

# A Powerful Active Attack on Supersingular Isogeny Diffie-Hellman (SIDH) Key Exchange

Ankit Kumar Misra    Aman Singh

CS 741: Advanced Network Security and Cryptography,  
Autumn 2022, IIT Bombay

December 5, 2022

# Introduction

- Supersingular Isogeny Key Exchange or SIKE is a proposed post-quantum cryptographic algorithm
- Smallest key sizes among its contenders
- Perfect forward secrecy

# Supersingular curves

- SIKE works in finite fields of the form  $\mathbb{F}_{p^2} = \mathbb{F}_p(i)$  with elements represented as  $u + vi$  where  $u, v \in \mathbb{F}_p$

## Definition

The curve  $E_\lambda : y^2 = x(x-1)(x-\lambda)$  is supersingular if and only if  $\lambda$  is a root of  $H_p(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{(p-1)/2} \binom{(p-1)/2}{i}^2 x^i$  such that  $\lambda \neq 0, 1$

- We are interested performing operations over j-invariants of supersingular elliptic curves in  $\mathbb{F}_{p^2}$

# j-invariant

## Definition

For a curve in Montgomery form  $E_a : y^2 = x^3 + ax^2 + x$ ,

$$j(E_a) = 256 \frac{(a^2 - 3)^3}{(a^2 - 4)}$$

- Isomorphic elliptic curves have equal j-invariant and curve with equal j-invariants are isomorphic
- Number of supersingular j-invariants in  $\mathbb{F}_{p^2}$  is approximately  $\lfloor p/12 \rfloor$

# Isogenies

- Isogenies are group homomorphisms on elliptic curves i.e they preserve the group structure. For an isogeny  $\phi : E \rightarrow E'$

$$\phi(A + B) = \phi(A) + \phi(B)$$

- Unlike isomorphisms, isogenies do not conserve the j-invariant
- The kernel  $\ker(\phi)$  of an isogeny contains the group elements that are mapped to the identity element  $\mathcal{O}$  under  $\phi$
- The order of an isogeny is the number of elements in its kernel

## Definition

The d-torsion isogeny is the multiplication-by-d map represented as  $[d] : E \rightarrow E'$  such that  $[d]P = dP$ .  $E[d]$  is the kernel of  $[d]$  in  $E$ .

# Isogeny graph

## Theorem

$$E[d] \cong \mathbb{Z}_d \times \mathbb{Z}_d$$

## Theorem

*If  $\phi : E \rightarrow E'$  is a separable isogeny of degree  $d$ ,  $\ker(\phi) \subseteq E[d]$*

- To proceed further, we would like to compute 2-isogeny and 3-isogeny graphs starting from a fixed curve  $E$
- A  $d$ -isogeny graph has vertices representing an equivalence class of elliptic curves with same  $j$ -invariant and an edge if there is an isogeny that connects them.
- Due to the above theorems,  $\langle P, Q \rangle = E[2]$  and there exist three 2-isogenies from each  $E$  with kernels  $\langle P \rangle, \langle Q \rangle, \langle P + Q \rangle$



# Composing isogenies

- To implement SIDH we would need to compute isogenies of degree  $2^d$  where  $d \approx 200$
- This is done by composing  $d$  2-isogenies
- We wish to compute  $\phi : E \rightarrow E/\langle S \rangle$  where  $S$  is of order  $2^d$
- As the first step, we calculate  $S' = [2^{d-1}]S$  which has order 2. Associated with  $S'$  is the isogeny  $\phi' : E \rightarrow E/\langle S' \rangle$  of degree 2 (i.e. a step in the 2-isogeny graph)
- Now,  $0 = \phi'(S') = \phi'(2^{d-1}S) = 2^{d-1}\phi'(S)$ . So,  $\phi'(S)$  is a point of order  $d - 1$  in  $E/\langle S' \rangle$
- By continuing this process, we can get an isogeny of degree  $2^d$  in  $d$  steps.

# SIDH protocol

- Prime  $p$  is chosen to be of the form  $2^{e_A}3^{e_B} - 1$  with  $2^{e_A} \approx 3^{e_B}$
- **Protocol parameters:**  $E, P_A, Q_A, P_B, Q_B$  where  $\langle P_A, Q_A \rangle = E[2^{e_A}]$  and  $\langle P_B, Q_B \rangle = E[3^{e_B}]$
- Alice and Bob choose secret integers  $k_A \in [0, 2^{e_A} - 1], k_B \in [0, 3^{e_B} - 1]$  and compute the isogeny  $\phi_A$  and  $\phi_B$  such that  $\ker(\phi_A) = \langle P_A + [k_A]Q_A \rangle, \ker(\phi_B) = \langle P_B + [k_B]Q_B \rangle$
- **Public keys:**  $PK_A = (\phi_A(E) = E_A, \phi_A(P_B), \phi_A(Q_B))$  and  $PK_B = (\phi_B(E) = E_B, \phi_B(P_A), \phi_B(Q_A))$
- **Shared secret:** Alice computes  $S_{BA} = \phi_B(P_A + [k_A]Q_A) = \phi_B(P_A) + [k_A]\phi_B(Q_A)$  and hence also  $E_{BA} = \phi_B(E)/\langle S_{BA} \rangle$ . Similarly, Bob calculates  $E_{AB}$



# How can we attack SIDH?

- Galbraith et al., 2016.
- If a party (say, Alice) does not change her private key  $k_A$ , her full private key can be recovered in  $\mathcal{O}(\log p)$  interactions.
- For the attack, interactions with Alice are modeled in terms of accessing an oracle  $O$ :
  - Input:  $E_B, \phi_B(P_A), \phi_B(Q_A), E_{AB}$ .
  - Output: 1 if the  $j$ -invariant of  $E_{AB}$  equals that of  $E_B / \langle \phi_B(P_A) + [k_A]\phi_B(Q_A) \rangle$ , and 0 otherwise.
- Validation checks in SIDH can prevent basic attacks.
  - Check that public key points  $\phi_B(P_A), \phi_B(Q_A)$  have full order of  $2^n$ , to prevent small subgroup attacks.
  - Weil pairing check for independence:
$$e_{2^n}(\phi_B(P_A), \phi_B(Q_A)) = e_{2^n}(P_A, Q_A)^{3^m}.$$

# Extracting the LSB of $k_A$

- For simplicity, let  $R = \phi_B(P_A)$  and  $S = \phi_B(Q_A)$ .
- Attacker queries the oracle on  $(E_B, R, S + [2^{n-1}]R, E_{AB})$ .
- Oracle returns 1 if and only if  $E_{AB}$  and  $E_B / \langle R + k_A(S + [2^{n-1}]R) \rangle$  are isomorphic, i.e.,  $\langle R + k_A(S + [2^{n-1}]R) \rangle = \langle R + k_AS \rangle$ .

## Lemma

*Let  $R, S \in E[2^n]$  be linearly independent points of order  $2^n$ , and  $k_A \in \mathbb{Z}_{2^n}$ . Then,  $\langle R + k_A(S + [2^{n-1}]R) \rangle = \langle R + k_AS \rangle$  if and only if  $k_A$  is even.*

# Extracting the LSB of $k_A$

## Lemma

Let  $R, S \in E[2^n]$  be linearly independent points of order  $2^n$ , and  $k_A \in \mathbb{Z}_{2^n}$ . Then,  $\langle R + [k_A](S + [2^{n-1}]R) \rangle = \langle R + [k_A]S \rangle$  if and only if  $k_A$  is even.

## Proof.

( $\implies$ ) Groups generated by  $R + [k_A](S + [2^{n-1}]R)$  and  $R + [k_A]S$  are equal, so there exists  $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}_{2^n}^*$  such that

$$\lambda(R + [k_A](S + [2^{n-1}]R)) = R + [k_A]S.$$

By linear independence of  $R$  and  $S$ , we have  $\lambda = 1$ , and thus  $[k_A][2^{n-1}]R = 0$ . Since  $R$  has order  $2^n$ ,  $k_A$  must be even.

( $\impliedby$ ) If  $k_A$  is even, then  $[k_A][2^{n-1}]R = 0$ . Hence proved. □ ↺ ↻ ↶ ↷

# Extracting the LSB of $k_A$

- The lemma just described implies that, for query  $(E_B, R, S + [2^{n-1}]R, E_{AB})$ , the oracle returns
  - 1 if and only if  $k_A$  is even.
  - 0 if and only if  $k_A$  is odd.
- LSB of  $k_A$  has been determined by a single oracle access.
  - $\text{LSB} = 1 - \text{oracle's response}$
- A similar strategy is adopted for the higher-order bits.

# Strategy to extract an arbitrary bit of $k_A$

- Let  $k_A = k_0 + 2^1 k_1 + \dots + 2^{n-1} k_{n-1} = \mathcal{K}_i + 2^i k_i + 2^{i+1} k'$ , where the  $i$  LSBs (given by  $\mathcal{K}_i$ ) are already known.
- The attacker queries  $(E_B, [a]R + [b]S, [c]R + [d]S, E_{AB})$  for appropriately chosen  $a, b, c, d$ .
- Conditions to be satisfied:
  - The oracle's response should reveal  $k_i$ .
  - Attack should pass undetected through order checking and Weil pairing validation checks.

# Designing the attacker's query

- More formally, the following have to be satisfied:
  - If  $k_i = 0$  then  $\langle [a + k_A c]R + [b + k_A d]S \rangle = \langle R + k_A S \rangle$ .
  - If  $k_i = 1$  then  $\langle [a + k_A c]R + [b + k_A d]S \rangle \neq \langle R + k_A S \rangle$ .
  - $[a]R + [b]S$  and  $[c]R + [d]S$  both have order  $2^n$ .
  - $e_{2^n}([a]R + [b]S, [c]R + [d]S) = e_{2^n}(R, S) = e_{2^n}(P_A, Q_A)^{3^m}$ .
- The first three are satisfied by

$$\begin{array}{ll} a = 1 & b = -2^{n-i-1}\mathcal{K}_i \\ c = 0 & d = 1 + 2^{n-i-1} \end{array}$$

- Thus,  $R' = [\theta](R - [2^{n-i-1}\mathcal{K}_i]S)$  and  $S' = [\theta][1 + 2^{n-i-1}]S$ .

# Designing the attacker's query

- For the last condition, we need
$$e_{2^n}(R', S') = e_{2^n}(R, S)^{\theta^2(1+2^{n-i-1})} = e_{2^n}(R, S).$$
- So we use  $\theta = \sqrt{(1 + 2^{n-i-1})^{-1}} \pmod{2^n}$ .
- This square root can be shown to exist for  $n - i - 1 \geq 3$ , i.e.,  $i \leq n - 4$ .
- Oracle queries are used for  $i = 0, 1, \dots, n - 4$ , followed by brute force search over all 8 possibilities for  $i = n - 3, n - 2, n - 1$ , checking whether  $E/\langle R + k_A S \rangle$  equals  $E_A$  in each case.

# Implementation

- We implemented SIDH and the attack in Sage, and verified their working for small values of  $e_A$  and  $e_B$ .
- Code available at <https://github.com/ankitkmisra/SIDH>.



# References

- 1 Galbraith et al., *On the Security of Supersingular Isogeny Cryptosystems*, Asiacrypt 2016.
- 2 PQCRYPTO's *Summer School on Post-Quantum Cryptography* in Eindhoven, 2017.
- 3 Craig Costello, *Supersingular Isogeny Key Exchange for Beginners*, Selected Areas in Cryptography (SAC) 2019.
- 4 William Borgeaud's blog post on *Isogeny-Based Crypto Part 1: The SIDH Protocol*, 2020.
- 5 Sage documentation.