

# US Births (2018) Data Analysis

STAT 448 Final Project

Ankit Datta - Group 7

## Introduction:

The [dataset \(https://www.kaggle.com/des137/us-births-2018\)](https://www.kaggle.com/des137/us-births-2018) we investigated was the data containing information about births in the United States in 2018. US Births is a CDC maintained dataset populated with 55 variables and 3,801,534 observations. Columns in the dataset have information about the newborn's parents, place and time of birth, birth circumstances, biographical information, etc. The [CDC user guide](#) provides detailed information about what the variables mean and their coded values if they are a factor variable. For example, many variables such as RACE, EDUCATION, etc. have a coded value and a value of 9 represents missing or unknown information.

Based on this dataset, **the goal and question** I wanted to answer was whether we could **predict the odds of a cesarean birth based on any combination of a subset of these predictors**. In order to do so, the dataset must be cleaned and validated to remove any observations with NA or missing values for any predictor.

Looking at the initial dataset, we see variables such as DMAR, IMP\_SEX, MAGE\_IMPFLG, MAR\_IMP, and more containing a very large number of NA's. We can also see that the max for all the variables is either 9, 99, 999, or 9999. In order to build a logistic regression model, we must remove all observations with an NA or a value which represents a missing variable since those observations will not help us build a model.

ATTEND	BFACIL	BMI	CIG_0	DBWT	DLMP_MM	DLMP_YY	DMAR	DOB_MM	DOB_TT
Min. :1.000	Min. :1.000	Min. :13.00	Min. : 0.000	Min. : 227	Min. : 1.00	Min. :2016	Min. :1.0	Min. : 1.000	Min. : 0
1st Qu.:1.000	1st Qu.:1.000	1st Qu.:22.30	1st Qu.: 0.000	1st Qu.:2960	1st Qu.: 4.00	1st Qu.:2017	1st Qu.:1.0	1st Qu.: 4.000	1st Qu.: 759
Median :1.000	Median :1.000	Median :25.80	Median : 0.000	Median :3300	Median : 7.00	Median :2017	Median :1.0	Median : 7.000	Median :1236
Mean :1.332	Mean :1.036	Mean :28.82	Mean : 1.574	Mean :3267	Mean :10.93	Mean :2368	Mean :1.4	Mean : 6.561	Mean :1229
3rd Qu.:1.000	3rd Qu.:1.000	3rd Qu.:31.10	3rd Qu.: 0.000	3rd Qu.:3629	3rd Qu.:10.00	3rd Qu.:2018	3rd Qu.:2.0	3rd Qu.: 9.000	3rd Qu.:1733
Max. :9.000	Max. :9.000	Max. :99.90	Max. :99.000	Max. :9999	Max. :99.00	Max. :9999	Max. :2.0	Max. :12.000	Max. :9999
NA's :456083									
DOB_WK	DOB_YY	DWgt_R	FAGECOMB	FEDUC	FHISPX	FRACE15	FRACE31	FRACE6	ILLB_R
Min. :1.000	Min. :2018	Min. :100.0	Min. :11.0	Min. :1.000	Min. :0.000	Min. : 1.00	Min. : 1.00	Min. :1.000	Min. : 3.0
1st Qu.:3.000	1st Qu.:2018	1st Qu.:160.0	1st Qu.:28.0	1st Qu.:3.000	1st Qu.:0.000	1st Qu.: 1.00	1st Qu.: 1.00	1st Qu.:1.000	1st Qu.: 32.0
Median :4.000	Median :2018	Median :182.0	Median :33.0	Median :4.000	Median :0.000	Median : 1.00	Median : 1.00	Median :1.000	Median : 84.0
Mean :4.059	Mean :2018	Mean :200.3	Mean :39.6	Mean :4.906	Mean :1.647	Mean :19.45	Mean :19.22	Mean :2.864	Mean :397.1
3rd Qu.:6.000	3rd Qu.:2018	3rd Qu.:212.0	3rd Qu.:38.0	3rd Qu.:6.000	3rd Qu.:1.000	3rd Qu.: 4.00	3rd Qu.: 4.00	3rd Qu.:4.000	3rd Qu.:888.0
Max. :7.000	Max. :2018	Max. :999.0	Max. :99.0	Max. :9.000	Max. :9.000	Max. :99.00	Max. :99.00	Max. :9.000	Max. :999.0
ILOP_R	ILP_R	IMP_SEX	IP_GON	LD_INDL	MAGER	MAGE_IMPFLG	MAR_TMP	MBSTATE_REC	
Min. : 3.0	Min. : 3.0	Mode:logical	Length:3801534	Length:3801534	Min. :12.00	Mode:logical	Mode:logical	Min. :1.000	
1st Qu.:888.0	1st Qu.: 28.0	TRUE:90	Class :character	Class :character	1st Qu.:25.00	TRUE:474	TRUE:1995	1st Qu.:1.000	
Median :888.0	Median : 81.0	NA's:3801444	Mode :character	Mode :character	Median :29.00	NA's:3801060	NA's:3799539	Median :1.000	
Mean :751.1	Mean :417.6				Mean :29.01			Mean :1.233	
3rd Qu.:888.0	3rd Qu.:888.0				3rd Qu.:33.00			3rd Qu.:1.000	
Max. :999.0	Max. :999.0				Max. :50.00			Max. :3.000	
MEDUC	MHISPX	MMATCU	MRACE15	MRACE31	MRACE1MP	MRAVE6	MTRAN	MLHt_In	
Min. :1.000	Min. :0.0000	Length:3801534	Min. : 1.000	Min. : 1.000	Min. :1	Min. :1.000	Length:3801534	Min. :30.00	
1st Qu.:3.000	1st Qu.:0.0000	Class :character	1st Qu.:1.000	1st Qu.:1.000	1st Qu.:1	1st Qu.:1.000	Class :character	1st Qu.:62.00	
Median :4.000	Median :0.0000	Mode :character	Median :1.000	Median :1.000	Median :1	Median :1.000	Mode :character	Median :64.00	
Mean :4.413	Mean :0.6496		Mean :1.942	Mean :1.634	Mean :1	Mean :1.523		Mean :64.31	
3rd Qu.:6.000	3rd Qu.:0.0000		3rd Qu.:2.000	3rd Qu.:2.000	3rd Qu.:1	3rd Qu.:2.000		3rd Qu.:66.00	
Max. :9.000	Max. :9.0000		Max. :15.000	Max. :31.000	Max. :1	Max. :6.000		Max. :99.00	
NA's :3561344									
NO_INFEC	NO_MWORB	NO_RISKS	PAY	PAY_REC	PRECARE	PREVIS	PRIORDEAD	PRIORLIVE	
Min. :0.0000	Min. :0.0000	Min. :0.0000	Min. :1.000	Min. :1.000	Min. : 0.00	Min. : 0.00	Min. : 0.0000	Min. : 0.000	
1st Qu.:1.0000	1st Qu.:1.0000	1st Qu.:0.0000	1st Qu.:1.000	1st Qu.:1.000	1st Qu.: 2.00	1st Qu.: 9.0	1st Qu.: 0.0000	1st Qu.: 0.000	
Median :1.0000	Median :1.0000	Median :1.0000	Median :2.000	Median :2.000	Median : 3.00	Median :12.0	Median : 0.0000	Median :1.000	
Mean :0.9905	Mean :0.9936	Mean :0.6933	Mean :1.843	Mean :1.742	Mean : 5.32	Mean :13.5	Mean : 0.2819	Mean :1.338	
3rd Qu.:1.0000	3rd Qu.:1.0000	3rd Qu.:1.0000	3rd Qu.:2.000	3rd Qu.:2.000	3rd Qu.: 3.00	3rd Qu.:14.0	3rd Qu.: 0.0000	3rd Qu.: 2.000	
Max. :9.0000	Max. :9.0000	Max. :9.0000	Max. :9.000	Max. :9.000	Max. :99.00	Max. :99.0	Max. :99.0000	Max. :99.000	
PRIORTERM	PWgt_R	RDMEth_REC	RESTATUS	RF_CESAR	RF_CESARN	SEX	WTGAIN		
Min. : 0.0000	Min. : 75	Min. :1.000	Min. :1.000	Length:3801534	Length:3801534	Length:3801534	Min. : 0.00		
1st Qu.: 0.0000	1st Qu.:130	1st Qu.:1.000	1st Qu.:1.000	Class :character	Class :character	Class :character	1st Qu.:20.00		
Median : 0.0000	Median :150	Median :1.000	Median :1.000	Mode :character	Mode :character	Mode :character	Median :30.00		
Mean : 0.7612	Mean :176	Mean :1.799	Mean :1.332				Mean :31.62		
3rd Qu.:1.0000	3rd Qu.:182	3rd Qu.:3.000	3rd Qu.:2.000				3rd Qu.:40.00		
Max. :99.0000	Max. :999	Max. :9.000	Max. :4.000				Max. :99.00		

In order to answer this question, we need to determine which subset of predictors are of interest and then determine how cesarean births are represented in our dataset. Due to the fact that there are over 3 million observations, we cannot construct plots due to lack of computational power so we must look at the relevant statistics in order to make conclusions.

Doing some preliminary analysis, we can see that we have Boolean, character, and numeric variables that we all represent coding according to the CDC user guide which will need to be translated to categorical variables to set up for our analysis later.

We would also like to focus our analysis on the most relevant predictors such as MRACE6+FRACE6 (races of parents), FEDUC+MEDUC (education level of parents), DOB\_MM, DOB\_TT, DOB\_WK (month, time, and day of the week of birth), NO\_RISKS (risk factors during pregnancy), PRECARE/PREVIS (prenatal care and visits), PRIORDEAD/PRIORLIVE/PRIORTERM (past history of births and terminated pregnancies of mother), and finally RDMETH\_REC (method of delivery).

## **Methods:**

I use R initially to subset, validate, verify, and make initial observations about our dataset.

We must first determine which births in our dataset are cesarean births. In order to do so, we must look at the RDMETH\_REC variable.

RDMETH_REC	<b>Delivery Method Recode</b>	1	Vaginal (excludes vaginal after previous C-section)
		2	Vaginal after previous c-section
		3	Primary C-section
		4	Repeat C-section
		5	Vaginal (unknown if previous c-section)
		6	C-section (unknown if previous c-section)
		9	Not stated

As is shown, the values 3, 4, and 6 are coded for C-sections. Thus, we first create a new column in our dataset CSECTION which will be a binary variable representing whether a birth was a cesarean birth. If the observation has value 3, 4, or 6 then CSECTION = 1 else CSECTION = 0. By doing this, we are setting up a Bernoulli distribution representing having a C-section or not that can be used in our logistic regression to predict the odds. We can also not include the RDMETH\_REC variable in our subset as we have already extracted the relevant information.

```

> summary(us_births$RDMETH_REC)
      1      2      3      4      5      6      9
2507707  79017 695090 515780  1810   502  1628
> sum(us_births$csection == TRUE)
[1] 1211372
>

```

Clearly,  $695090 + 515780 + 502 = 1,211,372$  so CSECTION is valid. Initially, this shows us that around  $1,211,372 / 3,801,534 = 31.9\%$  of births in 2018 were C-section births.

Next, we choose our initial subset of relevant variables that can be used in our logistic regression model. By looking at the variables and what they represent, we decide to keep the following 25 variables and CSECTION:

- Child Info: DBWT (birth weight), MONTH (month born), TIME (time of day), DAY (day of week), and SEX
- Parental Info: MAR (marital status), FAGE (father age), FEDUC (father education), FRACE (father race), MAGE, MEDUC, MRACE, ICU (admitted to ICU), M\_HT\_IN (mother height), PREWEIGHT (pre-pregnancy weight), WTGAIN (weight gain), BMI, CIG\_0 (daily cigarettes before birth)
- Birth Info: NO\_RISKS (no risk factors), PRECARE (time when prenatal care started), PREVIS (number of prenatal visits), PRIORDEAD (prior births deceased), PRIORLIVE (prior births living), PRIORTERM (prior pregnancy terminations), CESAR\_PREV (number of previous C-sections)

We only include the 26/55 variables since other variables in our original dataset contained irrelevant information or redundant information such as BFACIL, 3 coded variables represented FRACE and MRACE, IP\_GON – if gonorrhea was present during pregnancy, etc. The variables that were the remaining columns that could be used to predict the odds of having a cesarean birth. We parse the data frame and convert all necessary columns as factors and convert binary character variables to integers so that SAS can interpret them as class variables and have levels to the factor variable.

Finally, we must validate our observations and remove any observations with any NA's or any values that represent unknown/missing (9, 99, 999 etc. depending on the variable according the key). Doing so, we get our final birth dataset with 2,521,050 observations, 25 predictor variables, and our binary response variable CSECTION which we will use moving forward.

<b>Data Set Name</b>	WORK.BIRTHS	<b>Observations</b>	2521050
<b>Member Type</b>	DATA	<b>Variables</b>	26

In our final births dataset, there are 808,802 cesarean births which means about 32.1% of that dataset had C-section births.

Now that we have our dataset and CSECTION variable, we can proceed with our logistic regression in SAS. We know that our goal is to predict the odds of having a cesarean birth, and also that CSECTION is a binary variable with value 0 if not a C-section and 1 if it was a C-section. Then we can use logistic regression to set up a model of the form  $CSECTION = [\text{subset of predictors}]$ .

In order to choose our predictors, we must use forward, backward, and stepwise selection to see which method leads to the best-fitting and most significant model. For all of these PROC LOGISTIC steps, we specify our class variables: CSECTION, MAR, MONTH, DAY, FEDUC, FRACE, MEDUC, ICU, MRACE, NO\_RISKS, SEX with param=ref ref=first;

We will get summaries of our variable selection, as well as relevant null hypothesis testing, odds ratios, and goodness of fit tests for each of the methods that we can use to determine which best fits our data. Then we can use that model to make conclusions about our original questions of predicting the odds of having a C-section. Since there are over 2.5 million observations, the model is extremely over-determined and plotting is not possible but the full dataset is used instead of randomly sampling chunks.

## **Results:**

We get the results of forward, backward, and stepwise selection shown in Appendix A. This shows the summaries of each of the methods as well as model fit statistics and global hypothesis tests. All methods lead to the same model which is shown below. First, we look at the model statistics:

Model Fit Statistics		
Criterion	Intercept Only	Intercept and Covariates
AIC	3163997.3	2304916.0
SC	3164010.0	2305667.7
-2 Log L	3163995.3	2304798.0

Testing Global Null Hypothesis: BETA=0			
Test	Chi-Square	DF	Pr > ChiSq
Likelihood Ratio	859197.301	58	<.0001
Score	756301.356	58	<.0001
Wald	448446.907	58	<.0001

The model with the variables has a significantly lower AIC and SC, so therefore that model is better. From the global null hypothesis, we see that the p-values are <0.0001 so we reject the null that all betas are 0 and conclude that at least one of the predictors is not equal to 0. Then we look at the Type 3 analysis of variables and see that all the predictors are significant with p-values <0.05 therefore, the betas for all the predictors are not equal to 0.

Type 3 Analysis of Effects			
Effect	DF	Wald Chi-Square	Pr > ChiSq
BMI	1	4.3388	0.0373
CIG_0	1	205.4982	<.0001
DBWT	1	19085.3425	<.0001
MAR	1	328.2834	<.0001
MONTH	11	45.8530	<.0001
TIME	1	364.4686	<.0001
DAY	6	9075.7906	<.0001
FAGE	1	133.4603	<.0001
FEDUC	7	259.7187	<.0001
FRACE	5	77.9827	<.0001
MAGE	1	16059.5820	<.0001
MEDUC	7	338.2164	<.0001
ICU	1	1502.6681	<.0001
MRACE	5	314.6822	<.0001
M_HT_IN	1	1746.2307	<.0001
NO_RISKS	1	8344.9791	<.0001
PREVIS	1	115.1943	<.0001
PRIORDEAD	1	10.7352	0.0011
PRIORLIVE	1	80257.7178	<.0001
PREWEIGHT	1	361.1822	<.0001
CESAR_PREV	1	201910.019	<.0001
SEX	1	2676.0086	<.0001
WTGAIN	1	22347.4364	<.0001

We test the Goodness-of-Fit for this model next:

Hosmer and Lemeshow Goodness-of-Fit Test		
Chi-Square	DF	Pr > ChiSq
2213.8025	8	<.0001

We that the p-value is  $<0.0001$  which means that we reject the null the model does not fit our data, but with over 2 million observations, this was to be expected and with all 3 selection methods selecting the same model, we can say that the final model predicting the odds of having a cesarean birth as a function of the 23 predictors from before. Starting with 55 variables, this model eliminates 22 other variables that are not included in the model. The influence plots could not be generated for 2 million data points and using the PLOTS(MAXPOINTS=NONE) option for PROC LOGISTIC in SAS causes a segmentation violation. The predictors were all significant in the model and although we reject the goodness of fit, we proceed with the model and make conclusions.

We can try to answer the question and our goal to predict the odds that a birth will be a C-section based on some subset of predictors from our original dataset. Using the 23 predictors, we can apply the statistics to the real world that the data represents.

## **Conclusions:**

We can examine the odds ratios in order to make conclusions to real-world applications of the question we are trying to answer: can we predict the odds of having a cesarean birth based on 23 predictors? First we look at the most significant predictors:

<b>CESAR_PREV</b>	13.913	13.754	14.073
<b>ICU 2 vs 1</b>	5.509	5.054	6.006

<b>NO_RISKS 1 vs 0</b>	0.682	0.677	0.688
<b>PRIORLIVE</b>	0.581	0.579	0.583

Highest Predictor - CESAR\_PREV: for every additional previous cesarean section a woman has had, the odds of the current pregnancy being a cesarean birth is 13.9 times more likely. This is predictable, as having a previous C-section is the most obvious predictor for if the birth is going to be a C-section. Another predictor with high odds is being admitted to the ICU (2) during delivery leads to the odds of the C-section to be 5.5 times more than not being admitted (1).

Lowest Odds - PRIORLIVE: for every additional live birth a woman has had in the past, the odds of this birth being a C-section are .581 times as high. This is showing that the more children a women has had, the odds of the current birth



being a C-section are lowered by 42%. Similarly, odds of having a cesarean birth are 0.682 times lower for women with no risks (1) during pregnancy compared to risk experienced (0), since having no risks would mean a healthy pregnancy and no complications occurred during pregnancy so it is more likely to be a non cesarean birth.

<b>MAGE</b>	1.064	1.063	1.065	
<b>MEDUC 2 vs 1</b>	1.075	1.041	1.109	
<b>MEDUC 3 vs 1</b>	1.027	0.997	1.059	
<b>MEDUC 4 vs 1</b>	1.007	0.977	1.039	
<b>MEDUC 5 vs 1</b>	0.981	0.951	1.013	1
<b>MEDUC 6 vs 1</b>	0.955	0.926	0.985	2
<b>MEDUC 7 vs 1</b>	0.930	0.900	0.961	3
<b>MEDUC 8 vs 1</b>	0.899	0.867	0.931	4
<b>FEDUC 2 vs 1</b>	1.100	1.069	1.132	5
<b>FEDUC 3 vs 1</b>	1.136	1.105	1.167	6
<b>FEDUC 4 vs 1</b>	1.107	1.077	1.139	7
<b>FEDUC 5 vs 1</b>	1.093	1.061	1.125	8
<b>FEDUC 6 vs 1</b>	1.073	1.042	1.103	
<b>FEDUC 7 vs 1</b>	1.049	1.018	1.081	
<b>FEDUC 8 vs 1</b>	1.008	0.975	1.042	

1 8<sup>th</sup> grade or less

2 9<sup>th</sup> through 12<sup>th</sup> grade with no diploma

3 High school graduate or GED completed

4 Some college credit, but not a degree.

5 Associate degree (AA,AS)

6 Bachelor's degree (BA, AB, BS)

7 Master's degree (MA, MS, MEng, MEd, MSW, MBA)

8 Doctorate (PhD, EdD) or Professional Degree (MD, DDS, DVM, LLB, JD)

Education level of Bachelor's Degree and above (6-8) are only significant for mothers with odds of cesarean births compared to 8th grade or less (1) education being between 5-10% lower with lower odds for higher level of education. The odds for higher education levels is also much lower compared to the odds of high-school degree meaning more educated women have less likely odds to have a cesarean birth.

Father's education shows that odds of having a C-section are highest for High School/GED (3) level compared to (1) which cannot be explained but increasing education levels after 3 along with odds close to 1 for (6) – (8) meaning and not significant. This means that there is no real difference in the odds of having a C-section based on the father's education beyond a bachelor's degree.

<b>MRACE 2 vs 1</b>	1.149	1.130	1.168	
<b>MRACE 3 vs 1</b>	0.985	0.943	1.028	1
<b>MRACE 4 vs 1</b>	1.056	1.033	1.079	2
<b>MRACE 5 vs 1</b>	0.984	0.903	1.072	3
<b>MRACE 6 vs 1</b>	0.975	0.954	0.997	4
<b>FRACE 2 vs 1</b>	1.037	1.021	1.053	5
<b>FRACE 3 vs 1</b>	0.946	0.905	0.988	6
<b>FRACE 4 vs 1</b>	0.942	0.920	0.964	
<b>FRACE 5 vs 1</b>	0.944	0.869	1.025	
<b>FRACE 6 vs 1</b>	0.954	0.933	0.976	

White (only)  
Black (only)  
AIAN (only)  
Asian (only)  
NHOPI (only)  
More than one race

Odds of black mothers having a C-section compared to white mothers is 14.9% higher, and black mother's have highest odds overall. Race plays small role in determining odds of having a C-section compared to other factors, most odds ratios are insignificant or affect the odds of having a C-section by 2% compared to each other.

<b>MAR 2 vs 1</b>	1.081	1.072	1.090
-------------------	-------	-------	-------

Marital Status 2 v 1 - The odds of having a cesarean birth for unmarried women (2) are 8.1% more than married women (1). So being married decreases the odds of having a C-section.

<b>MONTH 2 vs 1</b>	0.999	0.983	1.015
<b>MONTH 3 vs 1</b>	0.982	0.967	0.998
<b>MONTH 4 vs 1</b>	0.972	0.957	0.988
<b>MONTH 5 vs 1</b>	0.970	0.955	0.985
<b>MONTH 6 vs 1</b>	0.968	0.953	0.984
<b>MONTH 7 vs 1</b>	0.981	0.966	0.996
<b>MONTH 8 vs 1</b>	0.971	0.956	0.986
<b>MONTH 9 vs 1</b>	0.964	0.949	0.979
<b>MONTH 10 vs 1</b>	0.978	0.963	0.994
<b>MONTH 11 vs 1</b>	0.968	0.952	0.983
<b>MONTH 12 vs 1</b>	0.976	0.961	0.992

Having a baby in January or February has the highest odds of being a C-section, with every month having between 2-3% lower odds of having a C-section and odds in February not being significant compared to January. This means that babies born in the first two months of the year have the highest odds of being a cesarean birth, and being born in September has the lowest odds.

<b>DAY 2 vs 1</b>	1.574	1.552	1.596
<b>DAY 3 vs 1</b>	1.536	1.515	1.557
<b>DAY 4 vs 1</b>	1.491	1.470	1.511
<b>DAY 5 vs 1</b>	1.499	1.479	1.520
<b>DAY 6 vs 1</b>	1.564	1.542	1.586
<b>DAY 7 vs 1</b>	1.039	1.024	1.055

Odds are lowest for Sunday (1) births, every week day (2-6) has around 1.5 times higher odds of having a cesarean birth and Saturdays (7) have 3.9% more. This shows that the odds of a birth on a weekend being a cesarean birth are the lowest, and births during the week have the highest odds.

So all of these predictors overall affect the odds of having a cesarean birth by a percentage but within the levels of predictors, there may not be a difference in the odds. The predictor that will most influence the odds is number of previous C-sections which multiplies the odds by 13.9 for each additional one, and the predictor that most lowers the odds is number of prior births which multiplies the odds by 0.581 for every additional previous birth.

## Appendix:

<b>Number of Observations Read</b>	2521050
<b>Number of Observations Used</b>	2521050

Response Profile		
Ordered Value	CSECTION	Total Frequency
1	1	808902
2	0	1712148

**Probability modeled is CSECTION=1.**

Classification table for class variables:

Class Level Information												
Class	Value	Design Variables										
MAR	1	0										
	2	1										
MONTH	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	3	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	4	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	5	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	6	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
	7	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
	11	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
	12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
DAY	1	0	0	0	0	0	0					
	2	1	0	0	0	0	0					

Class Level Information												
Class	Value	Design Variables										
	3	0	1	0	0	0	0					
	4	0	0	1	0	0	0					
	5	0	0	0	1	0	0					
	6	0	0	0	0	1	0					
	7	0	0	0	0	0	1					
FEDUC	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0				
	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0				
	3	0	1	0	0	0	0	0				
	4	0	0	1	0	0	0	0				
	5	0	0	0	1	0	0	0				
	6	0	0	0	0	1	0	0				
	7	0	0	0	0	0	1	0				
	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	1				
FRACE	1	0	0	0	0	0						
	2	1	0	0	0	0						
	3	0	1	0	0	0						
	4	0	0	1	0	0						
	5	0	0	0	1	0						
	6	0	0	0	0	1						
MEDUC	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0				
	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0				
	3	0	1	0	0	0	0	0				
	4	0	0	1	0	0	0	0				
	5	0	0	0	1	0	0	0				
	6	0	0	0	0	1	0	0				
	7	0	0	0	0	0	1	0				
	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	1				
ICU	1	0										
	2	1										
MRACE	1	0	0	0	0	0						
	2	1	0	0	0	0						

Class Level Information												
Class	Value	Design Variables										
	3	0	1	0	0	0						
	4	0	0	1	0	0						
	5	0	0	0	1	0						
	6	0	0	0	0	1						
NO_RISKS	0	0										
	1	1										
SEX	1	0										
	2	1										

Backward Selection:

Summary of Backward Elimination					
Step	Effect Removed	DF	Number In	Wald Chi-Square	Pr > ChiSq
1	PRIORTERM	1	24	1.6743	0.1957
2	PRECARE	1	23	2.9706	0.0848

Model Fit Statistics		
Criterion	Intercept Only	Intercept and Covariates
AIC	3163997.3	2304916.0
SC	3164010.0	2305667.7
-2 Log L	3163995.3	2304798.0

Testing Global Null Hypothesis: BETA=0			
Test	Chi-Square	DF	Pr > ChiSq
Likelihood Ratio	859197.301	58	<.0001
Score	756301.356	58	<.0001
Wald	448446.907	58	<.0001

Forward Selection:

Summary of Forward Selection					
Step	Effect Entered	DF	Number In	Score Chi-Square	Pr > ChiSq
1	CESAR_PREV	1	1	539134.702	<.0001
2	PRIORLIVE	1	2	68210.3286	<.0001
3	BMI	1	3	51276.5468	<.0001
4	MAGE	1	4	33254.1795	<.0001
5	DBWT	1	5	22110.7786	<.0001
6	WTGAIN	1	6	23052.9311	<.0001
7	M_HT_IN	1	7	9204.2087	<.0001
8	DAY	6	8	9069.8402	<.0001
9	NO_RISKS	1	9	8699.2572	<.0001
10	SEX	1	10	2735.7951	<.0001
11	MRACE	5	11	1856.6319	<.0001
12	ICU	1	12	1708.4676	<.0001
13	MEDUC	7	13	1394.8401	<.0001
14	MAR	1	14	488.4440	<.0001
15	PREWEIGHT	1	15	381.6632	<.0001
16	TIME	1	16	360.5597	<.0001
17	FEDUC	7	17	273.8192	<.0001
18	CIG_0	1	18	213.4121	<.0001
19	FAGE	1	19	133.9560	<.0001
20	PREVIS	1	20	113.4147	<.0001
21	FRACE	5	21	77.8381	<.0001
22	MONTH	11	22	45.8274	<.0001
23	PRIORDEAD	1	23	10.7389	0.0010



Model Fit Statistics		
Criterion	Intercept Only	Intercept and Covariates
AIC	3163997.3	2304916.0
SC	3164010.0	2305667.7
-2 Log L	3163995.3	2304798.0

Testing Global Null Hypothesis: BETA=0			
Test	Chi-Square	DF	Pr > ChiSq
Likelihood Ratio	859197.301	58	<.0001
Score	756301.356	58	<.0001
Wald	448446.907	58	<.0001

Stepwise Selection:

Summary of Stepwise Selection							
Step	Effect		DF	Number In	Score Chi-Square	Wald Chi-Square	Pr > ChiSq
	Entered	Removed					
1	CESAR_PREV		1	1	539134.702		<.0001
2	PRIORLIVE		1	2	68210.3286		<.0001
3	BMI		1	3	51276.5468		<.0001
4	MAGE		1	4	33254.1795		<.0001
5	DBWT		1	5	22110.7786		<.0001
6	WTGAIN		1	6	23052.9311		<.0001
7	M_HT_IN		1	7	9204.2087		<.0001
8	DAY		6	8	9069.8402		<.0001
9	NO_RISKS		1	9	8699.2572		<.0001
10	SEX		1	10	2735.7951		<.0001
11	MRACE		5	11	1856.6319		<.0001
12	ICU		1	12	1708.4676		<.0001
13	MEDUC		7	13	1394.8401		<.0001
14	MAR		1	14	488.4440		<.0001
15	PREWEIGHT		1	15	381.6632		<.0001
16		BMI	1	14		3.1716	0.0749
17	TIME		1	15	360.5623		<.0001
18	FEDUC		7	16	272.8844		<.0001
19	CIG_0		1	17	213.0555		<.0001
20	FAGE		1	18	134.0967		<.0001
21	PREVIS		1	19	113.4549		<.0001
22	FRACE		5	20	77.8242		<.0001
23	MONTH		11	21	45.7961		<.0001
24	PRIORDEAD		1	22	10.7204		0.0011
25	BMI		1	23	4.3403		0.0372

# Analysis of Max Likelihood Estimates:

Analysis of Maximum Likelihood Estimates						
Parameter		DF	Estimate	Standard Error	Wald Chi-Square	Pr > ChiSq
Intercept		1	2.8909	0.1601	326.1356	<.0001
BMI		1	0.00580	0.00278	4.3388	0.0373
CIG_0		1	0.00530	0.000370	205.4982	<.0001
DBWT		1	-0.00041	2.987E-6	19085.3425	<.0001
MAR	2	1	0.0775	0.00428	328.2834	<.0001
MONTH	2	1	-0.00141	0.00828	0.0290	0.8648
MONTH	3	1	-0.0180	0.00805	4.9987	0.0254
MONTH	4	1	-0.0281	0.00816	11.8497	0.0006
MONTH	5	1	-0.0305	0.00801	14.5193	0.0001
MONTH	6	1	-0.0323	0.00807	15.9752	<.0001
MONTH	7	1	-0.0194	0.00799	5.8919	0.0152
MONTH	8	1	-0.0295	0.00790	13.9981	0.0002
MONTH	9	1	-0.0367	0.00805	20.7517	<.0001
MONTH	10	1	-0.0218	0.00798	7.4581	0.0063
MONTH	11	1	-0.0329	0.00812	16.4493	<.0001
MONTH	12	1	-0.0243	0.00813	8.9566	0.0028
TIME		1	-0.00005	2.59E-6	364.4686	<.0001
DAY	2	1	0.4535	0.00711	4070.7280	<.0001
DAY	3	1	0.4292	0.00702	3735.4029	<.0001
DAY	4	1	0.3992	0.00704	3218.6637	<.0001
DAY	5	1	0.4051	0.00702	3327.7332	<.0001
DAY	6	1	0.4472	0.00704	4034.4496	<.0001
DAY	7	1	0.0387	0.00778	24.7254	<.0001
FAGE		1	0.00425	0.000368	133.4603	<.0001
FEDUC	2	1	0.0958	0.0146	43.1282	<.0001
FEDUC	3	1	0.1274	0.0139	83.9268	<.0001
FEDUC	4	1	0.1020	0.0143	51.2512	<.0001
FEDUC	5	1	0.0885	0.0150	34.7974	<.0001
FEDUC	6	1	0.0700	0.0145	23.3229	<.0001

Analysis of Maximum Likelihood Estimates						
Parameter		DF	Estimate	Standard Error	Wald Chi-Square	Pr > ChiSq
FEDUC	7	1	0.0481	0.0153	9.8433	0.0017
FEDUC	8	1	0.00779	0.0169	0.2124	0.6449
FRACE	2	1	0.0362	0.00810	19.9994	<.0001
FRACE	3	1	-0.0560	0.0224	6.2299	0.0126
FRACE	4	1	-0.0600	0.0118	25.9522	<.0001
FRACE	5	1	-0.0580	0.0422	1.8862	0.1696
FRACE	6	1	-0.0471	0.0114	16.9347	<.0001
MAGE		1	0.0622	0.000491	16059.5820	<.0001
MEDUC	2	1	0.0719	0.0162	19.6377	<.0001
MEDUC	3	1	0.0270	0.0155	3.0328	0.0816
MEDUC	4	1	0.00725	0.0157	0.2120	0.6452
MEDUC	5	1	-0.0187	0.0163	1.3160	0.2513
MEDUC	6	1	-0.0460	0.0160	8.3086	0.0039
MEDUC	7	1	-0.0726	0.0165	19.3497	<.0001
MEDUC	8	1	-0.1070	0.0184	33.8944	<.0001
ICU	2	1	1.7064	0.0440	1502.6681	<.0001
MRACE	2	1	0.1391	0.00849	268.5994	<.0001
MRACE	3	1	-0.0154	0.0222	0.4816	0.4877
MRACE	4	1	0.0543	0.0113	23.2710	<.0001
MRACE	5	1	-0.0159	0.0438	0.1321	0.7163
MRACE	6	1	-0.0249	0.0113	4.8459	0.0277
M_HT_IN		1	-0.1037	0.00248	1746.2307	<.0001
NO_RISKS	1	1	-0.3826	0.00419	8344.9791	<.0001
PREVIS		1	0.00456	0.000425	115.1943	<.0001
PRIORDEAD		1	-0.0340	0.0104	10.7352	0.0011
PRIORLIVE		1	-0.5428	0.00192	80257.7178	<.0001
PREWEIGHT		1	0.00894	0.000471	361.1822	<.0001
CESAR_PREV		1	2.6328	0.00586	201910.019	<.0001
SEX	2	1	0.1712	0.00331	2676.0086	<.0001
WTGAIN		1	0.0173	0.000116	22347.4364	<.0001