

Assignment - 4

Q:- 1

- = No. of machines available in the 4 categories are 25, 30, 20 & 30.
- No. of jobs in the 5 tasks are \rightarrow 30, 10, 20, 25 & 20.

Task Type :

	1	2	3	4	5	
Machine	1	10	2	3	15	9
Category	2	5	10	15	2	4
	3	15	5	14	7	15
	4	20	15	13	-	8
	5	-	-	-	-	-

Subtract the lowest value from each row to make min. 1 zero.

	1	2	3	4	5
1	8	0	1	13	7
2	3	8	13	0	12
3	10	0	9	2	10
4	12	7	5	-	0
5	-	-	-	-	-

Now,

Subtract smallest value from each column to make min. 1 zero in each column.

	1	2	3	4	5
1	5	0	0	13	7
2	0	8	12	0	2
3	7	0	8	2	10
4	9	7	4	-	0
5	-	-	-	-	-

Here, we have drawn a line to show which row or column has min. zero. If no. of line is equal to no. of columns or rows then we can proceed further.

	1	2	3	4	5
1	5	X	0	13	7
2	X	8	12	0	2
3	7	0	8	2	10
4	9	7	4	-	0
5	-	-	-	-	-

Task assigned to machines are →

Machine 1 → Task 3

Machine 2 → Task 4

Machine 3 → Task 2

Machine 4 → Task 5

So, minimum cost is = ~~3x1+5x2+10x3+25x5+5x2+20x7+5x20+5x13+20x8~~ $10 \times 2 + 15 \times 3 + 25 \times 5 + 5 \times 2 + 20 \times 7 + 5 \times 20 + 5 \times 13 + 20 \times 8$

$$= \$665$$

Q:- Demand for next 5 quarters $\rightarrow 200 + 150 + 300 + 250 + 400 = 1300$

Production capacities $\rightarrow 180 + 230 + 430 + 300 + 300 = 1440$

$$\Rightarrow 1440 - 1300 = 140$$

So, there is 140 units extra capacity available. Max portion of this surplus will be used for satisfying next 8 excess demand.

80

	1	2	3	4	5	Surplus
R ₁	100	104	108	112	116	0
O ₁	150 (20)	154	158	162	166	0 (70)
R ₂	96 (150)	100 (80)	104	108	0	230
O ₂	144	148	152	156	0 (115)	115
R ₃		116 (220)	120 (50)	124 (50)	0 (160)	430
O ₃		174	178	182	0 (215)	215
R ₄			102 (250)	106 (50)	0	300
O ₄			153	157	0 (150)	150
R ₅				106 (300)	0	300
O ₅					0 (150)	150
	200	150	300	250	159 400	60

\therefore Total cost of satisfying demand =

$$\begin{aligned} & \$21000 + \$14400 + \$32360 + \$26700 + \$72400 \\ & = \$136,760 \end{aligned}$$

Total production at normal capacity = 1280 units.

Overtime Production capacity = 20 units.

Q:-4

Departure date from Dallas	Return date
Monday, June 3	Friday, June 7
" , June 10	Wednesday, June 12
" , June 17	Friday, June 21
Tuesday, June 25	" , June 28

Make a table to easily solve the problem.

Notation \Rightarrow Dallas \rightarrow D; Atlanta \rightarrow A

A ₇	A ₁₂	A ₂₁	A ₂₈
D ₃ 400	300	300	280
D ₁₀ 300	400	300	300
D ₁₇ 300	300	400	300
D ₂₅ 300	300	300	400

Now,

Try to make min. one zero in each row & column.

A ₇	A ₁₂	A ₂₁	A ₂₈
D ₃ 120	20	20	0
D ₁₀ 0	100	0	0
D ₁₇ 0	0	100	0
D ₂₅ 0	0	0	100

Now, do row & column operation by assigning zero, by making square on it & cancelling the column.

	A ₇	A ₁₂	A ₂₁	A ₂₈
D ₃	120	20	20	0
D ₁₀	0	100	0	0
D ₁₇	0	0	100	0
D ₂₅	0	0	0	100

Optimal soln is possible when no. of Squared zero = no. of _{row} / Column.

$$D_3 - A_{28} = 280$$

$$D_{10} - A_{21} = 300$$

$$D_{17} - A_{21} = 300$$

$$D_{25} - A_{21} = 300$$

$$\therefore Z_{\min} = 280 + 300 + 300 + 300 \\ = \$1180$$

Q:-5

Source	Destination		
	D ₁	D ₂	D ₃
S ₁	\$3	\$4	\$5
S ₂	\$1	\$2	\$1
S ₃	\$2	\$3	\$3
	30	20	20

For source 1, which the destination with least cost is D₁, which cost \$3 but demand is 30 units.

	D ₁	D ₂	D ₃
S ₁	3 (30)	4	5
S ₂	1	2	1
S ₃	2	3	3
	30	20	20

For D₂ because it has now 2nd least cost in source 1.

Step 2

	D ₁	D ₂	D ₃	
S ₁	3 (5)	4 (10)	5	40°
S ₂	1	2	1	20
S ₃	2	3	3	30
	30°	20°	20	

Now, in Source 2.

STEP 3 →

	D ₁	D ₂	D ₃	
S ₁	3	4 (10)	5	40°
S ₂	1	2	1 (20)	20°
S ₃	2	3	3	30
	30°	20°	20	

again but for source 3.

STEP 4 →

	D ₁	D ₂	D ₃	
S ₁	3 (30)	4 (10)	5	40°
S ₂	1	2	1 (20)	20°
S ₃	2	3 (10)	3	30°
	30°	20°	20	

Shipping Schedule

STEP 1 ⇒ S₁ → D₁, 30 units at \$3 = \$90.

STEP 2 ⇒ S₁ → D₂, 10 units at \$4 = \$40.

STEP 3 ⇒ S₂ → D₃, 20 units at \$1 = \$20.

STEP 4 ⇒ S₃ → D₂, 10 units at \$3 = \$30.

Storage Cost for 20 units at S₃ → 20 × 3 = \$60.

$$\therefore \text{Total cost} \Rightarrow 90 + 40 + 20 + 30 + 60 \\ = \$240$$

Also,

S₁, D₃ = \$5 is the largest cost for the source 1. So, this

basis remains same for any value greater than \$5.

$$\therefore \text{Range } S_1 D_3 > \$5$$

	Easy	Moderate	Tough
=	S ₁	S ₂	S ₃
a ₁	85	60	40
a ₂	92	85	81
a ₃	100	88	82

Course action for Hank →

In S₃ → a₃ = 82 is ~~the~~ for exam to be toughest for which he have to study all night.

In S₁ → a₃ = 100 is for exam to be easy with all night study.

Also, subtract each row with its min. value.

	S ₁	S ₂	S ₃	Max. Regret
a ₁	0	0	0	0
a ₂	8	3	1	8
a ₃	15	28	42	42

With the help of max. regret we can find the regret corresponding course of action.

∴ decision = a₃ = Study all night.

Therefore, Laplace criteria.

Probability of occurrence = $\frac{1}{3}$.

∴ Expected pay off for each decision is

$$E(a_1) = 61.7, E(a_2) = 86, E(a_3) = 90$$

Hence, Expected pay-off for a₃ is maximum.

∴ decision = a₃ = study all night.

Q-7.

In games (a) & (b), Each game has a pure strategy.

(a)	B ₁	B ₂	B ₃	B ₄	Row minima
A ₁	9	6	2	8	2
A ₂	8	9	4	5	4
A ₃	7	5	2	5	2
Column maxima	9	9	4	8	

$$\text{Max}(\text{Minimum}) = \text{Max}(2, 4, 2) \\ = 4.$$

$$\text{Min}(\text{Maximum}) = \text{Min}(9, 9, 4, 8) \\ = 4.$$

Maximum value = 4 = Minimax value.

∴ Saddle point exists. The value of the game is the Saddle point, which is 4.

also,

The optimal Strategy is the position of the saddle point & is given by (A₂, B₃)

(b)	B ₁	B ₂	B ₃	B ₄	Row minima
A ₁	5	-4	-5	6	-5
A ₂	-3	-4	-8	-2	-8
A ₃	6	8	-8	-9	-9
A ₄	7	3	-9	6	-9
Column maxima	7	8	-5	6	

$$\therefore \text{Max}(\text{Minimum}) = \text{Max}(-5, -8, -9, -9) \\ = -5$$

$$\text{Min}(\text{Maximum}) = \text{Min}(7, 8, -5, 6) \\ = -5$$

$$\text{Maximin} = \text{Minimax} = -5$$

∴ Saddle point exists. The value of the game is the saddle point which is -5 . The optimal strategy is the position of the saddle point & is given by (A_1, B_2)

Q: 8.

=

Company B

Strategy		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	Row Min.
Company A	Share	0%	50%	30%	20%	80%	70%	50%	100%	-1
	1	0%	0	-50	-30	-20	-80	-70	-50	-100
	2	50%	50	0	20	30	-30	-20	0	-50
	3	30%	30	-20	0	20	-50	-40	-20	-70
	4	20%	20	-30	-20	0	-60	-50	-30	-80
	5	80%	80	30	50	60	0	10	30	-20
	6	70%	70	20	40	50	-10	0	20	-30
	7	50%	50	0	20	30	-30	-20	0	-50
	8	100%	100	50	70	80	20	30	50	0
Column Max		100	50	70	80	20	30	50	0	

$$\therefore \text{Max (Minimum)} = (-100, -50, -70, -80, -20, -30, -50, 0), \\ = 0$$

&

$$\text{Min (Maximum)} = (100, 50, 70, 80, 20, 30, 50, 0), \\ = 0$$

$$\therefore \text{Max Min} = \text{Min Max} = 0$$



Saddle Point.

Hence, the saddle point is $(8, 8)$ both the companies will have fair game.

9.9

Travel time (min.)

District	Addison	Beebe	Canfield	Daley	Student Population
North	12	23	35	17	250
South	26	15	21	27	370
East	18	20	22	31	310
West	29	24	35	10	210
Central	15	10	23	16	290

$$\Sigma = \begin{cases} 12x_{NA} + 23x_{NB} + 35x_{NC} + 17x_{ND} \\ + 26x_{SA} + 15x_{SB} + 21x_{SC} + 27x_{SD} \\ 18x_{EA} + 20x_{EB} + 22x_{EC} + 31x_{ED} \\ 29x_{WA} + 24x_{WB} + 35x_{WC} + 10x_{WD} \\ 15x_{CA} + 10x_{CB} + 23x_{CC} + 16x_{CD} \end{cases}$$

$$\text{Total population} = 250 + 370 + 310 + 210 + 290 = 1400$$

Evenly distribute the no. of students.

$$\text{i.e. } \frac{1400}{5} = 280 \text{ every group.}$$

For supply,

$$x_{NA} + x_{NB} + x_{NC} + x_{ND} = 280$$

$$x_{SA} + x_{SB} + x_{SC} + x_{SD} = 280$$

$$x_{EA} + x_{EB} + x_{EC} + x_{ED} = 280$$

$$x_{WA} + x_{WB} + x_{WC} + x_{WD} = 280$$

$$x_{CA} + x_{CB} + x_{CC} + x_{CD} = 280$$

For Demand; Max. capacity = 400 (given)

$$x_{AN} + x_{AS} + x_{AE} + x_{AW} + x_{AC} \leq 400$$

$$x_{BN} + x_{BS} + x_{BE} + x_{BW} + x_{BC} \leq 400$$

$$x_{DN} + x_{DS} + x_{DE} + x_{DW} + x_{DC} \leq 400$$

$$x_{CN} + x_{CS} + x_{CE} + x_{CW} + x_{CC} \leq 400$$

where,
 $x_{ij} \geq 0$

By using QM in Excel →

From District 1 to Dest. A → Value → 280

District 2 to Dest. B → Value → 240

" 2 " " C Value → 40

" 3 " " A " → 120

" 3 " " C " → 160

" 4 " " D " → 280

" 5 " " B " → 160

" 5 " " D " → 120

$$Z = \begin{bmatrix} 12(280) + 23(0) + 35(0) + 17(0) \\ 26(0) + 15(240) + 21(40) + 27(0) \\ 18(120) + 20(0) + 22(160) + 31(0) \\ 29(0) + 24(0) + 35(0) + 10(280) \\ 15(0) + 10(160) + 23(0) + 16(120) \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= 19,800$$

Q5-10 The initial feasible solution can be given by using least cost method. As profit has to be maximized, taking -ve cost values.

Terminal.

	St. Louis	Atlanta	New York	Supply
Warehouse	Charlotte	-1800	-2100	-1600
	Memphis	30	-700	-900
	Louisville	-1400	-800	-2200
	Dummy	10°	30°	20°
Demand		40	60	50

Now using MODI method:

	$V_1 = -2100$	$V_2 = -2100$	$V_3 = -2100$	
$U_1 = 0$	-1800	30	-2100	-1600
$U_2 = 1100$	30	-1000	-700	-900
$U_3 = -100$		-1400	-800	-2200
$U_4 = 2100$	10°	30°	30°	20°

$$P_{11} = -300$$

$$P_{13} = -500$$

$$P_{22} = -300$$

$$P_{23} = -100$$

$$P_{31} = -800$$

$$P_{32} = -1400$$

∴ Optimality has been reached.

Hence, Total profit = $2100 \times 30 + 1000 \times 30 + 2200 \times 30$
 $= \$159,000$

Q: 11.

= Balancing the assignment problem by adding
 dummies & minimizing the problem by subtracting
 all elements by 630

SALES

EMPLOYEE	1	2	3	4	D_1	D_2
1	290	470	20	340	630	630
2	70	260	110	180	630	630
3	360	-	280	210	630	630
4	270	410	0	480	630	630
5	180	440	60	320	630	630
6	350	310	140	270	630	630

Row reduction by making row value zero

	1	2	3	4	D_1	D_2
1	270	450	0	320	610	610
2	0	190	40	110	560	560
3	150	-	70	0	420	420
4	270	910	0	480	630	630
5	120	380	0	260	570	570
6	210	170	0	130	490	490

Column Reduction to make column value zero.

	1	2	3	4	D_1	D_2
1	270	280	0	320	190	190
2	0	20	40	110	140	140
3	150	-	70	0	0	0
4	270	270	0	480	210	210
5	120	210	0	260	150	150
6	210	0	0	130	70	70

Zero Assignment : 1

	1	2	3	4	D_1	D_2
1	270	280	10	320	190	190
2	0	20	40	110	140	140
3	150	-	70	0	0	0
4	270	270	0	480	210	210
5	120	210	0	260	150	150
6	210	0	0	130	70	70

✓

Assigned zeros < 6

The smallest uncovered element is 20.

Again,

	1	2	3	4	5	6
1	190	40	0	180	50	50 ✓
2	0	0	160	90	120	120 ✓
3	170	-	210	0	0	0 -
4	150	100	0	310	70	70 ✓
5	0	70	0	120	10	10 ✓
6	230	0	190	130	70	70 ✓

The smallest element is 0.

	1	2	3	4	5	6
1	150	140	0	170	40	40 ✓
2	0	0	160	80	110	110
3	180	-	220	0	0	0 -
4	150	100	0	330	60	60 ✓
5	0	70	0	110	0	0
6	230	0	140	120	60	60

The smallest element is 0.

	1	2	3	4	5	6
1	110	100	0	130	0	0
2	0	0	200	80	110	110
3	180	-	260	0	0	0
4	110	60	0	290	20	20
5	0	70	40	110	0	0
6	230	0	180	120	60	60

Employee 2 → Home Furnishing

1 → China

4 → Appliances

3 → Jewellery.

$$\text{Total expected daily sales} = 560 + 320 + 630 + 420 \\ = \$1930$$

Q:-12.

(a) Row Reduction [Using Hungarian Method].

	A	B	C	D	E	F
1	3	0	1	6	1	2
2	0	1	0	8	3	1
3	2	2	3	0	3	0
4	6	1	2	0	4	4
5	0	3	0	5	2	0
6	1	3	1	0	0	0

(b) Column Reduction

will be same as above one'

	A	B	C	D	E	F
1	3	0	1	6	1	2
2	0	1	0	8	3	1
3	2	2	3	0	3	0
4	6	1	2	0	4	4
5	0	3	0	5	2	0
6	1	3	1	0	0	0

∴ Total no. of nights = 4 + 4 + 7 + 5 + 5 + 9
 = 34 nights

Q:-3:

= We want to maximize the total bidding revenue, therefore taking the bids to be -ve. The initial feasible sol'n can be given by using least cost method →

Bidders					
	1	2	3	4	Supply
1	-520	-	-650	-180	20
2	-210	-570	10	-430	30
3	-510	30	-	-710	10
Dummy	30°	0°	10°	20°	60
Demand	30	30	30	30	

Note → Supply & demand is 1000

Now, using MODI Method.

$v_2 = -650$	$P_{11} = -130$
$v_1 = -650$ $v_2 = -650$	$P_{12} = -14$
-520 -	$P_{14} = -470$
-210 -510 -	$P_{21} = -3100$
-570 -495 -240 10	$P_{23} = -$
30° 0° 10° 20°	$P_{24} = -80$
	$P_{31} = -190$
	$P_{32} = -215$
	$P_{33} = -470$

∴ Optimality has been reached.

Total = \$ 354,000,000

Bidder 1 = 0 acres

" 2 = 30 "

3 = 20 acres

4 = 10 acres