

Assignment-19

Ankur Aditya - EE20RESCH11010

Abstract—This document contains the problem related to Elementary canonical forms (Hoffman Page-206, Section 6.4, Q-13)

Download the latex-file from

<https://github.com/ankuraditya13/EE5609-Assignment19>

1 PROBLEM

Let \mathbf{V} be the space of $n \times n$ matrices over \mathbf{F} . Let \mathbf{A} be a fixed $n \times n$ matrix over \mathbf{F} . Let \mathbf{T} and \mathbf{U} be the linear operators on \mathbf{V} defined by

$$\mathbf{T}(\mathbf{B}) = \mathbf{AB} \quad (1.0.1)$$

$$\mathbf{U}(\mathbf{B}) = \mathbf{AB} - \mathbf{BA} \quad (1.0.2)$$

- (a) True or False? If \mathbf{A} is diagonalizable (over \mathbf{F}), then \mathbf{T} is diagonalizable.
- (b) True or False? If \mathbf{A} is diagonalizable, then \mathbf{U} is diagonalizable.

2 THEOREMS

2.1 Theorem 1

Let λ be a characteristic values of \mathbf{T} and $\lambda \in \mathbf{F}$ and \mathbf{v} is the corresponding characteristic vector which is a $n \times n$ matrix, then if \mathbf{T} is a linear operator on finite dimensional space \mathbf{V} , it must be $|\mathbf{T} - \lambda\mathbf{I}| = 0$ and for $(\mathbf{T} - \lambda\mathbf{I})\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v} \neq 0$.

3 SOLUTIONS

- (a) Using theorem-1,

$$\mathbf{T}\mathbf{v} = \lambda\mathbf{v} \quad (3.0.1)$$

Now,

$$\because \mathbf{T}\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{Av} \quad (3.0.2)$$

$$\implies \mathbf{Av} = \lambda\mathbf{v} \quad (3.0.3)$$

$$\implies (\mathbf{A} - \lambda\mathbf{I})\mathbf{v} = 0 \quad (3.0.4)$$

Hence from above equation it is evident that \mathbf{A} has the same characteristic values λ as \mathbf{T} .

Hence it can be concluded that if \mathbf{A} is diagonalizable (over \mathbf{F}) then \mathbf{T} is diagonalizable, as \mathbf{A} is similar to \mathbf{T} .

- (b) Using Theorem-1,

$$\mathbf{UB} = \lambda_1\mathbf{B} \quad (3.0.5)$$

$$\implies \mathbf{AB} - \mathbf{BA} = \lambda_1\mathbf{B} \quad (3.0.6)$$

$$\implies (\mathbf{A} - \lambda_1\mathbf{I})\mathbf{B} = \mathbf{BA} \quad (3.0.7)$$

Hence clearly $\mathbf{A} - \lambda_1\mathbf{I}$ is not in null-space as,

$$|\mathbf{A} - \lambda_1\mathbf{I}| \neq 0 \quad (3.0.8)$$

Hence, \mathbf{U} and \mathbf{T} are not similar, hence statement that if \mathbf{A} is diagonalizable then \mathbf{U} is diagonalizable is **False**