

Module II

Algorithm:- An algorithm is a finite set of precise instructions for performing a computation or for solving a problem.

Division algorithm (one of the most important theorems in number theory).

Let a and b are any 2 integers, $b > 0$. Then there exists unique integers q and r such that

$$a = bq + r, \quad 0 \leq r < b$$

Proof:- Consider the infinite sequence of multiples of b , namely

$$-2b, -b, 0, b, 2b, \dots, qb, \dots$$

Clearly a is equal to one of the multiples of b say bq in the sequence or a lies between two consecutive multiples say bq and $b(q+1)$. In either case we have $bq \leq a < b(q+1)$ for some q .

$$bq \leq a < b(q+1)$$

$$\text{or } 0 \leq a - bq < b$$

Let us take $a = bq + r$, then we have,

$$a = bq + (a - bq) = bq + r, \quad 0 \leq r < b.$$

This proves the existence of two integers ~~a and b~~ q and r .

To Prove the uniqueness of q & r . Suppose they are not unique. Then it follows that

$$a = bq + r, \quad 0 \leq r < b$$

$$a = bq_1 + r_1, \quad 0 \leq r_1 < b$$

for some integers q, r, q_1, r_1 .

(i)

$$m \cdot bq + r = bq_1 + r_1$$

$$m \cdot bq - bq_1 = r_1 - r$$

$$b(q - q_1) = r_1 - r$$

Hence b divides $r_1 - r$ which is a contradiction since both r and r_1 are positive and less than b . Hence $r = r_1$. Also $q = q_1$.

Therefore q and r are unique

Note: bq is the largest multiple of b , which does not exceed a , r is called the least remainder of a , when divided by b and q is called the quotient.

If $r = 0$, then $a = bq$, and hence a is a multiple of b .

eg. let $a = 23$; $b = 5$, then $23 = 5 \times 4 + 3$, $0 < 3 < 5$

Hence 5×4 is the largest multiple of 5 , which does not exceed 23 .

3 is the remainder of 23 when divided by 5 ; 4 is the quotient.

Note: - For integers a, b, c it is true that

(1) If a/b and a/c then $a/(b+c)$

eg. $3/6$ and $3/9$ then $3/15$.

(2) If a/b then a/bc for all integers c .

eg. $5/10$ so $5/20, 5/30, 5/40 \dots$

(3) If a/b and b/c then a/c

eg. $4/8$ and $8/24$ then $4/24$.

(2)

Primes

A positive integer p greater than 1 is called prime if the only positive factors of p are 1 & p .

A positive integer p greater than 1 and is not prime is called Composite.

Greatest Common Divisor (gcd)

Let a & b be integers. An integer $d (\neq 0)$ is said to be common divisor of a and b if $d|a$ and $d|b$ (i.e. d divides both a & b)

eg: ± 5 is the common divisor of 20 and 30.

If d is a common divisor of a and b , which is a multiple of every other common divisor of a & b (i.e. the largest of all

common divisors of a & b). Then d is called the greatest common divisor of a and b , it is denoted by $\gcd(a, b)$.

eg: The divisors of 12 are $\pm 1, \pm 2, \pm 3, \pm 4, \pm 6, \pm 12$

The divisors of 16 are $\pm 1, \pm 2, \pm 4, \pm 8, \pm 16$.

The common divisors of 12 & 16 are $\pm 1, \pm 2, \pm 4$. Hence the greatest common divisor is 4.

$$\text{Thus } \gcd(12, 16) = 4.$$

If $\gcd(a, b) = 1$, then a & b are said to be relatively prime.

eg: $\gcd(-2, 9) = 1$, so -2 & 9 are relatively prime.

If $\gcd(a, b) = 1$, then a & b are said to be coprime, or by saying that a is prime to b .

If $\gcd(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) = 1$, then a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n are relatively prime.

We say that a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n are relatively prime in pairs in case $\gcd(a_i, a_j) = 1$ for all $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ and $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$ with $i \neq j$.

eg: The integers 21, 85, 92, 143 are relatively prime in pairs since,

$$\gcd(21, 85) = 1$$

$$\gcd(21, 92) = 1$$

$$\gcd(21, 143) = 1$$

$$\gcd(85, 92) = 1$$

$$\gcd(85, 143) = 1$$

$$\gcd(92, 143) = 1$$

The greatest common divisor (\gcd) is also called the highest common factor (h.c.f.)

Theorem — The \gcd is unique

Proof — Let a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k be the integers and let d_1 and d_2 be their two \gcd . Then d_1 divides a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k and d_2 is their \gcd . It follows that d_1 divides d_2 . Conversely it can be shown that in a similar manner that d_2 divides d_1 . This implies $d_1 = d_2$; i.e. the \gcd is unique.

The Euclidean Algorithm for Computation of GCD.

This is an efficient algorithm for finding the GCD.

Statement

If a and b are any two integers ($a > b$), if r_1 is the remainder when a is divided by b , r_2 is the remainder when b is divided by r_1 , r_3 is the remainder when r_1 is divided by r_2 and so on and if $r_{k+1} = 0$, then the last non-zero remainder r_k is the $\text{gcd}(a, b)$.

Proof

When $a = qb + r$, where a, b, q and r are integers, we will first prove that

$$\text{gcd}(a, b) = \text{gcd}(b, r)$$

$$\text{Let } d_1 = \text{gcd}(a, b) \quad \text{--- (1)}$$

$$\text{and } d_2 = \text{gcd}(b, r) \quad \text{--- (2)}$$

Now, by (2), $d_2 \mid b$ and $d_2 \mid r$

$$\therefore d_2 \mid qb + r \text{ i.e. } d_2 \mid a$$

Thus d_2 is a common divisor of a and b

Since d_1 is the gcd of (a, b) we have

$$d_2 \leq d_1. \quad \text{--- (3)}$$

Now by (1), $d_1 \mid a$ and $d_1 \mid b$

$$\text{i.e. } d_1 \mid (a - qb) \text{ i.e. } d_1 \mid r$$

$\therefore d_1$ is a common divisor of b & r

Since $d_2 = \text{gcd}(b, r)$ we have $d_1 \leq d_2$ --- (4)

From (3) & (4) it follows that $d_1 = d_2$

∴ $\gcd(a, b) = \gcd(b, r_1)$ when $a = qb + r_1$ (5)

Now, since r_1 is the remainder when a is divided by b , we have $a = q_1 b + r_1$,

$$0 \leq r_1 < b.$$

Similarly by the given data,

$$b = q_2 r_1 + r_2, \quad 0 \leq r_2 < r_1,$$

$$r_1 = q_3 r_2 + r_3 \quad 0 \leq r_3 < r_2$$

$$r_{k-2} = q_k r_{k-1} + r_k \quad 0 \leq r_k < r_{k-1}$$

$$r_{k-1} = q_{k+1} r_k + r_{k+1} \quad 0 \leq r_{k+1} < r_k.$$

and since r_1, r_2, r_3, \dots form a decreasing set of non-negative integers, there must exist an r_{k+1} equal to zero.

Now by (5) proved above,

$$\begin{aligned} \gcd(a, b) &= \gcd(b, r_1) = \gcd(r_1, r_2) = \dots \\ &= \gcd(r_{k-1}, r_k) = \gcd(r_k, 0) = r_k \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\gcd(a, b) = r_k$, which is the last non-zero remainder.

ex: find the $\gcd(1575, 231)$ by using Euclidean algorithm.

$$1575 = 6 \times 231 + 189$$

$$231 = 1 \times 189 + 42$$

$$189 = 4 \times 42 + 21$$

$$42 = 2 \times 21 + 0.$$

∴ the last non-zero remainder is 21.

$$\begin{array}{r} 4 \\ 42 \overline{) 189} \\ \underline{168} \\ 21 \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{r} 6 \\ 231 \overline{) 1575} \\ \underline{1386} \\ 189 \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{r} 1 \\ 189 \overline{) 231} \\ \underline{189} \\ 42 \end{array}$$

$$\gcd(1575, 231) = 21$$

Note - $\gcd(a, b)$ can be expressed as an integral linear combination of a & b
 $\text{i.e. } \gcd(a, b) = ma + nb$, where m & n are integers.

Prob ① Find the gcd of 2406 and 654

By applying division algorithm repeatedly

$$2406 = 3 \times 654 + 444$$

$$654 = 1 \times 444 + 210$$

$$444 = 2 \times 210 + 24$$

$$210 = 8 \times 24 + 18$$

$$24 = 1 \times 18 + 6$$

$$18 = 3 \times 6 + 0$$

Since the last non zero remainder is 6,

$$\gcd(2406, 654) = \underline{\underline{6}}$$

Prob ② Find the gcd (12378, 3054)

$$12378 = 4 \times 3054 + 162$$

$$3054 = 18 \times 162 + 138$$

$$162 = 1 \times 138 + 24$$

$$138 = 5 \times 24 + 18$$

$$24 = 1 \times 18 + 6$$

$$18 = 3 \times 6 + 0$$

(7)

The last non-zero integer is 6.

$$\therefore \gcd(12378, 3054) = 6$$

Using Euclidean algorithm,

HW①

find the gcd of 595 and 252

② Find $\gcd(7469, 2464)$

③ $\gcd(272, 1479)$

Some basic Properties of gcd

1. If c divides ab & $\gcd(a, c) = 1$, then c divides b .

Since $\gcd(a, c) = 1$, then there exists integers

x & y such that $ax + cy = 1$.

Multiplying by b , we have,

$$abx + bcy = b.$$

Now c divides a & b . Therefore c divides abx .

Also c divides bcy .

~~So~~ So c divides $abx + bcy$ which is

~~eq~~ b .

So c divides b .

2. If $\gcd(a, b) = 1$ & $\gcd(a, c) = 1$, then $\gcd(a, bc) = 1$

3. Let k be any integer and a, b any integers at least one of which is non-zero.

$$\text{Then } \gcd(ka, kb) = |k| \gcd(a, b)$$

4. If $\gcd(a, b) = d$ then $\gcd(a/d, b/d) = 1$

5. If $\gcd(a, b) = 1$, then for any c , $\gcd(ca, b) = \gcd(c, b)$

6. If a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n are all relatively prime to b , then their product $a_1 a_2 \dots a_n$ is also prime to b .

Factorization of primes

Fundamental theorem of arithmetic

Every positive integer $n > 1$ can be expressed as a product of primes.

Apart from the order in which prime factors occur in the product, they are unique.

i.e. $n > 1$ can be written uniquely as

$p_1 p_2 \dots p_n$ where $p_1 < p_2 < \dots < p_n$ are distinct primes that divide n .

The unique expression for the integer n ($n \geq 2$)

as a product of primes is called the

prime factorization or the prime decomposition of n .

ex: The prime factorization of 81, 100 & 289 are

$$81 = 3 \times 3 \times 3 \times 3 = 3^4$$

$$100 = 2 \times 2 \times 5 \times 5 = 2^2 \times 5^2$$

$$289 = 17 \times 17 = 17^2$$

$$\text{Let } m = p_1^{a_1} p_2^{a_2} \dots p_k^{a_k} \text{ and } n = p_1^{b_1} p_2^{b_2} \dots p_k^{b_k}$$

$$\text{Then } \gcd(m, n) = \prod p^{\min(a, b)}$$

where $\min(a, b)$ represents the minimum of the 2 numbers a & b .

ex: Use prime factorization to find the gcd of 12 & 30.

Prime factorization of 12 & 30 are

$$12 = 2^2 \times 3^1 \times 5^0 \quad \text{and} \quad 30 = 2^1 \times 3^1 \times 5^1$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Hence } \gcd(12, 30) &= 2^{\min(2, 1)} \times 3^{\min(1, 1)} \times 5^{\min(0, 1)} \\ &= 2^1 \times 3^1 \times 5^0 = 2 \times 3 = 6 \end{aligned}$$

(9)

Theorem

The number of primes is infinite
Let us assume that the number of primes be finite and be equal to n . Let them be arranged in the order of magnitude as $p_1, p_2, p_3, \dots, p_n$.

Let the product $p_1 \cdot p_2 \cdot p_3 \cdot \dots \cdot p_n = C$ and let us consider the integer $(C+1)$. Since no one of the p_i 's is a divisor of $(C+1)$, we conclude that either $(C+1)$ is a prime $> p_n$ or has a prime $> p_n$ as a factor.

But this is a contradiction to our assumption that p_n is the greatest prime.

Therefore the number of primes is infinite

Prime testing

If n has only few decimal digits, then one can show that it is prime by trial divisions up to square root.

If n is composite then it must have a prime divisor ^{division} \sqrt{n} . This is based on the following theorem.

Theorem

If $n > 1$, be a composite integer, then there exists a prime p such that $p|n$ (i.e. n has a prime divisor p) and $p \leq \sqrt{n}$.

prob Show that 47 is a prime.

Take $n=47$

Since $6 < \sqrt{47} < 7$, 2, 3 & 5 are the primes less than or equal to 6. But 47 is not
(10)

divisible by 2, 3, 5.

Therefore 47 must be a prime.

To express $\gcd(x, y) = d$ in the form
 $d = ax + by$.

Euclid's algorithm can ~~also~~ be extended to express $\gcd(x, y) = d$ in the form $d = ax + by$ where $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$ as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} r_n &= r_{n-2} + (-q_n) r_{n-1} \\ &= r_{n-2} + [r_{n-3} + r_{n-2} (-q_{n-1})] (-q_n) \\ &= r_{n-3} (-q_n) + r_{n-2} (1 + q_{n-1} q_n) \end{aligned}$$

Now we substitute $r_{n-1} + r_{n-3} (-q_{n-2})$ for r_{n-2} .

Repeat this back substitution process until we reach $r_n = ax + by$ for some integers x & y .

Consider the problem.

Find the gcd of 595 and 252 and express it in the form $252m + 595n$.

Applying division algorithm repeatedly, we have

$$595 = 2 \times 252 + 91$$

$$252 = 2 \times 91 + 70$$

$$91 = 1 \times 70 + 21$$

$$70 = 3 \times 21 + 7$$

$$21 = 3 \times 7 + 0$$

Since the last non-zero remainder is 7

$$\gcd(595, 252) = 7$$

Now find m & n such that

$$7 = 252m + 595n.$$

(11)

To find m & n it is convenient to begin with the last non zero remainder.

$$\begin{aligned}
 7 &= 70 - 3 \times 21 \\
 &= 70 - 3(91 - 1 \times 70) \\
 &= 4 \times 70 - 3 \times 91 \\
 &= 4 \times (252 - 2 \times 91) - 3 \times 91 \\
 &= -11 \times 91 + 4 \times 252 \\
 &= -11(595 - 2 \times 252) + 4 \times 252 \\
 &= 26 \times 252 + -11 \times 595
 \end{aligned}$$

$$m = 26 \quad \& \quad n = -11$$

Diophantine equation

The simplest linear Diophantine equation takes the form $ax + by = c$, where a , b and c are given integers.

The solutions are described by the following theorem:
This Diophantine equation has a solution (where x & y are integers) if and only if c is a multiple of the greatest common divisor of a & b .

Prob 10 Find the integers x & y such that $71x - 50y = 1$

$$71 = 1 \times 50 + 21$$

$$50 = 2 \times 21 + 8$$

$$21 = 2 \times 8 + 5$$

$$8 = 1 \times 5 + 3$$

$$5 = 1 \times 3 + 2$$

$$3 = 1 \times 2 + 1$$

$$2 = 1 \times 1 + 1$$

$$1 = 1 \times 1 + 0$$

$$\therefore \gcd(71, 50) = 1$$

Thus

$$1 = 2 - 1 \times 1 = 2 - 1 \times (3 - 1 \times 2)$$

$$= \underline{2} - 1 \times 1$$

$$= 2 \times \underline{2} - 1 \times 3$$

$$= 2 \times (5 - 1 \times 3) - 1 \times 3$$

$$= 2 \times 5 - 3 \times 3$$

$$= 2 \times 5 - 3 (8 - 1 \times 5)$$

$$= 5 \times 5 - 3 \times 8$$

$$= 5 (21 - 2 \times 8) - 3 \times 8$$

$$= 5 \times 21 - 13 \times 8$$

$$= 5 \times 21 - 13 (50 - 2 \times 21)$$

$$= 31 \times \underline{21} - 13 \times 50$$

$$= 31 \times (71 - 1 \times 50) - 13 \times 50$$

$$= 31 \times \underline{71} - 44 \times \underline{50}$$

$$\text{Here } x = \underline{31} \text{ \& } y = \underline{44}$$

Prob(2)

Solve the linear Diophantine equation,

$$172x + 20y = 1000$$

Applying Euclidean algorithm to find the $\gcd(172, 20)$. we have,

$$172 = 8 \times 20 + 12$$

$$20 = 1 \times 12 + 8$$

$$12 = 1 \times 8 + 4$$

$$8 = 2 \times 4 + 0$$

$$\text{So } \gcd(172, 20) = 4$$

Since 4 divides 1000, a solution to the equation exists.

To obtain the integer 4 as a linear combination of 172 and 20, working backward through the previous calculations, as follows,

$$\begin{aligned}
 4 &= 12 - 1 \times 8 \\
 &= 12 - 1 \times (20 - 1 \times 12) \\
 &= \underline{2} \times 12 - 20 \\
 &= 2 \times (172 - 8 \times 20) - 20 \\
 &= 2 \times 172 + (-17) \times 20
 \end{aligned}$$

By multiplying this ~~the~~ equation by 250, we have,

$$\begin{aligned}
 1000 &= 250 \times 4 = 250 [2 \times 172 + (-17) \times 20] \\
 &= 500 \times 172 + -4250 \times 20.
 \end{aligned}$$

So $x = 500$ & $y = -4250$

is one solution to the Diophantine equation

14.10 Solve the following linear Diophantine equations,

- (i) $512x + 320y = 64$
- (ii) $423x + 198y = 9$
- (iii) $93x - 81y = 3$
- (iv) $256x + 116y = 2$