Problem 1.

(i) Suppose P is a not an inflation point on the curve E. This means that the tangent line to E on P meets the curve in a third point Q where $Q \neq P$. Now again if we draw the tangent line to E on Q, if it meets the curve in Q 3 times, it means that Q is an inflation point and this case was solved in class. So assume that Q also is not an inflation point, which means that the tangent line on Q meets, the curve in a third point R, where $R \neq Q$ and $R \neq P$. Now consider the matrix:

$$M_{\alpha} = \begin{bmatrix} P_x & Q_x & R_x \\ P_y & Q_y & R_y \\ P_z & Q_z & R_z \end{bmatrix}$$

Since these three points are not on the same line, then they are linearly independent. This means that $\det(M_{\alpha}) \neq 0$. Then suppose $\alpha = M_{\alpha}^{-1}$. Now it is easy to see that α maps P and Q and R respectively to [1;0;0], [0;1;0] and [0;0;1]. Suppose that E after transformation with M_{α} has the form:

$$G(u, v, w) = ku^{3} + lu^{2}v + muv^{2} + nv^{3} + pu^{2}w + quvw + rv^{2}w + suw^{2} + tvw^{2} + fw^{3} = 0$$

Now since G(1,0,0) = G(0,1,0) = G(0,0,1) = 0, then we have k = n = f = 0. Now the line tangent to P and passing through Q is now the line that is tangent to [1;0;0] and passing through [0;1;0]. It is easy to see that this line is W = 0. Now consider intersections of this line and G:

$$G(u, v, 0) = lu^{2}v + muv^{2} = 0$$
$$= uv(lu + mv) = 0$$

Now note that uv has roots [1;0;0] and [0;1;0]. The third root is also [1;0;0]. Therefore lu + mv has root [1;0;0]:

$$l \cdot 1 + 0 = 0 \implies l = 0$$

Also since [0; 1; 0] is not its root, then:

$$l \cdot 0 + m \cdot 1 \neq 0 \implies m \neq 0$$

Also the tangent line to Q which goes through R is now transformed to line tangent to [0;1;0] and goes through [0;0;1]. It is not hard to see that this line is U=0. Now if we see the intersections of this line with the curve, we get three points, [0;1;0] two times, and [0;0;1] one time. This means that [0;1;0] is root of the below equation 2 times, and [0;0;1] is the root of it one time:

$$G(0, v, w) = rv^2w + tvw^2 = 0$$
$$= vw(rv + tw) = 0$$

Now vw has roots [0;1;0] and [0;0;1], thus [0;1;0] is root of vv + tw, and [0;0;1] is not, we have:

$$r \cdot 1 + t \cdot 0 = 0 \implies r = 0$$

 $r \cdot 0 + t \cdot 1 \neq 0 \implies t \neq 0$

This gives us the form:

$$G(u, v, w) = muv^{2} + pu^{2}w + quvw + suw^{2} + tvw^{2} = 0$$

Now here if we do the substitution $(u, v, w) \to (K^2, LN, KN)$, we have:

$$mK^{2}L^{2}N^{2} + pk^{5}N + qk^{3}LN^{2} + sK^{4}N^{2} + tK^{2}LN^{3} = 0$$

And here dividing by K^2N , we get:

$$mL^{2}N + pK^{3} + qKLN + sK^{2}N + tLN^{2} = 0$$

$$mL^{2}N + qKLN + tLN^{2} = -pK^{3} - sK^{2}N$$

Dehomogenizing in L we get:

$$mL^2 + (qK + t)L = -pK^3 - sK^2$$

Now replace L with $(L - \frac{1}{2}(qK + t))$ we get:

$$L^2 = \text{cubic in } K.$$

The cubic in K might not have leading coefficient 1, but we can adjust that by replacing K and L by λK and $\lambda^2 L$, where λ is the leading coefficient of the cubic. So we do finally get an equation in Weierstrass form.

(ii)

Problem 2.

C(x, y, z) is a projective curve of degree 3:

$$ax^{3} + bx^{2}y + cx^{2}z + dxy^{2} + exz^{2} + fy^{3} + qy^{2}z + hyz^{2} + iz^{3} + jxyz = 0$$

First note that \mathcal{O} is on the curve, then $C(0,1,0)=fy^3=0$. Thus f=0. Since the line z=0 intersects with the curve 3 times in \mathcal{O} , then:

$$C(x, y, 0) = ax^3 + bx^2y + dxy^2 = x(ax^2 + bxy + dy^2) = 0$$

has the root [0;1;0], 3 times. x has one root [0;1;0]. Now since [0;1;0] is the root of $ax^2 + bxy + dy^2$, then we have: $d(1)^2 = 0$, which suggests that d = 0.

$$C(x, y, 0) = ax^3 + bx^2y = x^2(ax + by) = 0$$

Since x^2 has two roots, then ax + by has one root, [0; 1; 0]. This means that b(1) = 0 and b = 0. Rewriting C(x, y, z) we have:

$$C(x, y, z) = ax^{3} + cx^{2}z + exz^{2} + gy^{2}z + hyz^{2} + iz^{3} + jxyz = 0$$

Dividing by g and then replacing x with $x/\sqrt[3]{a}$ we get:

$$y^2z + h'yz^2 + j'xyz = x^3 + c'x^2z + e'xz^2 + i'z^3$$

Which is in Weierstrass form. Note that since \mathbb{C} is algebraicly closed, then $\sqrt[3]{a}$ is also in \mathbb{C} , and transformations are all valid.

Problem 3.

First we have to show that the curve is smooth. We Homogenize the equation:

$$y^2z + xyz - x^3 - z^3 = 0$$

Then we calculate all partial derivatives:

$$\frac{\partial F}{\partial x} = -3x^2 + yz \qquad \frac{\partial F}{\partial y} = 2yz + xz \qquad \frac{\partial F}{\partial z} = -3z^2 + y^2 + xy$$

Since we want to find the answers in \mathbb{F}_2 , then we have:

$$\frac{\partial F}{\partial x} = x^2 + yz$$
 $\frac{\partial F}{\partial y} = yz + xz$ $\frac{\partial F}{\partial z} = z^2 + y^2 + xy$

If a point is singular, then it vanishes in all three derivatives:

$$\begin{vmatrix} x^2 + yz = 0 \\ yz + xz = 0 \end{vmatrix} \implies x^2 - xz = 0 \implies x(x - z) = 0$$

Then we have two cases:

- a) x = 0Then since $x^2 + yz = 0$, we get yz = 0. Now either y = 0 or z = 0, WLOG suppose that y = 0. Then since $z^2 + y^2 + xy = 0$, we have $z^2 = 0$ and z = 0, but this point (0, 0, 0) is not on the plane.
- b) x = 1, x = zIn this case note that $x^2 + yz = 0$, then 1 + y = 0, which means that y = 1. But then we have $z^2 + y^2 + xy = 1$, and therefore this point is non-singular. Thus all points on this curve are non-singular and the curve is smooth. Also since the point (1, 1, 1) is on the curve, then this curve is indeed an elliptic curve.

Now we have to show that the point (1,1,1) is of order 4. Only points on this curve are: $\mathcal{O} = [0;1;0], [1;0;1], [0;1;1], [1;1;1]$. So we only need to show that P = (1,1,1) is not of order 2. For this we find 2P.

$$\frac{\partial F}{\partial x}(P) = -3x^2 + y = (x^2 + y)(P) = 2 = 0$$
$$\frac{\partial F}{\partial y}(P) = (2y + x)(P) = x(P) = 1$$

Thus the tanget line to P is 1(y-1)=0 or simply y=1. For us to find the third point we find the roots of:

$$1 + x = x^3 + 1$$

This equation has 0 as its roots once and 1 as its roots twice. Thus the third intersection of the line and the curve is (1,1). In other words P * P = P. To find P + P we need to find $P * \mathcal{O}$. Consider the equation in homogenized form:

$$y^2z + xyz = x^3 + z^3$$

Suppose the line ax + by + cz = 0 is passing through P and O. Then b = 0 and a = c, or x = z. Substitution gives us:

$$y^{2}x + x^{2}y = x^{3} + x^{3} = 2x^{3} = 0$$
$$\implies xy(y+x) = 0$$

If x = z = 0, then gives us the root $\mathcal{O} = [0; 1; 0]$. If x = z = 1, then gives us the roots [1; 0; 1] and [1; 1; 1]. Therefore we have $P + P = P * \mathcal{O} = [1; 0; 1] \neq \mathcal{O}$. Then P is not of order 2. Therefore P has order 4.

Problem 4.

(i) We have $v(1 \times 1) = v(1) + v(1)$. Which means that v(1) = 0. Then $0 = v(1) = v(x \times x^{-1}) = v(x) + v(x^{-1})$, hence $v(x) = -v(x^{-1})$. Suppose that v(x) > v(y).

$$v(x+y) \ge \min\{v(x), v(y)\}$$

$$\exists k \in \mathbb{R}, \ v(x+y) = v(y) + k$$

Let $r = y \cdot (x + y)^{-1}$:

$$v(y) = v(r(x+y)) = v(r) + v(x+y) = v(r) + v(y) + k = v(ry) + k = v(\frac{y^2}{x+y}) + k$$
$$v(\frac{y^2}{x+y}) = v(ry) = v(r) + v(y) = v(r) + v(\frac{y^2}{x+y}) + k = v(\frac{y^3}{(x+y)^2}) + k$$

We can repeat this process, and each time find some element in K such that it is smaller than the privious one, and since each step is exactly k, then at some point it must stop, since v has a positive range. This suggests that k = 0 and v(x + y) = v(y). **Huge bug**.

(ii) Since the sum is finite, WLOG suppose that $a_1 = \min\{a_i\}_{1 \leq i \leq n}$. Then for any a_i , either $v(a_1) = v(a_i)$, and we are done, then assume otherwise, using the first part, we have:

$$\forall i, v(a_1) \neq v(a_i)$$

$$\implies \forall i, v(a_1 + a_i) = \min\{a_1, a_i\} = a_1$$

Now note that:

$$v(a_1 + a_2) = v(a_1)$$

$$v(a_1 + a_2) = v(a_1) \neq v(a_2) \implies v(a_1 + a_2 + a_3) = \min\{v(a_1 + a_2), v(a_3)\} = v(a_1)$$

$$\vdots$$

$$v(a_1 + \dots + a_{n-2}) = v(a_1) \neq v(a_{n-1}) \implies$$

$$v(a_1 + \dots + a_{n-2}) = \min\{v(a_1 + \dots + a_{n-2}), v(a_{n-1})\}$$

$$= \min\{v(a_1), v(a_{n-1})\} = v(a_1)$$

Note that $0 = v(1) = v(-1 \times -1) = v(-1) + v(-1)$, hence v(-1) = 0. Then we have:

$$v(-n) = v(-1) + v(n) = v(n)$$

Now note that $a_1 + a_2 + \cdots + a_{n-1} = -a_n$, This means that $v(a_1 + \cdots + a_{n-1}) = v(a_n)$ therefore $v(a_1) = v(a_n)$. Which gives us a contradiction since we assumed there is no i such that $v(a_1) = v(a_i)$.