

# MATH 416H HW 5

James Liu

Due: Oct 2 Edit: October 2, 2024

1.

$$\begin{aligned} & \left( \begin{array}{ccc|ccc} 1 & 2 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 3 & 7 & 3 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 2 & 3 & 4 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{array} \right) \rightarrow \left( \begin{array}{ccc|ccc} 1 & 2 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & -3 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 2 & -2 & 0 & 1 \end{array} \right) \rightarrow \\ & \left( \begin{array}{ccc|ccc} 1 & 2 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & -3 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 & -5 & 1 & 1 \end{array} \right) \rightarrow \left( \begin{array}{ccc|ccc} 1 & 0 & 1 & 7 & -2 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & -3 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -2.5 & 1 & 1 \end{array} \right) \rightarrow \\ & \left( \begin{array}{ccc|ccc} 1 & 0 & 0 & 9.5 & -2.5 & -0.5 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & -3 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -2.5 & 0.5 & 0.5 \end{array} \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Thus } A^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{19}{2} & -\frac{5}{2} & -\frac{1}{2} \\ -3 & 1 & 0 \\ -\frac{5}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} \end{pmatrix}$$

2. A) As proved in class,  $([id]_{SB})^{-1} = [id]_{BS}$ . Thus:

$$([id]_{SB})^{-1} [T]_{SS} [id]_{SB} = [id]_{BS} [T]_{SS} [id]_{SB}$$

Say that  $\forall v \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $T(v) = v'$ , Then  $[T]_{BB} [v]_{BB} = [v']_{BB}$ . We have:

$$\begin{aligned} [id]_{BS} [T]_{SS} [id]_{SB} [v]_B &= [id]_{BS} [T]_{SS} [v]_S \\ &= [id]_{BS} [v']_S \\ &= [v']_B \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Thus, } [T]_{BB} = ([id]_{SB})^{-1} [T]_{SS} [id]_{SB}$$

b) let  $\mathcal{S}$  be the standard basis. Then:

$$\begin{aligned}
[T]_{\mathcal{B}\mathcal{B}} &= ([id]_{\mathcal{S}\mathcal{B}})^{-1} [T]_{\mathcal{S}\mathcal{S}} [id]_{\mathcal{S}\mathcal{B}} \\
&= \left( \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix} \right)^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 1 \\ 1 & -2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix} \\
&= \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 1 \\ 1 & -2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix} \\
&= \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 4 & 5 \\ -1 & -3 \end{pmatrix} \\
&= \begin{pmatrix} 9 & 13 \\ -5 & -8 \end{pmatrix}
\end{aligned}$$

3.  $\forall u \in U$ ,  $u = \lambda_1 b_1 + \dots + \lambda_n b_n$ . Then  $T(u) = T(\lambda_1 b_1 + \dots + \lambda_n b_n)$ , then due to linearity,  $T(u) = \lambda_1 T(b_1) + \dots + \lambda_n T(b_n)$ . Thus, the set  $\{T(b_1), \dots, T(b_n)\}$  spans  $\{T(u) \mid \forall u \in U\}$ .

i: The set  $\{T(b_1), \dots, T(b_n)\}$  is linearly independent, then the claim is right.

ii: The set is not linear independent. Meaning that  $\exists \mu_1, \dots, \mu_n$  not all zeros, that  $\mu_1 T(b_1) + \dots + \mu_n T(b_n) = 0$ , then do a operation that substitutes  $T(b_n)$  with  $-(\mu_1 T(b_1) + \dots + \mu_n T(b_{n-1}))$  in  $T(u) = \lambda_1 T(b_1) + \dots + \lambda_n T(b_n)$ . We can do this operation till the remaining set is linear independent which shows that the original claim was right.

Thus  $\{T(b_1), \dots, T(b_n)\}$  do have a subset that can serve as a base for  $T(U)$ .

4. Suppose that there exists a inverse  $T^{-1}$ , then:

$$\begin{aligned}
T^{-1} \circ T \circ T &= 0 \\
T &= 0
\end{aligned}$$

$T = 0$  is not invertable as it is obviously not injection, which raises a contradiction, meaning  $T$  is not invertable.

5. i: Suppose  $\exists v \in V$  that  $v \in N(P)$ ,  $v \in R(P)$ , then  $P(v) = \vec{0}$  as  $v \in N(T)$ , also as  $P(P(v)) = P(v)$  as stated. Due to  $P$  is linear,  $P(\vec{0}) = \vec{0}$ . Thus,  $\forall w \in N(P)$ .  $P(w) = \vec{0}$ .

Thus,  $N(P) \cap R(P) = \{\vec{0}\}$

ii:

$$\begin{aligned}
\dim(N(P) + R(P)) &= \dim(N(P)) + \dim(R(P)) - \dim(R(P) \cap N(P)) \\
&= \dim(N(P)) + \dim(R(P)) - \dim(\{\vec{0}\}) \\
&= \dim(N(P)) + \dim(R(P))
\end{aligned}$$

As  $\dim(R(P)) = \dim(V) - \dim(N(P))$  from rank/nullity theorem,  $\dim(V) = \dim(R(P)) + \dim(N(T))$ . As null space and range are all vector spaces, then there exists sets of basis:  $\{n_i\}$  and  $\{r_i\}$  spanning  $N(P)$  and  $R(T)$  expanding such basis into  $\dim(V)$ , then they must be linear independent, as the intersection only contains  $\vec{0}$ . Suppose it does not, then  $\exists \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$  that  $\sum_i \lambda_i r_i = n_i$  then exists  $n_i \in R(T)$  and vice versa. Therefore, as the set of vectors  $\{r_1, \dots, r_n, n_1, \dots, n_i\}$  are linear independent with  $\dim(\text{span}(r_1, \dots, r_n, n_1, \dots, n_i)) = \dim(V)$  they are a sets of basis of  $V$ , Thus  $V = R(P) + N(P)$ , thus, it is a direct sum.

6. As  $\{b_i\}$  is a set of basis for  $V$ , then  $\forall b \in V, \exists \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$  that  $b = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i b_i$ . Thus, for all  $T \in \text{Hom}(V, \mathbb{R})$ ,  $T(b) = T(\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i b_i) = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i T(b_i) \times 1 = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i T(b_i) \times \ell_i(b_i)$ . As  $T(b_i) \in \mathbb{R}$ , set  $\mu_i = \lambda_i \times T(b_i)$ , then  $T(b) = \sum_{i=1}^n \mu_i \ell_i(b_i) = \sum_{i=1}^n \mu_i \ell_i(b)$ . Thus, the set of vectors spans  $\text{Hom}(V, \mathbb{R})$  Also, for  $1 \leq j \leq n$   $\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i \ell_i(b_j) = \lambda_j$ , thus, when running this sum through all the basis vectors, all the coefficients ( $\lambda_i$ ) must all equal to zero meaning that the set of  $\ell_i$  are linearly independent, thus, it is a set of basis for  $\text{Hom}(V, \mathbb{R})$

$$7. T_{\mathcal{B}\mathcal{B}} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, [id]_{\mathcal{B}\mathcal{B}'} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix} [id]_{\mathcal{B}'\mathcal{B}} = ([id]_{\mathcal{B}'\mathcal{B}})^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{1}{2} & -\frac{1}{2} \end{pmatrix}$$

Thus:

$$T_{\mathcal{B}'\mathcal{B}} = [id]_{\mathcal{B}'\mathcal{B}} T_{\mathcal{B}\mathcal{B}} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{1}{2} & -\frac{1}{2} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \frac{1}{2} \\ 0 & \frac{1}{2} \end{pmatrix}$$

8. a)  $\forall n_{k+1} \in N(T^{k+1}), T(T^k(n_{k+1})) = N(T^{k+1}) = \vec{0}$ . As  $T$  is a linear map,  $T(v) = \vec{0}$  then  $v = \vec{0}$ . Thus,  $T^k(n_{k+1}) = \vec{0}$ , Thus,  $N(T^k) \subseteq N(T^{k+1})$ .

$$\text{for any } w = T^{k+1}(v), w = T \circ T^k = \underbrace{T \circ \dots \circ T}_k = T^k \circ T = T^k(T(v))$$

$$\text{Thus, } R^{k+1} \subseteq R^k$$

- b) Suppose it does not exists such  $k$ , then as range itself is a subspace, if they do not equal, then the  $\dim(R(T^{k+1})) \neq \dim(R(T^k))$ , as proved in a),  $R^{k+1} \subseteq R^k$ . Thus,  $\forall k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\dim(R(T^{k+1})) < \dim(R(T^k))$ . According to rank/nullity,  $\dim(N(T)) = \dim(V) - \dim(R(T))$ , there is no such thing as negative dimension, therefore the largest value for  $\dim(R(T))$  is  $\dim(V)$ . However, According to the assumption,  $\dim(R(T^2)) < \dim(R(T)) \leq \dim(V)$ ,  $\dim(R(T)) \neq 0$  as if it is, there does not exist such  $\dim(R(T^2)) < 0$  meaning the assumption is wrong. Then it needs to be at least 1.

Then  $\dim(R(T^2)) < \dim(V) - 1$ . then through mathematical induction, setting  $\dim(R(T^k)) \leq \dim(V) - (k - 1)$ , for same reason stated above,  $\dim(R(T^{k+1})) \leq \dim(V) - (k - 1) - 1$ . Then for  $k = \dim(V) + 1$ ,  $\dim(R(T^k)) \leq \dim(V) - (\dim(V) - 1) = 0$ , then

$\dim(R(T^{k+1}))$  does not exist then there is a contradiction, and thus,  $\exists k$  that  $\dim(R(T^k)) = \dim(R(T^{k+1}))$ , as in a),  $R^{k+1} \subseteq R^k$ , then  $\exists k$  that  $R(T^k) = R(T^{k+1})$ .

- c) If  $\exists R(T^k) = R(T^{k+1})$ , then  $\exists k$ ,  $R(T^k) = R(T \circ T^k)$ , Note  $r_k = R(T^k)$ , then  $r_k = R(T(r^k))$ .

Induction:

$\exists k$  that  $R(T^k) = R(T^{k+1})$ , suppose  $R(T^{k+s}) = R(T^{k+s+1})$ , claim that  $R(T^{k+s+1}) = R(T^{k+s+2})$ .

prof:

$R(T^{k+s}) = R(T^{k+s+1})$  means that  $r_{k+s} = R(T(r_{k+s})) = r_{k+s+1}$ , then  $R(T^{k+s+2}) = R(T(r_{k+s+1})) = R(T(r_{k+s})) = r_{k+s+1} = R(T^{k+s+1})$ .

Thus, by mathematical induction, the original statement was right.