## Problem 1.

We can write x as:

$$x \stackrel{2}{\equiv} 1 \implies x = 2k + 1, k \in \mathbb{Z}$$

$$x \stackrel{3}{\equiv} 1 \implies 2k + 1 \stackrel{3}{\equiv} 1 \implies 2k \stackrel{3}{\equiv} 0 \implies k = 3t, t \in \mathbb{Z}$$

$$x = 2k + 1 = 2(3t) + 1 = 6t + 1$$

$$x \stackrel{7}{\equiv} 2 \implies 6t + 1 \stackrel{7}{\equiv} 2 \implies 6t \stackrel{7}{\equiv} 1 \implies 36t \stackrel{7}{\equiv} 6$$

$$\implies t \stackrel{7}{\equiv} 6 \implies t = 7s + 6, s \in \mathbb{Z}$$

$$x = 6t + 1 = 6(7s + 6) + 1 = 42s + 37, s \in \mathbb{Z}$$

$$\implies x = 42s + 37, s \in \mathbb{Z}$$

# Problem 2.

Let  $p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_k$  be primes and let x be the answer to this system of equations:

$$x \stackrel{p_1^2}{\equiv} -1$$

$$x \stackrel{p_2^2}{\equiv} -2$$

$$\vdots$$

$$x \stackrel{p_k^2}{\equiv} -k$$

By Chinese remainder theorem there exists such x. It is easy to see that none of  $x+1, x+2, \ldots, x+k$  are square free since for any  $1 \le i \le k$  we have:  $x \stackrel{p_i^2}{\equiv} -i$  which implies  $p_i^2 \mid x+i$ . Therefore for any k there exists k consecutive number where none of them is square free.

# Problem 3.

Let  $p_{1,1}, p_{1,2}, p_{2,1}, p_{2,2}, \dots, p_{R,1}, p_{R,2}$  be distinct primes with product P. And let x be the answer to the system of equations:

$$x \stackrel{p_{1,1}}{\equiv} 1$$

$$x \stackrel{p_{2,1}}{\equiv} 2$$

$$\vdots$$

$$x \stackrel{p_{R,1}}{\equiv} R$$

$$x \stackrel{p_{1,2}}{\equiv} -1$$

$$x \stackrel{p_{2,2}}{\equiv} -2$$

$$\vdots$$

$$x \stackrel{p_{R,2}}{\equiv} -R$$

By Chinese remainder theorem there exists a unique  $x \pmod{P}$ . This shows that for any  $r \in \mathbb{Z}$ , rP + x satisfies the equations above. By Dirichlet's theorem there are infinitely many primes with the form rP + x. Let y be one of them. It is easy to see that for any  $1 \le i \le R$ ,  $p_{i,1} \mid y - i$  and  $p_{i,2} \mid y + i$ . Thus the only prime number in [y - R, y + R] is y. Therefore there are infinitly many prime numbers like p such that any number in [p - R, p + R] except p is a composite number.

#### Problem 4.

(i) In  $\prod_{i=1}^{\phi(m)}$  product of inverses is 1 mod m. So we are left with the elements like x such that  $x^2 \stackrel{m}{\equiv} 1$ . Note that (x,m) = (m-x,m) = 1 and also since  $(m-x)^2 = m^2 - 2mx + x^2 \stackrel{m}{\equiv} 1$ . So in remaining elements pair each x with m-x. We have  $x(m-x) = mx - x^2 \stackrel{m}{\equiv} -1$ . So we only have to answer that how many answers are there to the equation  $x^2 \stackrel{m}{\equiv} 1$ . It suffices to see how many answers are there to the equation  $x^2 \stackrel{m}{\equiv} 1$  where  $m = p_1^{\alpha_1} \dots p_r^{\alpha_r}$ . For any odd prime  $p_i$  we have:

$$x^2 \stackrel{p}{\equiv} 1 \implies x = 1, p - 1$$

By Hensel's lemma we can see that if answers to  $x^2 - 1 \stackrel{p^{\alpha-1}}{\equiv} 0$  are 1 and  $p^{\alpha-1} - 1$ , Since  $p \nmid 2x$ , Then answers for  $x^2 - 1 \stackrel{p^{\alpha}}{\equiv} 0$  are 1 + 0 and  $p^{\alpha} - 1$ . Which shows that for any  $p^{\alpha}$  this equation only has two answers. For  $p_i = 2$ , we have:

$$x^{2} - 1 \stackrel{?}{=} 0 \implies x = 1$$

$$x^{2} - 1 \stackrel{4}{=} 0 \implies x = 1, 3$$

$$x^{2} - 1 \stackrel{8}{=} 0 \implies x = 1, 3, 5, 7$$

And for any other n with  $x^2 - 1 \stackrel{2^n}{\equiv} 0$  we have:

$$2^{n} \mid (x-1)(x+1) \implies \begin{cases} 2 \mid x+1, \ 2^{n-1} \mid x-1 \\ 2 \mid x-1, \ 2^{n-1} \mid x+1 \end{cases}$$
$$2^{n-1} \mid x-1 \implies x = 2^{n-1} + 1 \text{ since } 0 \le x < 2^{n}$$
$$2^{n-1} \mid x+1 \implies x = 2^{n-1} - 1 \text{ since } 0 \le x < 2^{n}$$

This shows that for  $2^n$  with n > 2 there are exactly four answers to this equation. Now for any m we just have to multiply the number of answers for each  $p_i^{\alpha_i}$ . If it is divisible by 4 then k = 1 And if not then k = -1.

(ii) We just have to find k where  $2024^{1403} \stackrel{100}{\equiv} k$ . We know that  $\phi(100) = 40$ .

$$2024^{1403} \stackrel{100}{\equiv} 24^{1403} \stackrel{100}{\equiv} 24^{40 \times 35 + 3}$$

And since  $2^{40} \stackrel{100}{\equiv} 1$  we have:

$$(24^{40})^{35}.24^3 \stackrel{100}{\equiv} 24^3 = 13824 \stackrel{100}{\equiv} 24$$
  
 $\implies 2024^{1403} \stackrel{100}{\equiv} 24$ 

## Problem 5.

(i) Let  $n = (p-1)^{2k+1}$  for some  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . It is easy to see that:

$$2^{(p-1)^{2k+1}} \stackrel{p}{\equiv} (2^{(p-1)})^{(p-1)^{2k}} \stackrel{p}{\equiv} 1$$

$$\implies (p-1)^{2k+1} 2^{(p-1)^{2k+1}} + 1 \stackrel{p}{\equiv} (p-1)^{2k+1} + 1$$

$$\stackrel{p}{\equiv} (-1)^{2k+1} + 1 \stackrel{p}{\equiv} -1 + 1 \stackrel{p}{\equiv} 0$$

$$\implies p \mid n2^n + 1$$

(ii) Since (2, n) = 1 we just have to show that  $\phi(n) \mid n!$ . First we prove this for  $n = p^{\alpha}$ .

$$\phi(p^{\alpha}) = p^{\alpha - 1}(p - 1)$$

Since  $(p^{\alpha-1}, p-1) = 1$  and  $p^{\alpha-1}, p-1 < p^{\alpha}!$ , we have  $p^{\alpha-1}(p-1) \mid p^{\alpha}!$ . Now we proceed with induction on number of distinct primes in n. Base case for 1 prime is  $p^{\alpha}$  which is done. Now suppose for any n with N different primes,  $\phi(n) \mid n!$ . Suppose m is a number with N+1 different primes where  $m=p_1^{\alpha_1}\dots p_{N+1}^{\alpha_{N+1}}$ . We can write  $m=km_1$  where  $k=p_1^{\alpha_1}$  and  $m_1$  has N primes. By induction hypothesis we know that  $\phi(k) \mid k$  and  $\phi(m_1) \mid m_1$ . And since  $\phi$  is a multiplicative function we have:

$$\phi(m) = \phi(k)\phi(m_1) \mid k!m_1!$$

It only remains to show that  $k!m_1! \mid (m_1k)!$ . Since  $m_1, k > 1$  we have  $m_1k \geq m_1 + k$  and we know that  $k!m_1! \mid (m_1 + k)!$  since  $\binom{m_1+k}{k}$  is an integer. Therefore we have:

$$\phi(m) \mid k!m_1! \mid (m_1 + k)! \mid (m_1k)! = m!$$

Thus for any  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$  we have  $\phi(n) \mid n!$ . Now we can write  $n! = \phi(n)k$ :

$$2^{n!} - 1 \stackrel{n}{=} (2^{\phi(n)})^k - 1 \stackrel{n}{=} 0$$
$$\implies n \mid 2^{n!} - 1$$

# Problem 6.

Suppose number of primes in form of 4k+1 is finite. And they are all  $p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_r$ . Let  $P=p_1^2p_2^2\ldots p_r^2$ . P+4 is an odd number and is in form of  $m^2+n^2$  where (n,m)=1 since (P,4)=1. Therefore we know that any divisor of this number is in form of 4k+1. Then we have  $p_i\mid P+4$  for some  $1\leq i\leq r$ .

$$\begin{array}{c} p_i \mid P+4 \\ p_i \mid P \end{array} \right\} \implies p_i \mid 4 \implies p_1 \le 4$$

which is a contradiction since there is no prime in form of 4k + 1 between 1 and 4. This shows that there are infinitely many primes in form of 4k + 1.

## Problem 7.

By Wilson's theorem we know that  $(p-1)! \stackrel{p}{\equiv} -1$ . This shows that  $p \mid (p-1)! + 1$ . Now if (p-1)! + 1 has no other prime factor, then we would have  $p^{\alpha} = (p-1)! + 1$ .

$$p^{\alpha} - 1 = (p-1)!$$
$$(p-1)(p^{\alpha-1} + \dots + p+1) = (p-1)!$$
$$p^{\alpha-1} + \dots + p+1 = (p-2)!$$

But we know that for any composite n we have:  $n \mid (n-1)!$ . And since p-1 is not a prime then we have:  $p-1 \mid (p-2)!$ . Thus:

$$p-1 \mid (p-2)! = p^{\alpha-1} + \dots + p+1$$
$$p^{\alpha-1} + \dots + p+1 \stackrel{p-1}{\equiv} 1^{\alpha-1} + \dots + 1 + 1 \stackrel{p-1}{\equiv} \alpha$$

And since  $\alpha > 1$  then we have  $p-1 \mid \alpha \implies \alpha \geq p-1$ . Now we can see that  $p^{\alpha} = (p-1)! + 1$  is not possible since:

$$p^{\alpha} \ge p^{p-1} = p \times p \times \dots \times p > (p-1) \times (p-2) \times \dots \times 2 \times 1 = (p-1)!$$

This shows that  $p^{\alpha} > (p-1)!+1$ . Which is a contradiction. This means that (p-1)!+1 is not in form of  $p^{\alpha}$  and has another prime factor which completes the proof.