently. We can write

$$\begin{aligned}
 \hat{B}^{i\sigma} &= e^{[-\Delta\tau U/2 + \text{sign}(\sigma) \gamma x_i] \hat{n}_{i\sigma}} \\
 &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} [-\Delta\tau U/2 + \text{sign}(\sigma) \gamma x_i]^k \hat{n}_{i\sigma}^k \\
 &= 1 + \hat{n}_{i\sigma} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} [-\Delta\tau U/2 + \text{sign}(\sigma) \gamma x_i]^k \tag{2}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$= 1 + \hat{n}_{i\sigma} \left( e^{-\Delta\tau U/2 + \text{sign}(\sigma) \gamma x_i} - 1 \right), \tag{3}$$

where we defined  $\text{sign}(\sigma) = 1(-1)$  if  $\sigma = \uparrow(\downarrow)$  and used the identity  $\hat{n}_{i\sigma}^k = \hat{n}_{i\sigma}$  in (2). In the site basis  $\{|p\rangle\}$ ,  $\hat{B}^{i\sigma}$  is represented by the matrix

$$\begin{aligned}
 \hat{B}_{pq}^{i\sigma} &= \langle p | e^{[-\Delta\tau U/2 + \text{sign}(\sigma) \gamma x_i] \hat{n}_{i\sigma}} | q \rangle \\
 &= \langle p | 1 + \hat{n}_{i\sigma} \left( e^{-\Delta\tau U/2 + \text{sign}(\sigma) \gamma x_i} - 1 \right) | q \rangle \\
 &= \langle p | q \rangle + \langle p | \hat{n}_{i\sigma} | q \rangle \left( e^{-\Delta\tau U/2 + \text{sign}(\sigma) \gamma x_i} - 1 \right) \\
 &= \delta_{pq} + \delta_{pi} \delta_{qi} n_{i\sigma} \left( e^{-\Delta\tau U/2 + \text{sign}(\sigma) \gamma x_i} - 1 \right), \tag{4}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow \mathbf{B}^{i\sigma} = \mathbf{I} + n_{i\sigma} \left( e^{-\Delta\tau U/2 + \text{sign}(\sigma) \gamma x_i} - 1 \right) \underbrace{\hat{e}_i \otimes \hat{e}_i}_{\text{outer product}}, \tag{5}$$

where  $n_{i\sigma}$  is the occupancy of the spin  $\sigma$  orbital at site  $i$  and  $\hat{e}_i$  is the unit basis vector with the  $i$ -th element

of value 1 as the only nonzero element<sup>1</sup>. Applying  $\hat{B}^{i\sigma}$  to  $|\phi^{(n)\sigma}\rangle$  in this basis, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{B}^{i\sigma}\phi^{(n)\sigma} &= \left[\mathbf{I} + n_{i\sigma} \left(e^{-\Delta\tau U/2 + \text{sign}(\sigma)\gamma x_i} - 1\right) \hat{e}_i \otimes \hat{e}_i\right] \phi^{(n)\sigma} \\ &= \phi^{(n)\sigma} + n_{i\sigma} \left(e^{-\Delta\tau U/2 + \text{sign}(\sigma)\gamma x_i} - 1\right) (\hat{e}_i \otimes \hat{e}_i) \phi^{(n)\sigma}.\end{aligned}\quad (7)$$

The overlap matrix with the trial wavefunction is

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{O}^{(n+1)\sigma} &= (\psi_T^\sigma)^\dagger \mathbf{B}^{i\sigma} \phi^{(n)\sigma} \\ &= (\psi_T^\sigma)^\dagger \phi^{(n)\sigma} + n_{i\sigma} \left(e^{-\Delta\tau U/2 + \text{sign}(\sigma)\gamma x_i} - 1\right) (\psi_T^\sigma)^\dagger (\hat{e}_i \otimes \hat{e}_i) \phi^{(n)\sigma}.\end{aligned}\quad (8)$$

Notice that

$$\begin{aligned}(\psi_T^\sigma)^\dagger (\hat{e}_i \otimes \hat{e}_i) \phi^{(n)\sigma} &= (\psi_T^\sigma)^\dagger (\hat{e}_i \hat{e}_i^\top) \phi^{(n)\sigma} \\ &= \left[(\psi_T^\sigma)^\dagger \hat{e}_i\right] \left[\hat{e}_i^\top \phi^{(n)\sigma}\right] \\ &= (\psi_T^\sigma)_{\bullet i}^\dagger \left[\phi_{i\bullet}^{(n)\sigma}\right] \\ &= \vec{u} \vec{v}^\top = \vec{u} \otimes \vec{v}\end{aligned}\quad (9)$$

$$\implies \vec{u} = (\psi_T^\sigma)_{\bullet i}^\dagger, \quad \vec{v} = \left[\phi_{i\bullet}^{(n)\sigma}\right]^\top, \quad (10)$$

where  $(\psi_T^\sigma)_{\bullet i}^\dagger$  denotes the  $i$ -th column of  $(\psi_T^\sigma)^\dagger$  and  $\phi_{i\bullet}^{(n)\sigma}$  denotes the  $i$ -th row of  $\phi^{(n)\sigma}$ . We can then write (8) as

$$\mathbf{O}^{(n+1)\sigma} = \mathbf{O}^{(n)\sigma} + n_{i\sigma} \left(e^{-\Delta\tau U/2 + \text{sign}(\sigma)\gamma x_i} - 1\right) \vec{u} \otimes \vec{v} \quad (11)$$

$$\longrightarrow \mathbf{O}^{(n)\sigma} + \vec{u} \otimes \vec{v} \quad (12)$$

$$\vec{u} = (\psi_T^\sigma)_{\bullet i}^\dagger, \quad \vec{v} = n_{i\sigma} \left(e^{-\Delta\tau U/2 + \text{sign}(\sigma)\gamma x_i} - 1\right) \left[\phi_{i\bullet}^{(n)\sigma}\right]^\top, \quad (13)$$

absorbing the prefactor in (11) into  $\vec{v}$ . To implement importance sampling of the auxiliary fields, we need the overlap ratio

$$\frac{O^{(n+1)\sigma}}{O^{(n)\sigma}} = \frac{\langle \psi_T^\sigma | \hat{B}^{i\sigma} | \phi^{(n)\sigma} \rangle}{\langle \psi_T^\sigma | \phi^{(n)\sigma} \rangle} = \frac{\det \mathbf{O}^{(n+1)\sigma}}{\det \mathbf{O}^{(n)\sigma}}, \quad (14)$$

$$(15)$$

which naively necessitates determinant calculations that scale as  $\mathcal{O}(N^3)$  in the number of orbitals  $N$ . Fortunately, the form of the overlap matrix update in (12) allows us to leverage the *matrix determinant lemma* in updating  $\det \mathbf{O}^{(n+1)\sigma}$  from  $\det \mathbf{O}^{(n)\sigma}$ ,

$$\det \mathbf{O}^{(n+1)\sigma} = \det \left[ \mathbf{O}^{(n)\sigma} + \vec{u} \otimes \vec{v} \right] = \left[ 1 + \vec{v}^\dagger \left( \mathbf{O}^{(n)\sigma} \right)^{-1} \vec{u} \right] \det \mathbf{O}^{(n)\sigma}. \quad (16)$$

---

<sup>1</sup>The outer product  $\hat{e}_i \otimes \hat{e}_i$  has the only nonzero matrix element at the  $i$ -th position on the diagonal:

$$\hat{e}_i \otimes \hat{e}_i = \text{diag}[0, \dots, 0, \underbrace{1}_{i\text{th}}, 0, \dots, 0] \quad (6)$$

This requires the propagation of the inverse overlap matrix, which can also be updated from the previous time step using the *Sherman-Morrison formula*:

$$\left[\mathbf{O}^{(n+1)\sigma}\right]^{-1} = \left[\mathbf{O}^{(n)\sigma} + \vec{u} \otimes \vec{v}\right]^{-1} = \left[\mathbf{O}^{(n)\sigma}\right]^{-1} - \frac{\left[\mathbf{O}^{(n)\sigma}\right]^{-1} (\vec{u} \otimes \vec{v}) \left[\mathbf{O}^{(n)\sigma}\right]^{-1}}{1 + \vec{v}^\dagger \mathbf{O}^{(n)\sigma} \vec{u}}. \quad (17)$$

Both updates improve the scaling to  $\mathcal{O}(N^2)$  from the matrix multiplications involved.