Usability review (Español)

[Enter product name]



Hover over a guideline for more information, examples of good practice and importance to the overall user experience.

Score

N/A = not applicable or can't be assessed

Features & functionality

1 Las características y la funcionalidad cumplen con las metas y objetivos comunes del usuario.

Excellent

Las características y la funcionalidad son compatibles con los flujos de trabajo deseados por los usuarios.

Excellent

Las tareas de uso frecuente están fácilmente disponibles (por ejemplo, fácilmente accesibles desde la página de inicio) y están bien soportadas (por ejemplo, los accesos directos están disponibles).

Good

Los usuarios reciben un apoyo adecuado según su nivel de experiencia (por ejemplo, atajos para usuarios expertos, ayuda e instrucciones para usuarios novatos).

Good

Las llamadas a las acciones (por ejemplo, registrarse, agregar a la cesta, enviar) son claras, están bien etiquetadas y aparecen como cliqueables.

Excellent

Homepage / starting page

6 La página de inicio proporciona una instantánea clara y una descripción general del contenido, las características y la funcionalidad disponible.

Moderate

7	La página de inicio es eficaz para orientar y dirigir a los usuarios a la información y las tareas deseadas.	Good
8	El diseño de la página de inicio es clara y ordenada con suficiente "espacio en blanco".	Moderate
Nav	vigation	
9	Los usuarios pueden acceder fácilmente al sitio o la aplicación (por ejemplo, la URL es predecible y es devuelta por los motores de búsqueda).	Moderate
10	El esquema de navegación (por ejemplo, el menú) es fácil de encontrar, intuitivo y consistente.	Good
11	La navegación tiene la flexibilidad suficiente para permitir que los usuarios naveguen por los medios deseados (por ejemplo, búsqueda, navegación por tipo, navegación por nombre, más reciente, etc.).	Poor
12	La estructura del sitio o la aplicación es clara, fácil de entender y aborda objetivos comunes del usuario.	Moderate
13	Los enlaces son claros, descriptivos y están bien etiquetados.	Excellent
14	Las funciones estándar del navegador (por ejemplo, 'atrás', 'adelante', 'marcador') son compatibles.	Excellent
15	La ubicación actual está claramente indicada (por ejemplo, ruta de navegación, elemento de menú resaltado).	Excellent

16	Los usuarios pueden volver fácilmente a la página de inicio o a un punto de inicio relevante.	Excellent
17	Se proporciona un mapa del sitio o índice claro y bien estructurado (cuando sea necesario)	Poor
Sea	rch	
18	Una función de búsqueda consistente, fácil de encontrar y fácil de usar está disponible en todas partes (cuando sea conveniente)	N/A
19	La interfaz de búsqueda es adecuada para cumplir los objetivos del usuario (por ejemplo, parámetros múltiples, resultados priorizados, filtrado de resultados de búsqueda)	N/A
20	El servicio de búsqueda se ocupa de las búsquedas comunes (por ejemplo, muestra la mayoría de resultados populares), faltas de ortografía y abreviaturas.	N/A
21	Los resultados de búsqueda son relevantes, exhaustivos, precisos y se muestran bien	N/A
Cor	ntrol & feedback	
22	Se proporciona una respuesta rápida y apropiada (por ejemplo, después de una acción exitosa o no exitosa).	Excellent
23	Los usuarios pueden fácilmente deshacer, volver atrás y cambiar o cancelar acciones; o al menos tienen la oportunidad de confirmar una acción antes de cometer (por ejemplo, antes de realizar un pedido)	Good
24	Los usuarios pueden enviar comentarios (por ejemplo, por correo electrónico o mediante un formulario de comentarios / contacto en línea)	Very poor

Forms

Los formularios y los procesos complejos se dividen en pasos y secciones fácilmente comprensibles. Cuando se utiliza un proceso, hay un indicador **Moderate** de progreso con números claros o etapas con nombre. Se solicita una cantidad mínima de información y, cuando se proporciona la justificación necesaria para solicitar información (por ejemplo, fecha de Good nacimiento, número de teléfono) Los campos de formulario requeridos y opcionales están claramente indicados **Excellent** Se utilizan los campos de entrada apropiados (por ejemplo, el calendario para la selección de la fecha, el menú desplegable para la selección) y se **Excellent** indican los formatos requeridos Se proporcionan ayuda e instrucciones (como ejemplos, información requerida) donde sea necesario. **Excellent Errors** Los errores son claros, fácilmente identificables y aparecen en la ubicación apropiada (por ejemplo, adyacente al campo de entrada de Good datos, adyacente al formulario, etc.). Los mensajes de error son concisos, están escritos en un lenguaje fácil de entender y describen qué ocurrió y qué acción es necesaria **Excellent** Los errores de usuario comunes (por ejemplo, campos faltantes, formatos no válidos, selecciones no válidas) se han tenido en cuenta y, en la **Moderate** medida de lo posible, se han prevenido.

33	Los usuarios pueden recuperarse fácilmente (es decir, no tienen que comenzar de nuevo) de los errores	Excellent
Cor	ntent & text	
34	El contenido disponible (por ejemplo, texto, imágenes, video) es apropiado y suficientemente relevante, y detallado para cumplir con los objetivos del usuario	Good
35	Los enlaces a otros contenidos útiles y relevantes (por ejemplo, páginas relacionadas o sitios web externos) están disponibles y se muestran en contexto	Poor
36	El lenguaje, la terminología y el tono utilizados son apropiados y son fácilmente comprensibles para el público objetivo	Moderate
37	Los términos, el idioma y el tono utilizados son consistentes (por ejemplo, el mismo término se usa en todo)	Good
38	El texto y el contenido son legibles y escaneables, con buena tipografía y contraste visual	Excellent
Hel	р	
39	Se proporciona ayuda en línea y contextual y es adecuada para la base de usuarios (por ejemplo, está escrita en un lenguaje fácil de entender y solo usa términos reconocidos).	Good
40	La ayuda en línea es concisa, fácil de leer y escrita en un lenguaje fácil de entender	Moderate
41	El acceso a la ayuda en línea no impide a los usuarios (es decir, pueden reanudar el trabajo donde lo dejaron después de acceder a la ayuda)	Moderate

Los usuarios pueden obtener más ayuda fácilmente (por ejemplo, teléfono o dirección de correo electrónico)

Excellent

Performance

- El rendimiento del sitio o la aplicación no inhibe la experiencia del usuario (por ejemplo, descargas lentas de páginas, retrasos prolongados)
- Los errores y problemas de confiabilidad no inhiben la experiencia del usuario
- Se admiten posibles configuraciones de usuario (por ejemplo, navegadores, resoluciones, especificaciones de computadora)

Excellent

Excellent

Excellent

Overall usability score (out of 100) *

82

_

* Very poor (less than 29) - Users are likely to experience very significant difficulties using this site or system and might not be able to c

* Excellent (more than 89) - This site or system provides an excellent user experience for users. Users should be able to complete all in

Plantilla extraida del artículo: A guide to carrying out usability reviews

http://www.uxforthemasses.uhttp://www.uxforthema

^{*} Poor (between 29 and 49) - Users are likely to experience some difficulties using this site or system and might not be able to complete

^{*} Moderate (between 49 and 69) - Users should be able to use this site or system and complete most important tasks, however the use

^{*} Good (between 69 and 89) - Users should be able to use this site or system with relative ease and should be able to complete the vas

Comments

Optional - Provide a short rational for the score, such as a description of the issues found; examples of good practice and the likely impact for users.

Cumple lo importante de tener los tours disponibles, poder comprarlos, reservar fechas y ver las características de esos tours.

Los usuarios entran con la expectativa de ver una lista de tours, elegir uno y que lo primero que salga sea el precio, información, fechas disponibles e itinerario del tour.

En general está todo bastante accesible en la página principal, pero tienes que bajar un poco para ver todos los tours disponibles, incluyendo los de pago. Podría haber un acceso directo en el menú.

Está todo bien explicado, sobre todo para usuarios novatos y gente que no conozca las zonas, que suele ser el público frecuente de la página, que buscan conocer la ciudad y buscan información detallada para orientarse.

Está todo bien etiquetado y a la vista, accesible para el usuario, y aparece cliqueable.

Se muestran los tours con pequeñas descripciones en la pantalla principal, solo que a lo mejor tienes que bajar para ver más características o más opciones de tour de la página, o entrar en otras secciones para ver más funcionalidades.

Out of	Score		Weighting ratio	Weighting (out of 5)
5	5	5	100%	5
5	5	5	100%	5
4	3,2	4	80%	4
3	2,4	4	60%	3
3	3	5	60%	3
3	1,8	3	60%	3

4	80%	4	3,2	4
3	60%	3	1,8	3
2	40%	3	1,2	2
4	80%	4	3,2	4
3	60%	2	1,2	3
5	100%	3	3	5
3	60%	5	3	3
4	80%	5	4	4
2	40%	5	2	2
	3 2 4 3 5	3 60% 2 40% 3 60% 5 100% 3 60% 4 80%	3 60% 3 4 80% 4 3 60% 3 4 80% 5 4 80% 5	3 60% 3 1,8 2 40% 3 1,2 4 80% 4 3,2 3 60% 2 1,2 5 100% 3 3 3 60% 5 3 4 80% 5 4

Puedes volver en cualquier momento a la página principal pinchando en el menú "free tours", pero a lo mejor no puedes acceder a un menú general de los tours o solo a un tipo de tour (por ejemplo, los de pago). Tienes que volver antes al inicio	2	40%	5	2	2
Puede que te pierdas al entrar en varios menús, aunque no haya demasiados.	1	20%	2	0,4	1
No hay buscador.	4	80%	0	0	0
No hay buscador.	4		0	0	0
No hay buscador.	2	40%	0	0	0
No hay buscador.	4	80%	0	0	0
Hay confirmaciones de las acciones.		80%	-	4	4
Siempre da la opción de volver o cancelar lo que se esté haciendo. No hay una confirmación al pagar por ejemplo, es directamente darle a pagar.	3		5 4	2,4	3
No hay zona de comentarios o al menos no está visible. Sí se puede contactar en línea a través de un formulario, añadiendo el nombre y el correo electrónico.	1	20%	1	0,2	1

No quedan claras las etapas del proceso ni del pago, está un poco mezclado en una misma pantalla, pero sí que tiene la posibilidad de volver y cancelar, y volver a empezarlo. No es difícil de comprender.	3	60%	3	1,8	3
	2	40%	4	1,6	2
Sí.	2	40%	5	2	2
Se utiliza todo lo apropiado.	3	60%	5	3	3
En general sí, no hay problema.	3	60%	5	3	3
No aparecen adyacentes, ni aparecen todos los errores que pueden ocurrir. Sí aparece en la pantalla y es visible.	4	80%	4	3,2	4
Explica bien el error que ha ocurrido.	3	60%	5	3	3
Puedes poner un nombre cualquiera, un número y un correo que no existe. Sí que avisa cuando un campo no está rellenado y es obligatorio, y cosas así. A veces cuando hay varios errores no avisa de todos, solo de uno.	3	60%	3	1,8	3

Sí, porque no borra nada de lo ya introducido.	3	60%	5	3	3
Está bastante bien detallado y muestra información y contenido del lugar que se va a visitar	5	100%	4	4	5
Prácticamente no hay conetenido externo o al menos no está a la vista.	2	40%	2	0,8	2
Está muy bien redactado y es comprensible para el público, pero a lo mejor la barrera del idioma puede hacer que sea más complicado para algunos usuarios comprender el contenido. La página solo tiene español e inglés.	4	80%	3	2,4	4
Cambia a veces la persona del verbo pero en general es consistenten en lo que escriben.	3	60%	4	2,4	3
Tipografía buena y legible, en color negro y sobre fondo blanco.	3	60%	5	3	3
Hay una ayuda de chat con bot, es adecuado con el lenguaje y se adapta al idioma.					
El chat con bot no tiene mucha flexibilidad y es él el que va preguntando.	4	80%	4	3,2	4
	3	60%	3	1,8	3
Puedes acceder al bot en cualquier momento, menos cuando entras a la ventana de reserva/compra. La consulta por formulario sí te saca de donde estás.	3	60%	3	1,8	3

Dan como opciones teléfono, correo, formulario o bot en línea.

Va fluida y carga bien.

Es una página segura y fiable.

No requiere de recursos especiales que puedan variar con el navegador o con el ordenador en sí.

Good

complete a significant number of important tasks.

- e some important tasks.
- r experience could be significantly improved.
- st majority of important tasks.

nportant tasks on the site or system.

com/usability-reviews/ com/wp-content/uploads/2011/02/Usability-review-template.xls

2	40%	5	2	2
4	80%	5	4	4
4	80%	5	4	4
3	60%	5	3	3
5			106.8	130

Usability review

[Enter product name]



Hover over a guideline for more information, examples of good practice and importance to the overall user experience.

Score

N/A = not applicable or can't be assessed

Features & functionality

Features and functionality meet common user goals and objectives.

Enter score

Features and functionality support users desired workflows.

Enter score

Frequently-used tasks are readily available (e.g. easily accessible from the homepage) and well supported (e.g. short cuts are available).

Enter score

4 Users are adequately supported according to their level of expertise (e.g. short cuts for expert users, help and instructions for novice users).

Enter score

5 Call to actions (e.g. register, add to basket, submit) are clear, well labelled and appear clickable.

Enter score

Homepage / starting page

The Homepage / starting page provides a clear snapshot and overview of the content, features and functionality available.

Enter score

7	The home page / starting page is effective in orienting and directing users to their desired information and tasks.	Enter score
8	The homepage / starting page layout is clear and uncluttered with sufficient 'white space'.	Enter score
Nav	rigation	
9	Users can easily access the site or application (e.g. the URL is predictable and is returned by search engines).	Enter score
10	The navigational scheme (e.g. menu) is easy to find, intuitive and consistent.	Enter score
11	The navigation has sufficient flexibility to allow users to navigate by their desired means (e.g. searching, browse by type, browse by name, most recent etc).	Enter score
12	The site or application structure is clear, easily understood and addresses common user goals.	Enter score
13	Links are clear, descriptive and and well labelled.	Enter score
14	Browser standard functions (e.g. 'back', 'forward', 'bookmark') are supported.	Enter score
15	The current location is clearly indicated (e.g. breadcrumb, highlighted menu item).	Enter score

16	Users can easily get back to the homepage or a relevant start point.	Enter score
17	A clear and well structure site map or index is provided (where necessary).	Enter score
Sea	arch	
18	A consitent, easy to find and easy to use search function is available throughout (where desirable).	Enter score
19	The search interface is appropriate to meet user goals (e.g. multi- parameter, prioritised results, filtering search results).	Enter score
20	The search facility deals well with common searchs (e.g. showing most popular results), misspellings and abbreviations.	Enter score
21	Search results are relevant, comprehensive, precise, and well displayed.	Enter score
Co	ntrol & feedback	
22	Prompt and appropriate feedback is given (e.g. following a successful or unsuccessful action).	Enter score
23	Users can easily undo, go back and change or cancel actions; or are at least given the chance to confirm an action before committing (e.g. before placing an order).	Enter score
24	Users can easily give feedback (e.g. via email or an online feedback / contact us form).	Enter score

Forms

Complex forms and processes are broken up into readily understood steps and sections. Where a process is used a progress indicator is present with **Enter score** clear numbers or named stages. A minimal amount of information is requested and where required justification is given for asking for information (e.g. date of birth, telephone **Enter score** number). Required and optional form fields are clearly indicated. **Enter score** Appropriate input fields (e.g. calendar for date selection, drop down for selection) are used and required formats are indicated. **Enter score** Help and instructions (e.g. examples, information required) are provided where necessary. **Enter score Errors** Errors are clear, easily identifiable and appear in appropriate location (e.g. adjacent to data entry field, adjacent to form, etc.). **Enter score** Error messages are concise, written in easy to understand language and describe what's occurred and what action is necessary. **Enter score** Common user errors (e.g. missing fields, invalid formats, invalid selections) have been taken into consideration and where possible **Enter score** prevented.

33	Users are able to easily recover (i.e. not have to start again) from errors.	Enter score
Coi	ntent & text	
34	Content available (e.g. text, images, video) is appropriate and sufficiently relevant, and detailed to meet user goals.	Enter score
35	Links to other useful and relevant content (e.g. related pages or external websites) are available and shown in context.	Enter score
36	Language, terminology and tone used is appropriate and readily understood by the target audience.	Enter score
37	Terms, language and tone used are consitent (e.g. the same term is used throughout).	Enter score
38	Text and content is legible and scanable, with good typography and visual contrast.	Enter score
Hel	р	
39	Online help is provided and is suitable for the user base (e.g. is written in easy to understand languagge and only uses recognised terms). Where appropriate contextual help is provided.	Enter score
40	Online help is concise, easy to read and written in easy to understand language.	Enter score
41	Accessing online help does not impede users (i.e. they can can resume work where they left off after accessing help).	Enter score

42 Users can easily get further help (e.g. telephone or email address).

Enter score

Performance

43 Site or application performance doesn't inhibit the user experience (e.g. slow page downloads, long delays).

Enter score

44 Errors and reliabilty issues don't inhibit the user experience.

Enter score

45 Possible user configurations (e.g. browsers, resolutions, computer specs) are supported.

Enter score

Overall usability score (out of 100) *

* Very poor (less than 29) - Users are likely to experience very significant difficulties using this site or system and might not be able to c

* Excellent (more than 89) - This site or system provides an excellent user experience for users. Users should be able to complete all in

^{*} Poor (between 29 and 49) - Users are likely to experience some difficulties using this site or system and might not be able to complete

^{*} Moderate (between 49 and 69) - Users should be able to use this site or system and complete most important tasks, however the use

^{*} Good (between 69 and 89) - Users should be able to use this site or system with relative ease and should be able to complete the vas

Comments

Optional - Provide a short rational for the score, such as a description of the issues found; examples of good practice and the likely impact for users.

Weighting (out of 5)	Weighting ratio	Rating (0 - 5)	Score	Out of
5	100%	0	0	0
5	100%	0	0	0
4	80%	0	0	0
3	60%	0	0	0
3	60%	0	0	0
3	60%	0	0	0

4	80%	0	0	0
3	60%	0	0	0
2	40%	0	0	0
4	80%	0	0	0
3	60%	0	0	0
5	100%	0	0	0
3	60%	0	0	0
4	80%	0	0	0
2	40%	0	0	0

2	2	40%	0	0	0
1	1	20%	0	0	0
4	1	80%	0	0	0
4		80%	0	0	0
2	2	40%	0	0	0
4	1	80%	0	0	0
4	1	80%	0	0	0
3		60%	0	0	0
1	1	20%	0	0	0

	3	60%	0	0	0
	2	40%	0	0	0
	2	40%	0	0	0
	3	60%	0	0	0
	3	60%	0	0	0
	4	80%	0	0	0
	3	60%	0	0	0
	3	60%	0	0	0

3	60%	0	0	0
5	100%	0	0	0
2	40%	0	0	0
4	80%	0	0	0
3	60%	0	0	0
3	60%	0	0	0
4	80%	0	0	0
3	60%	0	0	0
3	60%	0	0	0

2	40%	0	0	0
4	80%	0	0	0
4	80%	0	0	0
3	60%	0	0	0
5			0	0

complete a significant number of important tasks.

r experience could be significantly improved.

e some important tasks.

st majority of important tasks.

nportant tasks on the site or system.

Usability guidelines

Importance

Features & functionality

1	Features and functionality meet common user goals and objectives Key and common user goals and objectives (e.g. carry out some transaction, find some information, carry out some research etc) should have been identified and addressed. Ideally the site or application should allow users to meet all of their key goals and objectives.	Very high
2	Features and functionality support users desired workflows The site or application should support or at least be compatible with the way that users wish to work. For example, users might want to be able to carry out bulk transactions or be able to save and return to their work.	Very high
3	Frequently-used tasks are readily available (e.g. easily accessible from the homepage) and well supported For example short cuts and a login to retrieve details might be provided to speed up the completion of frequently carried out tasks.	High
4	Users are adequately supported according to their level of expertise For example, novice users are given help and instructions and features are progressively disclosed (e.g. advanced features not being shown by default).	Medium
5	Calls to action (e.g. register, add to basket, submit) are clear, well labelled and appear clickable Possible actions should always be clear and the primary call to action (i.e. the most common or desirable user action) should stand out on the page or screen.	Medium

Homepage / starting page

6	The Homepage / starting page provides a clear snapshot and overview of the content, features and functionality available For example, an introduction and overview of the site is provided together with section snapshots and example content.	Medium
7	The homepage / starting page is effective in orienting and directing users to their desired information and tasks Users should be able to work out where they need to go to complete a given task (e.g. carry out some research, complete a transaction).	High
8	The homepage / starting page layout is clear and uncluttered with sufficient 'white space' Users should be able to quickly scan the homepage and make sense of both the content available and of how the site is structured.	Medium

Navigation

9	Users can easily access the site or application	Low
	For example, the URL is predictable and is returned by search engines. If a user attempts to find the site via a search engine, it	
	should ideally be returned on the first page of search results for likely queries.	

10	The navigational scheme is easy to find, intuitive and consistent	High
	Users should be able to very easily locate and use the navigational scheme (e.g. left hand menu, top menu, tabbed menu), and it	
	should not be significantly different across the site or application (unless a decision has been made to specifically differentiate a given section or area).	
11	The navigation has sufficient flexibility to allow users to navigate by their desired means	Medium
	For example a user might want to be able to search for an item or browse by size, name or type. Although not all user	
	preferences can or indeed should be addressed, the most useful and common navigational means should be supported.	
12	The site or application structure is clear, easily understood and addresses common user goals	Very high
	For example, gathering information, submitting data, carrying out research. Users should be able to work out where they need to	
	go to carry out common user goals and be able to quickly gain an understanding of how the site or application is structured.	
13	Links are clear, descriptive and well labelled	Medium
	Links should be clearly 'clickable' (e.g. underlined or colourised) and it should be clear to users where any given link goes to.	
	Non-descriptive links such as 'click here' should be avoided and any links going to an external website or opening a new window should be identified as such.	
14	Browser standard functions (e.g. 'back', 'forward', 'bookmark') are supported	High
	Users should be able to bookmark a page (or be presented with a URL to use) and go back and forth without breaking the site or	J
	losing any information they have entered.	
15	The current location is clearly indicated (e.g. breadcrumb, highlighted menu item)	Low
	Users should always know where they are in the site or application.	
16	Users can easily get back to the homepage or a relevant start point	Low
	For example, a homepage link might be part of the breadcrumb or a home link might be available as part of the header.	
17	A clear and well structure site map or index is provided (where necessary)	Very low
	The sitemap might be part of the header or footer and should ideally be available from every page on the site.	

Search

18	A consistent, easy to find and easy to use search function is available throughout The search function (where required) should be directly available from most pages on the site or application and should be consistently positioned (e.g. top left, top right or top centre).	High
19	The search interface is appropriate to meet user goals For example users are able to filter search results, an advanced search is available (if necessary) and common search conventions such as quotation marks (") and natural language searches are handled.	High
20	The search facility deals well with common searches, misspellings and abbreviations Ideally synonyms (e.g. 'coat' should also match 'jacket') should mean that logical and appropriate search results are returned for common user queries. Popular search results (e.g. top matches) should also be identified for common queries.	Low
21	Search results are relevant, comprehensive, precise, and well displayed It should be easy for users to see what has been returned, to work out why something has been returned and to determine how many results there are.	High

Control & feedback

22	Prompt and appropriate feedback is given	High
	For example, a confirmation message is shown following a successful transaction, input errors are promptly highlighted and it's	
	made clear to users when a page has been updated.	
23	Users can easily undo, go back and change, or cancel actions	Medium
	If an action can not be undo then users should at least be given the chance to confirm an action before committing (e.g. before	
	placing an order). For example, users can return to a step and change their options or dynamically change a value without having	
	to start again. Where an action can't be undone (e.g. a deletion), this should be made clear to users.	
24	Users can easily give feedback	Very low
	For example, via email or an online feedback / contact us form. There should be an indication of how long users can expect to	
	wait for a response if a query has been made.	

Forms

25	Complex forms and processes are broken up into readily understood steps and sections For example, a checkout process might be broken up in to 'address', 'delivery options', 'payment' and 'confirmation'. Where a process is used a progress indicator is present with clear numbers or named stages.	Medium
26	A minimal amount of information is requested and where necessary justification is given for asking for information For example a site might outline that a telephone number is required in case there is an issue with a transaction. Users shouldn't be asked for extraneous information and where possible information should be auto populated (e.g. postcode lookup, code lookup) to keep input to a minimum.	Low
27	Required and optional form fields are clearly indicated (e.g. using text or '*') Where most fields are required the optional fields should be identified and when most fields are optional the required fields should be identified.	Low
28	Appropriate input fields are used and required formats are indicated Appropriate input fields might include calendar for date selection, drop downs for selection and radio button for small selections. Text might be used to indicate the required format or an example might be provided. Field lengths should correspond to the expected input so for example an email input field should be long, where as an initials input field should be very short.	Medium
29	Help and instructions (e.g. examples, information required) are provided where necessary Where input is non trivial or is likely to require some explanation this should be provided. Where a-lot of explanation is necessary a link to a page outlining what is required should be provided.	Medium

Errors

30	Errors are clear, easily identified and appear in appropriate locations	High
	Errors should be immediately apparent to users and ideally be located close to the offending input or function (e.g. adjacent to an	_
	input entry field). Inputs causing an error should be highlighted, together with an explanation for the error.	
31	Error messages are concise, written in easy to understand language and describe what's occurred and what action is	Medium
	necessary	
	Errors should avoid using very technical terms or jargon and should be written from the user's perspective.	
32	Common user errors have been taken into consideration and where possible prevented	Medium
	Common user errors might be missing fields, invalid formats and invalid selections. For example, fields might limit input to	
	particular a format (e.g. numbers only) or only become available once certain criteria have been met. JavaScript might also be	
	utilised to provide immediate feedback for common formatting errors or errors caused by missing fields.	
33	Users are able to easily recover (i.e. not have to start again) from errors	Medium
	For example, users might be able to re-edit and resubmit a form or enter a different value.	

Content & text

34	Content available (e.g. text, images, video, audio) is appropriate and sufficiently relevant, and detailed to meet user	
	goals	
	Content should also be appropriately formatted, so for example videos and audio should be directly playable (i.e. shouldn't need	
	to be downloaded to be played) and images should be of a sufficient quality.	
35	Links to other useful and relevant content (e.g. related pages, external websites or documents) are available and shown	Low
	in context	
	For example there might be links from an article to related articles, related content or related external websites.	
36	Language, terminology and tone used is appropriate and readily understood by the target audience	High
	Jargon should be kept to a minimum and plain language should be used where ever possible.	
37	Terms, language and tone used are consistent (e.g. the same term is used throughout)	Medium
	Capitalisation (e.g. 'Main title'; 'Main Title'; 'MAIN TITLE') and grammar should be consistent, together with the use of formal or	
	informal terms (e.g. could not vs couldn't; what's vs what is etc).	
38	Text and content is legible and scanable, with good typography and visual contrast	Medium
	Users should be able to quickly scan headers and body text, in order to get an overview of what's available.	

Help

39	Online help is provided and is suitable for the user base Help should be written in easy to understand language and only uses recognised terms. Users should be able to easily find and access help and where appropriate contextual help should be available, such as help for a specific page, feature or process.	High
40	Online help is concise, easy to read and written in easy to understand language Help should cover the essentials without providing excessive detail and shouldn't use jargon or technical terminology that isn't likely to be understood by users.	Medium

41	Accessing online help does not impede users Users should be able to resume work where they left off after accessing help. Ideally help should be available directly on a page or using a new window. If help is provided in the form of a document, it should be formatted for the web (e.g. PDF, rather than a Word document).	Medium
42	Users can easily get further help (e.g. telephone or email address)	Low
	If a telephone help number is provided the hours of operation should be shown. If an email address or online form is provided, an	
	indication should be given of how long a response is likely to take (e.g. within the next 24 hrs).	

Performance

43	Site or application performance doesn't inhibit the user experience (e.g. slow page downloads, long delays)	High
	Web page downloads shouldn't take longer than 5 seconds and on page interactions (e.g. using an application or AJAX	
	functionality) shouldn't take any longer than 1 second to respond. Interactions taking longer than 1 second to respond should	
	provide suitable feedback to show that something is taking place (e.g. an hour glass or swirling graphic).	
44	Errors and reliability issues don't inhibit the user experience	Medium
	Sites and applications should be free of bugs and shouldn't have any broken links.	
45	Possible user configurations (e.g. browsers, resolutions, computer specs) are supported	Medium
	Websites should be usable at a 800x600 screen resolution and should work with the most common browsers (IE, Firefox, Opera,	
	Chrome etc). Applications should be usable with common computer specifications (operation system, memory, available disk	
	space) and screen resolutions (e.g. 800x600, 1025x768).	

Rating below	Rating	Rating ranges			
0					
1	Very Poor	less than	29		
29	Poor	between	29 and 4	19	
49	Moderate	between	49 and 6	39	
69	Good	between	69 and 8	39	
89	Excellent	more than	89		