

MATH 416H HW 7

James Liu

Due: Oct 23 Edit: October 20, 2024

1. First, as U is a vector space, a set of basis can be write out. $\{u_1, \dots, u_n\}$, also, since U is also a subset of V . We can extend the basis into a basis of V : $\{u_1, \dots, u_n, z_1, \dots, z_m\}$. Now consider the dual space V^* by theromes profed in class, a basis of V^* is $\{u_1^*, \dots, u_n^*, z_1^*, \dots, z_m^*\}$ and, by definition, $\{z_1^*, \dots, z_m^*\} \subseteq U^0$ as $\forall u \in U, u = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i u_i + \sum_{j=1}^m 0 \times z_j$. Thus, $\forall u \in U, z_j^*(u) = 0$. Since U^0 us a subset of V , then a basis of U^0 must also be a subset of V 's.

Suppose $\{z_1, \dots, z_m\}$ is not a basis for U^0 , then $\exists k$ that for some $u^{*0} \in U^0$, $u^{*0} = \lambda u_k^* + \mu_1 z_1^* + \dots + \mu_m z_m^*$. However, consider $u_k \in U$ (the one in basis). $u^{*0}(u_k) = \lambda u_k^*(u_k) + \mu_1 z_1^*(u_k) + \dots + \mu_m z_m^*(u_k) = \lambda + 0 + \dots + 0 \neq 0$. Thus, there is a contradiction, therefore, $\{z_1^*, \dots, z_m^*\}$ is a basis of U^0 .

$$\dim(V) = m + n \quad \dim(U) = n \quad \dim(U^0) = m$$

Thus, $\dim(U^0) + \dim(U) = \dim(V)$.

2. a) $\forall w^* \in W, T^*(w^*) = w^* \circ T(v). \forall w^* \in N(T^*), T^*(w^*) = \vec{0} = v^{*0}, v^{*0} \in V^0$, Thus, $N(T^*) = \{w^* | \forall v \in V, w^*(T(v)) = 0\}$
or $\{w^* | w^* \in W^*, \forall w \in R(T), w^*(w) = 0\}$.

$$(R(T))^0 = \{w^* | w^*(w) = 0, w^* \in W^*, \forall w \in R(T)\}. \text{ Therefore, } N(T^*) = (R(T))^0$$

b)

$$\begin{aligned} N(T^*) &= (R(T))^0 && \text{(by part a)} \\ \dim(N(T^*)) &= \dim(W) - \dim(R(T)) && \text{(by problem 1)} \\ \dim(W^*) - \dim(R(T^*)) &= \dim(W) - \dim(R(T)) && \text{(by rank nullity)} \\ \therefore \dim(W) &= \dim(W^*) \\ \therefore \dim(R(T^*)) &= \dim(R(T)) \end{aligned}$$

- c) Any $m \times n$ matrix A would be equavelent to $T : V \rightarrow W$ where $\dim(V) = n, \dim(W) = m$, and A^T would be equavelent with $T^* : W^* \rightarrow V^*$. As profed by b), $R(T^*) = R(T)$. Thus, they do have same rank.

3. By 2.a) if T^* is injective then $N(T^*) = \vec{0} = (R(T))^0$. Then by 1., we have $\dim(R(T)) = \dim(W^*) - \dim((R(T))^0) = \dim(W^*) = \dim(W)$. as $R(T) \subseteq W$, therefore, $R(T) = W$ Thus, the transformation is surjective.

4.

$$\begin{aligned}
 (\lambda b)(v_1 + v_2, \gamma w) &= \lambda b(v_1 + v_2, \gamma w) \\
 &= \lambda \gamma b(v_1, w) + \lambda \gamma b(v_2, w) \\
 &= (\lambda \gamma b)(v_1, w) + (\lambda \gamma b)(v_2, w) && \text{(Close under scalar multi.)} \\
 (b_1 + b_2)(v_1 + v_2, \gamma w) &= b_1(v_1 + v_2, \gamma w) + b_2(v_1 + v_2, \gamma w) \\
 &= b_1(v_1, w) + b_1(v_2, w) + b_2(v_1, w) + b_2(v_2, w) \\
 &= b_1(v_1, w) + b_2(v_1, w) + b_1(v_2, w) + b_2(v_2, w) \\
 &= (b_1 + b_2)(v_1, w) + (b_1 + b_2)(v_2, w) && \text{(Close under vector add)}
 \end{aligned}$$

a)

$$\begin{aligned}
 (b_1 + b_2)(v, w) &= b_1(v, w) + b_2(v, w) \\
 &= b_2(v, w) + b_1(v, w) \\
 &= (b_2 + b_1)(v, w) && \text{(vector addition is commutative)}
 \end{aligned}$$

b)

$$\begin{aligned}
 ((b_1 + b_2) + b_3)(v, w) &= (b_1 + b_2)(v, w) + b_3(v, w) \\
 &= b_1(v, w) + b_2(v, w) + b_3(v, w) \\
 &= b_1(v, w) + (b_2 + b_3)(v, w) \\
 &= (b_1 + (b_2 + b_3))(v, w) && \text{(vector addition is associative)}
 \end{aligned}$$

c) Consider the bilinear map $b_0(v, w) = 0$

$$\begin{aligned}
 (b + b_0)(v, w) &= b(v, w) + b_0(v, w) \\
 &= b(v, w) + 0 \\
 &= b(v, w) && (\exists \vec{0} \text{ such that } b + \vec{0} = b)
 \end{aligned}$$

d)

$$\begin{aligned}
 (b + (-1 \cdot b))(v, w) &= b(v, w) + (-1) \times b(v, w) \\
 &= 0 \\
 &= b_0 && (\forall b, \exists -b, b + (-b) = 0)
 \end{aligned}$$

e)

$$\begin{aligned}
 (1 \cdot b)(v, w) &= 1 \times b(v, w) \\
 &= b(v, w)
 \end{aligned}$$

f)

$$\begin{aligned}
\lambda((\mu \cdot b)(v, w)) &= \lambda(\mu b(v, w)) \\
&= \lambda\mu b(v, w) \\
&= \mu\lambda b(v, w) \\
&= \mu((\lambda \cdot b)(v, w))
\end{aligned}$$

g)

$$\begin{aligned}
\lambda(b_1 + b_2)(v, w) &= \lambda(b_1(v, w) + b_2(v, w)) \\
&= \lambda b_1(v, w) + \lambda b_2(v, w) \\
&= (\lambda b_1)(v, w) + (\lambda b_2)(v, w)
\end{aligned}$$

h)

$$\begin{aligned}
((\lambda + \mu)b)(v, w) &= (\lambda + \mu)b(v, w) \\
&= \lambda b(v, w) + \mu b(v, w) \\
&= (\lambda b)(v, w) + (\mu b)(v, w)
\end{aligned}$$

5. a)

$$\begin{aligned}
w_0^\#(v_1 + v_2) &= b(v_1 + v_2, w_0) \\
&= b(v_1, w_0) + b(v_2, w_0) \\
&= w_0^\#(v_1) + w_0^\#(v_2) \\
w_0^\#(\lambda v) &= b(\lambda v, w_0) \\
&= \lambda b(v, w_0) \\
&= \lambda w_0^\#(v)
\end{aligned}$$

Thus it is linear.

b) Mark the transformation by T instead of $\#$.

$$\begin{aligned}
T(\lambda w_0) &= b(v, \lambda w_0) \\
&= \lambda b(v, w_0) \\
&= \lambda T(w_0) \\
T(w_1 + w_2) &= b(v, w_1 + w_2) \\
&= b(v, w_1) + b(v, w_2) \\
&= T(w_1) + T(w_2)
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, it is linear.

c) $\forall w \in N(\#), \#(w) = 0$ which is $\forall v \in V, w^\#(v) = 0$ which is $\forall v \in V, b(v, w) = 0$. Therefore, it is correct.

d) As proved in c) $N(\#) = \{w_0 \in W | b(w^*, w_0) = 0 \text{ for all } w^* \in W^*\}$. For any w_0 we can write a basis of W containing w_0 . Thus, the dual vector space would also have a basis containing w_0^* . Suppose it exists some $w_0 \neq 0$ that $\forall w^* \in W^*, b(w^*, w_0) = 0$, consider $w_0^* \in W^*, b(w_0^*, w_0) = w_0^*(w_0) = 1 \neq 0$. Thus there is a contradiction. Therefore, $N(\#) = \{0\}$. Thus, the map is injective.