## Problem 1.

(i) Let  $x, y \in Tor(M)$  and  $r \in R$ . We need to show that  $rx + y \in Tor(M)$  in order to show that Tor(M) is a submodule of M. Since both  $x, y \in Tor(M)$ , then there exists nonzero  $r_x, r_y \in R$  such that  $r_x = 0$  and  $r_y = 0$ . Now we show that  $r_x r_y (rx + y) = 0$ :

$$r_x r_y (rx + y) = r_x r_y rx + r_x r_y y$$

$$= r_x r_y rx + r_x (r_y y)$$

$$= r_x r_y rx$$

$$= r_y r(r_x x) = 0$$

And since both  $r_x$  and  $r_y$  are nonzero therefore  $r_x r_y$  is nonzero as well. This proves that  $rx + y \in Tor(M)$  and thus Tor(M) is a submodule of M.

(ii) Consider  $\mathbb{Z}_6$  as a  $\mathbb{Z}_6$  module. By definition we have:

$$Tor(\mathbb{Z}_6) = \{2, 3, 4\}$$

Which doesn't even form a group, let alone a submodule of M.

(iii) Let  $m \neq 0 \in M$ , where M is a R-module. And let  $r_1r_2 = 0$  where  $r_1, r_2 \in R$  and  $r_1, r_2 \neq 0$ . If  $r_2m = 0$  then we are done since  $m \in Tor(M)$ . Otherwise consider  $(r_1r_2)m = r_1(r_2m) = 0$  Since  $r_2m \neq 0$  then  $r_2m \in Tor(M)$ . This completes the proof.

### Problem 2.

Let  $x, y \in \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} N_k$  and  $r \in R$ . Therefore there exists  $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $x \in N_i$  and  $y \in N_j$ . WLOG we can assume  $i \leq j$ . Since  $N_i \subseteq N_j$  therefore we have  $x, y \in N_j$ . Since  $N_i$  is a submodule of M then we have:

$$rx + y \in N_j \subseteq \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} N_k$$
  
 $\implies rx + y \in \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} N_k$ 

Which shows that it is a submodule of M.

#### Problem 3.

Let M be an R-module, where scalar product is non-trivial. Now consider the  $M \times M$  as a R-module. With componentwise addition and multiplication.

$$M_1 = \{(m,0)|m \in M\}$$
$$M_2 = \{(0,m)|m \in M\}$$

 $M_1$  and  $M_2$  are both sub-modules of  $M \times M$  since:

$$\forall x, y \in M_1, \forall r \in R : rx + y = r(m_x, 0) + (m_y, 0)$$
$$= (rm_x, 0) + (m_y, 0)$$
$$= (rm_x + m_y, 0) \in M_1$$

Last lines is a direct result of M being a R-module. Now consider  $M_1 \cup M_2$ . And  $x \neq 0 \in M_1$ ,  $y \neq 0 \in M_2$  and  $r \in R$  such that  $rx \neq 0$ :

$$rx + y = r(m_x, 0) + (0, m_y) = (rm_x, m_y)$$

Since both  $rm_x \neq 0$  and  $m_y \neq 0$ , then  $(rm_x, m_y) \neq M_1 \cup M_2$ . This shows that  $M_1 \cup M_2$  is not a sub-module of  $M \times M$ .

## Problem 4.

Again consider  $M = \mathbb{Z}_6$  as a  $\mathbb{Z}_6$ -module. And let I = N = M We have  $Tor_I(N) = \{2, 3, 4\}$  and  $Ann_I(N) = \{0\}$  Thus  $Tor_I(N) \neq Ann_I(N)$ .

# Problem 5.

(a) Let  $r \in Ann_{\mathbb{Z}}(M)$ . Then for any  $(a,b,c) \in M$  we have r(a,b,c) = (0,0,0). Let  $a = 1_{\mathbb{Z}_{24}}, b = 1_{\mathbb{Z}_{15}}, c = 1_{\mathbb{Z}_{50}}$ . Therfore we have:

$$r(a, b, c) = (r, r, r) = (0, 0, 0)$$

This shows that:

$$\begin{array}{c}
 24 \mid r \\
 15 \mid r \\
 50 \mid r
 \end{array}
 \implies 2^3 \times 3 \times 5^2 = 600 \mid r \implies r \in 600\mathbb{Z}$$

And since for any  $r \in 600\mathbb{Z}$  we have:

$$600(a, b, c) = (600a, 600b, 600c) = (0, 0, 0)$$

Which implies  $r \in Ann_{\mathbb{Z}}(M)$ , then we have  $Ann_{\mathbb{Z}}(M) = 600\mathbb{Z}$ .

**(b)** If  $(a, b, c) \in Ann_M(2\mathbb{Z})$  then we have:

$$2 \in 2\mathbb{Z} : 2(a, b, c) = (2a, 2b, 2c) = (0, 0, 0)$$

$$\implies a \in \{0, 12\} \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$$

$$b \in \{0\} \cong \mathbb{Z}_1$$

$$c \in \{0, 25\} \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$$

This shows that  $Ann_M(2\mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ . It is easy to see that for any  $2i \in 2\mathbb{Z}$  it works.

# Problem 6.

Let  $\varphi \in Hom_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{Z}_{30}, \mathbb{Z}_{21})$ . Then  $\varphi$  is a group homomorphism from  $\mathbb{Z}_{30}$  to  $\mathbb{Z}_{21}$ . Let r be the generator of  $\mathbb{Z}_{30}$  having order 30. Then it must mapped to an element with order s where  $s \mid 30$ . And since  $s \mid 21$  then we can only have s = 1, 3. If s = 3, there are only two elements with order 3 in  $\mathbb{Z}_{21}$ , namely 7 and 14. Also since  $R = \mathbb{Z}$  then if  $\varphi$  is a homomorphism we have:

$$\varphi(rm) = \varphi(m + \dots + m) = r\varphi(m)$$

Thus  $\varphi$  is also a module homomorphism. Therefore any module homomorphism from  $\mathbb{Z}_{30}$  to  $\mathbb{Z}_{21}$  is uniquely identified with  $\varphi(1)$ . And we have only 3 such homomorphism:

$$\varphi(1) = 7 \implies \varphi(m) = 7m \pmod{21}$$
  
 $\varphi(1) = 14 \implies \varphi(m) = 14m \pmod{21}$   
 $\varphi(1) = 0 \implies \varphi(m) = m \pmod{21}$ 

Which form a group of order 3,  $\mathbb{Z}_3$ .

Now since any  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module homomorphism is uniquely identifined with  $\varphi(1)$ , and we have:

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} Ord_{\mathbb{Z}_n}(\varphi(1)) \mid n \\ Ord_{\mathbb{Z}_n}(\varphi(1)) \mid Ord_{\mathbb{Z}_m}(1) = m \end{array} \right\} \implies Ord_{\mathbb{Z}_n}(\varphi(1)) \mid (n, m)$$

We only need to show that homomorphisms that map 1 to a members with order r in  $\mathbb{Z}_n$  where  $r \mid (n,m)$  form the group  $\mathbb{Z}_{(m,n)}$ . Let  $\sigma \in Hom_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{Z}_m,\mathbb{Z}_n)$ , where  $\sigma(1) = s$  and Ord(s) = (m,n). Now it is easy to see that  $\sigma^r(1) = \sigma^{r-1}(s) = \cdots = s^r$ . This shows that  $Ord(\sigma) = Ord(s) = (m,n)$ . On the otherhand the number of elements in  $\mathbb{Z}_n$  such as s where  $Ord_{\mathbb{Z}_n}(s) \mid (n,m)$  is exactly (m,n). This proves that  $\sigma$  generates the whole group and thus we have:

$$Hom_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{Z}_m,\mathbb{Z}_n) \cong \mathbb{Z}_{(m,n)}$$

## Problem 7.

First we show that eM and (1-e)M is submodule of M:

$$x, y \in M \implies ex, ey \in eM, r \in R$$
  
 $r(ex) + ey = rex + ey = erx + ey = e(rx + y) \in eM$ 

Note that we used re = er and also that since M is module then  $rx + y \in M$ . Similarly for (1 - e)M we have:

$$r((1-e)x) + (1-e)y = rx - rex + y - ey$$
  
=  $rx + y - (erx - ey)$   
=  $rx + y - e(rx - y) \in (1-e)M$ 

It is obvious that since both eM and (1-e)M are R module, so is  $eM \oplus (1-e)M$ . It remains to show an isomorphism:

$$\varphi: M \to eM \oplus (1 - e)M$$

$$m \mapsto (em, (1 - e)m)$$

$$\varphi(m + m') = (e(m + m'), (1 - e)(m + m'))$$

$$= (em, (1 - e)m) + (em', (1 - e)m')$$

$$= \varphi(m) + \varphi(m').$$

$$\varphi(rm) = (erm, (1 - e)rm)$$

$$= (rem, (r - re)m)$$

$$= (rem, r(1 - e)m)$$

$$= r(em, (1 - e)m) = r\varphi(m).$$

This shows that  $\varphi$  is a homomorphism. Now to show that it is an isomorphism we have to show that it is both surjective and injective. Suppose  $em+(1-e)m' \in eM \oplus (1-e)M$ . For any  $m, m' \in M$  we know  $em+(1-e)m' \in M$ . Thus we have:

$$\varphi(em + (1 - e)m') = (e(em + (1 - e)m'), (1 - e)(em + (1 - e)m'))$$
$$= ((e^2m + (e - e^2)m'), (e - e^2)m + (1 - 2e + e^2)m')$$

Sicne  $e^2 = e$ :

$$= (em, (1-e)m')$$

This gives us that  $\varphi$  is surjective. For injective suppose  $m, m' \in M$  where  $m \neq m'$ :

$$\varphi(m) = \varphi(m')$$

$$\Rightarrow (em, (1 - e)m) = (em', (1 - e)m')$$

$$\Rightarrow em = em', (1 - e)m = (1 - e)m'$$

$$\Rightarrow e(m - m') = 0, (1 - e)(m - m') = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow e(m - m') + (1 - e)(m - m') = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow m - m' = 0 \Rightarrow m = m'$$

Which is a contradiction. This gives us that  $\varphi$  is bijective as well. Therefore  $\varphi$  is an isomorphism and thus we have:

$$M \cong eM \oplus (1 - e)M$$

### Problem 8.

(i) Suppose that R/I is free module with basis  $E \subseteq R/I$  and I is non-trivial. Let  $r+I \in E$ . And let  $s_1, s_2 \in I$  such that  $s_1 \neq s_2$  and  $s_1r+I=s_2r+I$ . This always exists since I is non-trivial. Since  $r+I \in E$  then we must have:  $s_1(r+I) \neq s_2(r+I)$ . But we have:

$$s_1(r+I) = s_1r + I = s_2r + I = s_2(r+I)$$

Which is a contradiction. Thus R/I is not a free module.

(ii) ( $\Leftarrow$ ) If I is a principal ideal of R then we have  $I = \langle a \rangle$  for some  $a \in R$ . Since I is an ideal of R then it's also a submodule of R. We show that  $\{a\}$  is a basis for I over R. Any element in I is of the form ra for some  $r \in R$ . We have to show that this is unique. Since  $\{a\}$  is linearly independent. Suppose there exists some  $r_1 \neq r_2 \in R$  such that  $r_1a = r_2a$ :

$$r_1a = r_2a \implies ar_1 = ar_2 \implies a(r_1 - r_2) = 0$$

And since R is a integral domain then either a = 0 or  $r_1 = r_2$ . And since a = 0 makes a trivial ideal I then we have  $r_1 = r_2$ . Therefore I is a free module over R.

 $(\Rightarrow)$  If I is a free module over R with basis E. If E has more than 1 element such a and b, then we have:

$$ba + (-a)b \in R$$

Since R is integral domain then we have: ba - ab = 0. And since  $a, b \in E$  then they are linearly independent. Which means that b = -a = 0. Which is a contradiction supposing a and b are different elements in E. This shows that E has exactly 1 element a. And each element in I can be expressed as ra for some  $r \in R$ . This shows that  $I = \langle a \rangle$ . And thus I is a principal ideal of R.

## Problem 9.

We prove that it is a module homomorphism. Consider  $\varphi$ :

$$\varphi: Hom_R(M_1 \times M_2, N) \to Hom_R(M_1, N) \times Hom_R(M_2, N)$$
$$\sigma(-, -) \mapsto (\sigma(-, 0), \sigma(0, -))$$

It is obvious that both  $\sigma(-,0)$  and  $\sigma(0,-)$  are homomorphism. It remains to show that  $\varphi$  is a homomorphism:

$$\varphi(\sigma + \delta)(a, b) = ((\sigma + \delta)(a, 0), (\sigma + \delta)(0, b))$$

$$= (\sigma(a, 0) + \delta(a, 0), \sigma(0, b) + \delta(0, b))$$

$$= (\sigma(a, 0), \sigma(0, b)) + (\delta(a, 0), \delta(0, b))$$

$$= \varphi(\sigma)(a, b) + \varphi(\delta)(a, b)$$

Also

$$\varphi(r\sigma)(a,b) = (r\sigma(a,0), r\sigma(0,b))$$
$$= r(\sigma(a,0), \sigma(0,b))$$
$$= r\varphi(\sigma)(a,b)$$

Thus  $\varphi$  is homomorphism. To show that it is an isomorphism we show that  $\varphi$  has an inverse.

$$\psi: Hom_R(M_1, N) \times Hom_R(M_2, N) \to Hom_R(M_1 \times M_2, N)$$
  
 $(\sigma, \delta) \mapsto \pi$ 

Where  $\pi(a,b) = (\sigma(a),\delta(b))$ . It is obvious that  $\varphi^{-1} = \psi$ . First we have to show that  $\pi$  is a homomorphism.

$$\pi((a_1, b_1) + (a_2, b_2)) = \pi(a_1 + a_2, b_1 + b_2)$$

$$= (\sigma(a_1 + a_2), \delta(b_1 + b_2))$$

$$= (\sigma(a_1) + \sigma(a_2), \delta(b_1) + \delta(b_2))$$

$$= (\sigma(a_1), \delta(b_1)) + (\sigma(a_2), \delta(b_2))$$

$$= \pi(a_1, b_1) + \pi(a_2, b_2).$$

$$\pi(r(a_1, b_1)) = \pi((ra_1, rb_1))$$

$$= (\sigma(ra_1), \delta(rb_1))$$

$$= (r\sigma(a_1), r\delta(b_1))$$

$$= r(\sigma(a_1), \delta(b_1))$$

$$= r\pi(a_1, b_1).$$

This shows that  $\pi$  is a homomorphism. Now to show that  $\psi$  is homomorphism.

$$\psi((\sigma_1, \delta_1) + (\sigma_2, \delta_2))(a, b) = \psi(\sigma_1 + \sigma_2, \delta_1 + \delta_2)(a, b) 
= ((\sigma_1 + \sigma_2)(a), (\delta_1 + \delta_2)(b)) 
= (\sigma_1(a) + \sigma_2(a), \delta_1(b) + \delta_2(b)) 
= (\sigma_1(a), \delta_1(b)) + (\sigma_2(a), \delta_2(b)) 
= \psi(\sigma_1, \delta_1)(a, b) + \psi(\sigma_2, \delta_2)(a, b)$$

Also:

$$\psi(r(\sigma, \delta))(a, b) = \psi(r\sigma, r\delta)(a, b)$$

$$= (r\sigma(a), r\delta(b))$$

$$= r(\sigma(a), \delta(b))$$

$$= r\psi(\sigma, \delta)(a, b)$$

This shows that  $\psi$  is a homomorphism. And since it is the inverse of  $\varphi$ , therefore  $\varphi$  is an module isomorphism. Thus we have:

$$Hom_R(M_1 \times M_2, N) \cong_R Hom_R(M_1, N) \times Hom_R(M_2, N)$$

The second part is really similar and quite long.

## Problem 10.

(i) Let  $\psi_1(a_1, a_2, \dots) = (a_1, 0, a_2, 0, \dots)$ , and  $\psi_2(a_1, a_2, \dots) = (0, a_1, 0, a_2, \dots)$ . Now we have:

$$\varphi_1 \psi_1(a_1, a_2, a_3, \dots) = \varphi_1(a_1, 0, a_2, 0, \dots) = (a_1, a_2, a_3, \dots)$$
  
 $\implies \varphi_1 \circ \psi_1 = 1$ 

Similarly  $\varphi_2\psi_2=1$ . And also:

$$\varphi_2 \psi_1(a_1, a_2, \dots) = \varphi_2(a_1, 0, a_2, \dots) = (0, 0, 0, \dots)$$
  
 $\implies \varphi_2 \circ \psi_1 = 0$ 

And similarly we  $\varphi_1\psi_2=0$ . And also:

$$(\psi_1\varphi_1 + \psi_2\varphi_2)(a_0, a_1, a_2, \dots) = \psi_1(a_0, a_2, a_4, \dots) + \psi_2(a_1, a_3, a_5, \dots)$$
$$= (a_0, 0, a_2, 0, a_4, \dots) + (0, a_1, 0, a_3, 0, \dots)$$
$$= (a_0, a_1, a_2, \dots)$$

Thus we have  $\psi_1\varphi_1 + \psi_2\varphi_2 = 1$ . With this we can generate any element in R. Consider  $\sigma \in R$ :

$$\sigma = \sigma(\psi_1 \varphi_1 + \psi_2 \varphi_2) = (\sigma \psi_1) \varphi_1 + (\sigma \psi_2) \varphi_2$$

To show that this representation is unique suppose that  $\sigma_1\varphi_1 + \sigma_2\varphi_2 = \delta_1\varphi_1 + \delta_2\varphi_2$ , then we have:

$$\sigma_1 \varphi_1 \psi_1 + \sigma_2 \varphi_2 \psi_1 = \delta_1 \varphi_1 \psi_1 + \delta_2 \varphi_2 \psi_1$$
  

$$\implies \sigma_1(1)\sigma_2(0) = \delta_1(1) = \delta_2(0) \implies \sigma_1 = \delta_1$$

Similarly with  $\psi_2$  we can conclude that  $\sigma_2 = \delta_2$ . Which proves the uniqueness of representation. And since for  $0\varphi_1 + 0\varphi_2 = 0$ , the uniqueness of representation shows that if for some  $\sigma_1, \sigma_2 \in R$  we have  $\sigma_1\varphi_1 + \sigma_2\varphi_2 = 0$  then  $\sigma_1 = \sigma_2 = 0$ . Which proves that  $\varphi_1$  and  $\varphi_2$  are linearly independent. This proves that  $\{\varphi_1, \varphi_2\}$  is a basis for R.

(ii) Let us define a homomorphism from  $R \times R$  to R:

$$\phi: R \times R \to R$$
$$(f,g) \mapsto f\varphi_1 + g\varphi_2$$

Since  $\varphi_1, \varphi_2$  form a basis, thus  $\phi$  is both injective and surjective. Checking the homomorphism is easy:

$$\phi((f_1, g_1) + (f_2, g_2)) = \phi(f_1 + f_2, g_1 + g_2)$$

$$= (f_1 + f_2)\varphi_1 + (g_1 + g_2)\varphi_2$$

$$= f_1\varphi_1 + g_1\varphi_2 + f_2\varphi_1 + g_2\varphi_2$$

$$= \phi(f_1, g_1) + \phi(f_2, g_2)$$

Therefore  $\phi$  is a homomorphism. This proves that  $R \times R \cong R$ . And by induction we can see that  $R^n \cong R$ .