

CEC OPEN PROJECT 2025

# Project Report

Automated Pavement  
Response Analysis Using  
IITPAVE



Prepared by  
Ankit Lal



# Table of Contents

- 01 Project Summary**
- 02 Objective**
- 03 Methodology**
- 04 Important Considerations**
- 05 Assumptions**
- 06 Automation Key steps**
- 07 Output Structure**
- 08 Manual input case**
- 09 Steps to Automate the system**
- 10 Conclusion**

# Project Summary

This project presents a Python-based automation pipeline to evaluate pavement response using IITPAVE as per IRC:37-2018. The script generates multiple input cases by varying bituminous layer modulus ( $E_1$ ), DBM modulus ( $E_2$ ), and BC thickness ( $h_1$ ), while keeping other parameters fixed as per IRC recommendations. For each combination, the tool extracts critical stress and strain responses at two depths ( $h_1$  and  $h_1/2$ ) and stores the results in a structured CSV file. Special care was taken to comply with IRC standards notably while storing the values. The output enables detailed mechanistic analysis and supports comparative pavement design studies at scale.

## Objective

To develop a Python-based automation pipeline for generating and analyzing pavement layer responses using the IITPAVE software. The aim is to study the impact of varying bituminous layer modulus and thickness on stresses and strains and to complete the table provided in the problem statement.

### Tools used:

IITPAVE software and Python (with csv, os, subprocess, re)

# Methodology

This project follows a systematic approach to automate the process of generating input cases, running IITPAVE, and extracting critical pavement response values for analysis. The methodology is divided into three key components: parameter variation, file I/O automation, and output parsing.

## Parameter Variation:

The analysis focuses on understanding how variations in the bituminous concrete (BC) layer properties influence pavement performance. Three key parameters were varied:

- E1: Modulus of the BC layer (2000 to 4000 MPa)
- E2: Modulus of the DBM layer (300 to 5000 MPa)
- h1: Thickness of the BC layer (40 mm to 150 mm)

All other parameters — such as Poisson's ratio (0.35 for all layers), DBM thickness (100 mm), granular thickness (450 mm), subgrade modulus (76.8 MPa), wheel load (20000 N), and tyre pressure (0.56 MPa) — were kept constant as required by the problem statement.

## Input File Generation and Execution:

For each combination, the script dynamically creates an IITPAVE.IN file in the format accepted by the software. This input file contains:

- Number of layers and their moduli
- Poisson's ratios
- Layer thicknesses
- Wheel load and tyre pressure
- Number of points of analysis: 2 points per case
  - At depth = h1 (bottom of BC)
  - At depth = h1/2 (mid-depth of BC)
  - At radial distance = 0 mm for both

After the input file is written, the script triggers IITPAVE.exe via Python's subprocess.run() to execute the analysis and generate an output file IITPAVE.OUT.

## Output Parsing and Data Extraction:

The script then reads the output file and parses it line-by-line. It extracts numerical values using regular expressions, but only retains values at radial distance = 0 mm and depth = h1 or h1/2.

The extracted stress and strain values — including vertical stress ( $\sigma_z$ ), tangential and radial stresses ( $\sigma_t$ ,  $\sigma_r$ ), shear stress ( $\tau_{rz}$ ), and the corresponding strains ( $\epsilon_z$ ,  $\epsilon_t$ ,  $\epsilon_r$ ) — are stored for both depths and written into a structured CSV file (automated\_iitpave\_response.csv).

# Some Important Considerations

## Radial Distance:

In this study, the critical horizontal tensile strain ( $\epsilon_t$ ) at the bottom and mid-depth of the bituminous concrete (BC) layer has been evaluated at a radial distance of 0 mm from the center of the applied wheel load. This follows standard design practice as observed in IRC:37-2018. Although IRC examples also consider  $r = 155$  mm (midpoint between dual wheels) to capture conservative effects due to stress bulb overlap, such cases are usually relevant for deeper layers like the subgrade. For the BC layer specifically,  $\epsilon_t$  is observed to be consistently higher at  $r = 0$  mm in most configurations. Therefore, limiting the strain and other evaluations to  $r = 0$  mm is both technically sound and practical.

## Relevant rows:

No. of layers	4		
E values (MPa)	2000.00 300		
Mu values	0.350.350		
thicknesses (mm)	40.00 100		
single wheel load (N)	20000.00		
tyre pressure (MPa)	0.56		
Dual Wheel			
Z	R	SigmaZ	SigmaR
40.00	0.00-0.4739E+00	0.4745E+00	
40.00L	0.00-0.4739E+00	-0.1457E+00	
20.00	0.00-0.5303E+00	-0.4969E+00	

As per IRC:37-2018, page 67:

For interface depths, IITPAVE provides two sets of results — one with layer-above properties and another with layer-below properties. The second set (layer-below) is suffixed with L. For computing horizontal tensile strain ( $\epsilon_t$ ) in the BC layer, we must use results corresponding to the layer above the interface — i.e., the row without the L suffix.

Hence:

- For bituminous layers, only values from the first set (without suffix L) are meaningful.
- Values with 'L' are relevant only for subgrade strains or other bottom layer evaluations.

## Assumptions:

The wheel load and tyre pressure were chosen based on standard recommendations in IRC:37-2018. A single wheel load of 20000 N (representing a standard axle load of 80 kN) and a tyre pressure of 0.56 MPa were assumed. These values are widely used in design examples. Poisson's ratio of 0.35 has also been taken for all the layers following IRC:37-2018.

# Automation Code (Steps)

## Importing Required Modules

```
1 import os
2 import subprocess
3 import re
4 import csv
```

## Defining Parameters and Paths

```
6 # Constants
7 E3, E4 = 240.2, 76.8
8 h2, h3 = 100, 450
9 poisson_ratio = 0.35
10 tyre_pressure = 0.56
11 wheel_load = 20000
12
13 # Parameter sets
14 E1_list = [2000, 2500, 3000, 3500, 4000]
15 E2_list = [300, 500, 1000, 1500, 2000, 2500, 3000, 3500, 4000, 4500, 5000]
16 h1_list = [40.0, 50.0, 60.0, 70.0, 80.0, 90.0, 100.0, 110.0, 120.0, 130.0, 140.0, 150.0]
17
18 # Paths
19 base = r"C:\Users\Rajeshwar Lal\Desktop\IITPAVE\IRC_37_IITPAVE\IRC_37_IITPAVE\IRC_37_IITPAVE"
20 exe = os.path.join(base, "IITPAVE.exe")
21 inp = os.path.join(base, "IITPAVE.IN")
22 out = os.path.join(base, "IITPAVE.OUT")
23 csv_path = os.path.join(base, "automated_iitpave_response.csv")
```

## CSV file structure and initialization

```
25 # Open CSV writer
26 with open(csv_path, "w", newline="") as file:
27     writer = csv.writer(file)
28     writer.writerow([
29         "E1", "E2", "E1/E2", "h1",
30         "sigz_h1", "sigt_h1", "sigr_h1", "tau_h1", "epz_h1", "ept_h1", "epr_h1", "h1/2",
31         "sigz_h1/2", "sigt_h1/2", "sigr_h1/2", "tau_h1/2", "epz_h1/2", "ept_h1/2", "epr_h1/2"
32     ])
33
```

# Automation Code (Steps)

## Generating Input and Running IITPAVE

```
34     for E2 in E2_list:
35         for E1 in E1_list:
36             for h1 in h1_list:
37                 with open(inp, "w") as f:
38                     f.write("4\n")
39                     f.write(f"{E1} {E2} {E3} {E4}\n")
40                     f.write(f"[{poisson_ratio}] {poisson_ratio} {poisson_ratio}\n")
41                     f.write(f"{h1} {h2} {h3}\n")
42                     f.write(f"{wheel_load} {tyre_pressure}\n")
43                     f.write("2\n")
44                     f.write(f"{h1} 0\n{h1/2} 0\n")
45                     f.write("2\n")
46
47     subprocess.run([exe], cwd=base, check=True)
```

## Parsing IITPAVE Output File

```
49     values = {"h1": {}, "h1/2": {}}
50     with open(out, "r") as f:
51         for line in f:
52             tokens = line.strip().split()
53             if not tokens or 'L' in tokens[0]:
54                 continue
55             nums = re.findall(r'[-+]?[d*\.]\d+(?:[Ee][-+]?[d+]?)', line)
56             if len(nums) >= 10:
57                 z, r = float(nums[0]), float(nums[1])
58                 if r != 0:
59                     continue
60                 data = dict(zip(
61                     ["sigz", "sigt", "sign", "tau", "epz", "ept", "epr"],
62                     [nums[i] for i in [2, 3, 4, 5, 7, 8, 9]]
63                 ))
64                 if abs(z - h1) < 0.5 and not values["h1"]:
65                     values["h1"] = data
66                 elif abs(z - h1 / 2) < 0.5 and not values["h1/2"]:
67                     values["h1/2"] = data
```

## Storing Valid Results to CSV

```
69     if values["h1"] and values["h1/2"]:
70         writer.writerow([
71             E1, E2, round(E1 / E2, 2), h1,
72             *[values["h1"].get(k, "") for k in ["sigz", "sigt", "sign", "tau", "epz", "ept", "epr"]],
73             h1 / 2,
74             *[values["h1/2"].get(k, "") for k in ["sigz", "sigt", "sign", "tau", "epz", "ept", "epr"]]
75         ])
76
```

# Automation Code

IITPAVE\_automation.py

IITPAVE.IN

IITPAVE.IN

1

4

2

2500 2500 240.2 76.8

3

0.35 0.35 0.35 0.35

4

120.0 100 450

5

20000 0.56

6

2

7

120.0 0

8

60.0 0

9

2

IITPAVE\_automation.py

IITPAVE.IN

IITPAVE.IN

1

4

2

3000 1500 240.2 76.8

3

0.35 0.35 0.35 0.35

4

40.0 100 450

5

20000 0.56

6

2

7

40.0 0

8

20.0 0

9

2

The code dynamically generates a new IITPAVE.IN file for each combination of E1, E2, and h1 values by writing layer moduli, Poisson's ratios, thicknesses, and loading conditions in the format expected by IITPAVE. The points of analysis — specifically at depths h1 and h1/2, both at radial distance 0 mm — are inserted into the file in each loop. This automation allows the input file to be updated case-by-case without manual effort.

*The attached screenshot demonstrates how the input file is updated with correct values for each case during iteration.*

IITPAVE\_automation.py

IITPAVE.IN

iitpave.out

iitpave.out

1

No. of layers

4

2

E values (MPa)

4000.00 5000.00 240.20 76.80

3

Mu values

0.350.350.350.35

4

thicknesses (mm)

150.00 100.00 450.00

5

single wheel load (N)

20000.00

6

tyre pressure (MPa)

0.56

7

Dual Wheel

8

Z

R

SigmaZ

SigmaT

SigmaR

TaoRZ

DispZ

epZ

epT

epR

9

150.00

0.00-0.2042E+00

0.4433E-02-0.1163E-01-0.4470E-01

0.2427E+00-0.5043E-04

0.2000E-04

0.145

10

150.00L

0.00-0.2042E+00

0.3303E-01

0.1296E-01-0.4470E-01

0.2427E+00-0.4406E-04

0.1999E-04

0.145

11

75.00

0.00-0.4380E+00-0.2263E+00-0.2191E+00-0.3236E-01

0.2473E+00-0.7052E-04

0.9222E-06

0.334

IITPAVE\_automation.py

IITPAVE.IN

iitpave.out

iitpave.out

1

No. of layers

4

2

E values (MPa)

4000.00 5000.00 240.20 76.80

3

Mu values

0.350.350.350.35

4

thicknesses (mm)

150.00 100.00 450.00

5

single wheel load (N)

20000.00

6

tyre pressure (MPa)

0.56

7

Dual Wheel

8

Z

R

SigmaZ

SigmaT

SigmaR

TaoRZ

DispZ

epZ

epT

epR

9

150.00

0.00-0.2042E+00

0.4433E-02-0.1163E-01-0.4470E-01

0.2427E+00-0.5043E-04

0.2000E-04

0.145

10

150.00L

0.00-0.2042E+00

0.3303E-01

0.1296E-01-0.4470E-01

0.2427E+00-0.4406E-04

0.1999E-04

0.145

11

75.00

0.00-0.4380E+00-0.2263E+00-0.2191E+00-0.3236E-01

0.2473E+00-0.7052E-04

0.9222E-06

0.334

For every new combination of E1, E2, and h1, the code first creates an updated IITPAVE.IN file and then runs the IITPAVE executable. Upon execution, IITPAVE automatically generates a fresh IITPAVE.OUT file, overwriting the previous one. This output contains the calculated stress and strain values at the specified depths and radial distances for that particular case.

*The attached screenshot illustrates how IITPAVE.OUT gets regenerated each time with new values corresponding to the input just written, showing different depths (Z), radial locations (R), and associated response parameters.*



# CSV output structure

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	O	P	Q	R	S
1	E1	E2	E1/E2	h1	sigz h1	sigt h1	sigr h1	tau h1	epz h1	ept h1	epr h1	h1/2	sigz h1/2	sigt h1/2	sigr h1/2	tau h1/2	epz h1/2	ept h1/2	epr h1/2

The final CSV file automated\_iitpave\_response.csv stores the critical stress and strain responses at two depths within the bituminous concrete (BC) layer: the bottom (h1) and the mid-depth (h1/2). For each combination of E1, E2, and h1, the following variables are recorded:

General Parameters:

- E1 – Bituminous layer modulus (MPa)
- E2 – DBM layer modulus (MPa)
- E1/E2 – Modulus ratio
- h1 – Bituminous layer thickness (mm)
- h1/2 – Mid-depth of the BC layer (mm)

#	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	O	P	Q	R	S
1	E1	E2	E1/E2	h1	sigz h1	sigt h1	sigr h1	tau h1	epz h1	ept h1	epr h1	h1/2	sigz h1/2	sigt h1/2	sigr h1/2	tau h1/2	epz h1/2	ept h1/2	epr h1/2
2	2000	300	6.67	40	-4.74E-01	4.75E-01	4.33E-01	-1.02E-02	3.96E-04	2.65E-04	2.16E-04	20	5.30E-01	-4.97E-01	-4.63E-01	1.34E-04	9.72E-05	-7.87E-05	-5.16E-05
3	2000	300	6.67	50	-4.16E-01	5.94E-01	5.23E-01	-1.25E-02	4.03E-04	2.78E-04	2.30E-04	25	5.04E-01	-4.13E-01	-3.87E-01	1.72E-04	1.12E-04	-5.05E-05	-3.31E-05
4	2000	300	6.67	60	-3.63E-01	6.40E-01	5.47E-01	-1.48E-02	3.89E-04	2.88E-04	2.25E-04	30	4.80E-01	-3.50E-01	-3.31E-01	1.52E-03	1.21E-04	-3.32E-05	-2.03E-05
5	2000	300	6.67	70	-3.20E-01	6.50E-01	5.44E-01	-1.68E-02	3.69E-04	2.86E-04	2.14E-04	35	4.61E-01	-3.01E-01	-2.88E-01	1.64E-03	1.27E-04	-1.95E-05	-1.06E-05
6	2000	300	6.67	80	-2.83E-01	6.39E-01	5.24E-01	-1.83E-02	3.45E-04	2.78E-04	1.99E-04	40	4.46E-01	-2.61E-01	-2.54E-01	1.42E-03	1.32E-04	-9.00E-06	-3.05E-06
7	2000	300	6.67	90	-2.52E-01	6.17E-01	4.98E-01	-1.93E-02	3.21E-04	2.66E-04	1.85E-04	45	4.35E-01	-2.31E-01	-2.26E-01	1.34E-02	1.38E-04	1.96E-07	3.71E-06
8	2000	300	6.67	100	-2.26E-01	5.91E-01	4.71E-01	-1.98E-02	2.99E-04	2.53E-04	1.72E-04	50	4.25E-01	-2.04E-01	-2.02E-01	1.71E-02	1.42E-04	7.94E-06	9.13E-06
9	2000	300	6.67	110	-2.04E-01	5.61E-01	4.45E-01	-2.00E-02	2.78E-04	2.39E-04	1.60E-04	55	4.16E-01	-1.80E-01	-1.81E-01	1.65E-02	1.45E-04	1.45E-05	1.87E-05
10	2000	300	6.67	120	-1.85E-01	5.34E-01	4.20E-01	-1.99E-02	2.59E-04	2.26E-04	1.49E-04	60	4.09E-01	-1.60E-01	-1.63E-01	1.34E-02	1.48E-04	2.01E-05	1.82E-05
11	2000	300	6.67	130	-1.68E-01	5.05E-01	3.96E-01	-1.96E-02	2.42E-04	2.13E-04	1.39E-04	65	4.01E-01	-1.41E-01	-1.46E-01	1.25E-02	1.50E-04	2.51E-05	2.19E-05
12	2000	300	6.67	140	-1.53E-01	4.77E-01	3.73E-01	-1.91E-02	2.26E-04	2.00E-04	1.30E-04	70	3.93E-01	-1.25E-01	-1.31E-01	1.79E-02	1.52E-04	2.91E-05	2.52E-05
13	2000	300	6.67	150	-1.40E-01	4.51E-01	3.51E-01	-1.86E-02	2.10E-04	1.89E-04	1.21E-04	75	3.84E-01	-1.11E-01	-1.18E-01	1.96E-02	1.52E-04	3.25E-05	2.75E-05
14	2500	300	8.33	40	-4.58E-01	6.81E-01	6.19E-01	-1.11E-02	3.65E-04	2.50E-04	2.17E-04	20	5.22E-01	-5.02E-01	-4.67E-01	1.46E-05	7.33E-05	-6.24E-05	-4.32E-05
15	2500	300	8.33	50	-3.96E-01	7.89E-01	6.93E-01	-1.35E-02	3.66E-04	2.34E-04	2.02E-04	25	4.84E-01	-4.13E-01	-3.87E-01	1.54E-04	8.55E-05	-4.19E-05	-2.78E-05
16	2500	300	8.33	60	-3.42E-01	8.17E-01	6.97E-01	-1.59E-02	3.48E-04	2.77E-04	2.12E-04	30	4.68E-01	-3.48E-01	-3.26E-01	1.31E-03	9.25E-05	-2.75E-05	-1.73E-05

## Snap of Output CSV file

At depth h1:

- sigz\_h1 – Vertical stress
- sigt\_h1 – Tangential stress
- sigr\_h1 – Radial stress
- tau\_h1 – Shear stress ( $\tau_{rz}$ )
- epz\_h1 – Vertical strain
- ept\_h1 – Tangential (horizontal tensile) strain
- epr\_h1 – Radial strain

At depth h1/2:

- sigz\_h1/2 – Vertical stress
- sigt\_h1/2 – Tangential stress
- sigr\_h1/2 – Radial stress
- tau\_h1/2 – Shear stress ( $\tau_{rz}$ )
- epz\_h1/2 – Vertical strain
- ept\_h1/2 – Tangential (horizontal tensile) strain
- epr\_h1/2 – Radial strain

# Manual input Case

No of Layers 4 HOME

Layer: 1 Elastic Modulus(MPa) 2000 Poisson's Ratio 0.35 Thickness(mm) 40

Layer: 2 Elastic Modulus(MPa) 300 Poisson's Ratio 0.35 Thickness(mm) 100

Layer: 3 Elastic Modulus(MPa) 240.2 Poisson's Ratio 0.35 Thickness(mm) 450

Layer: 4 Elastic Modulus(MPa) 76.8 Poisson's Ratio 0.35

Wheel Load(Newton) 20000 Tyre Pressure(MPa) 0.56

Analysis Points 2

Point:1 Depth(mm): 40 Radial Distance(mm): 0

Point:2 Depth(mm): 20 Radial Distance(mm): 0

Wheel Set 2 (1- Single wheel  
2- Dual wheel)

Sub...

Reset

RUN

Press submit first

No of Layers 4 HOME

Layer: 1 Elastic Modulus(MPa) 2000 Poisson's Ratio 0.35 Thickness(mm) 40

Layer: 2 Elastic Modulus(MPa) 300 Poisson's Ratio 0.35 Thickness(mm) 100

Layer: 3 Elastic Modulus(MPa) 240.2 Poisson's Ratio 0.35 Thickness(mm) 450

Layer: 4 Elastic Modulus(MPa) 76.8 Poisson's Ratio 0.35

Wheel Load(Newton) 20000 Tyre Pressure(MPa) 0.56

Analysis Points 2

Point:1 Depth(mm): 40 Radial Distance(mm): 0

Point:2 Depth(mm): 20 Radial Distance(mm): 0

Wheel Set 2 (1- Single wheel  
2- Dual wheel)

Sub...

Reset

RUN

Press run then

Values are entered in GUI interface of the software. Once submitted and run, output is displayed.

OUTPUT(hence verified from the snap above)-->

VIEW RESULTS

OPEN FILE IN EDITOR

VIEW HERE

BACK TO EDIT

HOME

No. of layers	4								
E values (MPa)	2000.00 300.00 240.20 76.80								
Mu values	0.350.350.350.35								
thicknesses (mm)	40.00 100.00 450.00								
single wheel load (N)	20000.00								
tyre pressure (MPa)	0.56								
Dual Wheel									
Z	R	SigmaZ	SigmaT	SigmaR	TauRZ	DispZ	epZ	ePT	ePR
40.00	0.00	-0.4739E+00	0.4745E+00	0.4325E+00	-0.1021E-01	0.5424E+00	-0.3957E-03	0.2449E+03	0.2161E+03
40.00L	0.00	-0.4739E+00	0.4457E+00	0.1521E+00	-0.1021E-01	0.5424E+00	-0.1232E-02	0.2449E+03	0.2161E+03
20.00	0.00	-0.5303E+00	0.4969E+00	0.4627E+00	-0.7340E-03	0.5475E+00	-0.9719E-04	0.7470E+04	0.5161E+04

# Steps to Operate the System

1. Place IITPAVE.exe and other files in the same folder as your script.
2. Run the Python file using any Python environment (IITPAVE\_automation.py). Make sure to change the path of the file in the script.
3. The script will automatically:
  - Make changes in IITPAVE.IN
  - Execute IITPAVE.exe
  - Read IITPAVE.OUT
  - Append results to automated\_iitpave\_response.csv
4. The process loops over all E1, E2, h1 combinations and saves the full dataset in the final CSV.

GitHub link for code: [https://github.com/ankitlal-iitr/IITPAVE\\_automation.git](https://github.com/ankitlal-iitr/IITPAVE_automation.git)

## Conclusion

Through this project, I developed a clear understanding of pavement response evaluation and gained hands-on experience with using IITPAVE for mechanistic analysis. I also familiarized myself with key concepts from IRC:37-2018, such as critical strain locations and the importance of evaluating tensile strains at the bottom of the bituminous layer for design purposes. By writing a Python script to automate input generation, execution, and output extraction, I was able to study how variations in bituminous layer properties affect pavement performance. The final CSV output allows for easy comparison and further analysis. Overall, this project helped me strengthen both my technical coding skills and my understanding of pavement design principles.