

Problem 1.

Let G be of order $75 = 5^2 \cdot 3$. Let n_5 be the number of 5-Sylow subgroups of G . By Sylow's theorem we know that $n_5 \equiv 1 \pmod{5}$ and $n_5 \mid 3$. This shows that $n_5 = 1$, which shows that the only 5-Sylow subgroup of G is normal in G . Now we can write G with semi-direct product of P the 5-Sylow subgroup:

$$G = P \rtimes_{\varphi} H$$

Where H is a subgroup of order 3. Since there only exists one group with order 3, therefore $H \cong \mathbb{Z}_3$:

$$G = P \rtimes_{\varphi} \mathbb{Z}_3$$

Now P is of order 25, which means that there only exists two groups with this order (up to isomorphism), \mathbb{Z}_{25} and $\mathbb{Z}_5 \times \mathbb{Z}_5$. First suppose $P = \langle \mathbb{Z}_{25}, + \rangle$:

$$\varphi : \mathbb{Z}_3 \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\mathbb{Z}_{25})$$

Since $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{Z}_{25}) \cong (\mathbb{Z}_{25})^*$, and $(\mathbb{Z}_{25})^*$ has no element of order 3, then φ can only be trivial. Therefore in case of $P = \langle \mathbb{Z}_{25}, + \rangle$ we have:

$$G = \mathbb{Z}_{25} \times \mathbb{Z}_3$$

Now suppose $P = \langle \mathbb{Z}_5 \times \mathbb{Z}_5, + \rangle$:

$$\varphi : \mathbb{Z}_3 \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\mathbb{Z}_5 \times \mathbb{Z}_5)$$

$\text{Aut}(\mathbb{Z}_5 \times \mathbb{Z}_5)$ is a group with $24 \times 20 = 480$ elements. Where $3 \mid 480$ and $9 \nmid 480$. Therefore every subgroup of order 3 in this group is a 3-sylow subgroup. If φ is to be a nontrivial homomorphism, then r where $\langle r \rangle = \mathbb{Z}_3$ must be mapped to an element of order 3. In other words we should have $\varphi(3)$ to be an element of order 3. In this case $\varphi(\mathbb{Z}_3) \cong \mathbb{Z}_3$. Therefore image of \mathbb{Z}_3 is a 3-sylow subgroup. Since \mathbb{Z}_3 is cyclic and all 3-sylows are conjugate, therefore all such φ s will produce the same group (up to isomorphism). Therefore we only need to find one such group. Since all elements of $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{Z}_5 \times \mathbb{Z}_5)$ are matrices from $GL_2(F_5)$, Consider the matrix below which has order 3:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 4 & 4 \end{pmatrix}$$

Now if we let $a = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ and $b = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, we have that:

$$ara^{-1} = a \cdot r = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 4 & 4 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = b$$

$$brb^{-1} = b \cdot r = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 4 & 4 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 4 & 4 \end{pmatrix} = a^4 b^4$$

$$G = (\mathbb{Z}_5 \times \mathbb{Z}_5) \rtimes_{\varphi} \mathbb{Z}_3$$

$$G = \langle r, a, b \mid a^5 = b^5 = r^3 = 1, rar^{-1} = b, rbr^{-1} = a^4 b^4 \rangle$$

And for trivial φ we have:

$$G = (\mathbb{Z}_5 \times \mathbb{Z}_5) \times \mathbb{Z}_3$$

This concludes all the cases:

$$\begin{aligned} G &= \mathbb{Z}_{25} \times \mathbb{Z}_3 \\ G &= \mathbb{Z}_5 \times \mathbb{Z}_5 \times \mathbb{Z}_3 \\ G &= \langle r, a, b \mid a^5 = b^5 = r^3 = 1, rar^{-1} = b, rbr^{-1} = a^4b^4 \rangle \end{aligned}$$

Problem 2.

First we need to find the $Aut(\mathbb{Z})$. Let $\delta : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ be an automorphism. Then we have $\delta(0) + \delta(0) = \delta(0 + 0) = \delta(0)$, which shows that $\delta(0) = 0$. Now there exists some a such that $\delta(a) = 1$. Then we have:

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &= \delta(a) = \delta(1 + 1 \cdots + 1) = a\delta(1) \\ \implies \delta(1) &= \frac{1}{a} \in \mathbb{Z} \\ \implies a &= \pm 1 \end{aligned}$$

If $\delta(1) = 1$ then it is the identity automorphism.

If $\delta(-1) = 1$ then we have:

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \delta(1 - 1) = \delta(1) + \delta(-1) = \delta(1) + 1 \\ \implies \delta(1) &= -1 \\ \forall a \in \mathbb{Z}, a > 0 : \delta(a) &= a\delta(1) = -a \\ \delta(-a) &= a\delta(-1) = a \end{aligned}$$

Which is the inversion automorphism with order 2. Now we have: $Aut(\mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}_2$. To identify $\mathbb{Z} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}$ we need to find all homomorphisms φ such that:

$$\varphi : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow Aut(\mathbb{Z}) \equiv \mathbb{Z}_2$$

Since 1 is the generator of \mathbb{Z} we only need to find $\varphi(1)$ to uniquely find φ . Since 1 has infinite order in \mathbb{Z} then it can be mapped to any element in $Aut(\mathbb{Z})$. If $\varphi(1) = id$ then we have the direct product $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$. If $\varphi(1) = inv$ then if a and b are both generators of \mathbb{Z} and \mathbb{Z} in $\mathbb{Z} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}$:

$$G = \langle a, b \mid aba^{-1} = b^{-1} \rangle$$

As for center of each group, since $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$ is abelian, then all of elements are in center. And for G , suppose $s = a^i b^j \in Z(G)$, and since $ab = b^{-1}a$:

$$\begin{aligned} aa^i b^j &= a^i b^j a = a^i ab^{-j} \implies b^j = b^{-j} \implies j = 0 \implies s = a^i \\ ba^i &= a^i b = b^{(-1)^i} a^i \implies b = b^{(-1)^i} \implies 1 = (-1)^i \implies \exists k : i = 2k \end{aligned}$$

Therefore for any $s = a^{2k}$, s commutes with a and b and since they are generators of G therefore $s \in Z(G)$. Thus we have:

$$Z(G) = \{a^{2k} \mid k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$$

Problem 3.

(i) Let $r = (g_1, g_2, \dots, g_n)$ and $s = (h_1, g_2, \dots, g_n)$:

$$\begin{aligned}\phi(rs) &= (rs)^p = (g_1 h_1, g_2 h_2, \dots, g_n h_n)^p = (g_1^p h_1^p, g_2^p h_2^p, \dots, g_n^p h_n^p) \\ &= (g_1^p, g_2^p, \dots, g_n^p)(h_1^p, h_2^p, \dots, h_n^p) \\ &= \phi(r)\phi(s)\end{aligned}$$

This shows that ϕ is a homomorphism.

(ii) If $x = (x_1^{\beta_1}, x_2^{\beta_2}, \dots, x_n^{\beta_n}) \in \ker(\phi)$ with $\beta_i \leq p^{\alpha_i}$, then we have:

$$\begin{aligned}\phi(x) &= (x_1^{\beta_1}, x_2^{\beta_2}, \dots, x_n^{\beta_n})^p = (x_1^{p\beta_1}, x_2^{p\beta_2}, \dots, x_n^{p\beta_n}) = (x_1^{p^{\alpha_1} k_1}, x_2^{p^{\alpha_2} k_2}, \dots, x_n^{p^{\alpha_n} k_n}) \\ &\implies p\beta_i = p^{\alpha_i} k_i \implies \beta_i = p^{\alpha_i - 1} k_i\end{aligned}$$

And since $\beta_i \leq p^{\alpha_i}$, we have $k_i \leq p$. Therefore we have:

$$\ker(\phi) = \{(x_1^{p^{\alpha_1 - 1} k_1}, x_2^{p^{\alpha_2 - 1} k_2}, \dots, x_n^{p^{\alpha_n - 1} k_n}) | 1 \leq k_i \leq p\}$$

And for image we have:

$$\begin{aligned}\phi(x) &= (x_1^{\beta_1}, x_2^{\beta_2}, \dots, x_n^{\beta_n})^p = (x_1^{p\beta_1}, x_2^{p\beta_2}, \dots, x_n^{p\beta_n}) \\ \text{im}(\phi) &= \{(x_1^{p\beta_1}, x_2^{p\beta_2}, \dots, x_n^{p\beta_n}) | \beta_i \in \mathbb{Z}\}\end{aligned}$$

(iii) We only need to show that both of these are isomorphic (as vector spaces) to E_{p^n} and prove that E_{p^n} has rank n . And to prove that they are isomorphic as vector spaces, we only need to show that they are isomorphic as groups, since scalar product can be defined accordingly. For $\ker\phi$:

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi : \ker(\phi) &\rightarrow \langle \mathbb{Z}_p \rangle \times \dots \times \langle \mathbb{Z}_p \rangle \\ (x_1^{p^{\alpha_1 - 1} k_1}, \dots, x_n^{p^{\alpha_n - 1} k_n}) &\rightarrow (k_1, k_2, \dots, k_n)\end{aligned}$$

To check the homomorphism we have:

$$\begin{aligned}\phi((x_1^{p^{\alpha_1 - 1} k_1}, \dots, x_n^{p^{\alpha_n - 1} k_n}) \cdot (x_1^{p^{\alpha_1 - 1} h_1}, \dots, x_n^{p^{\alpha_n - 1} h_n})) &= \\ &= \phi((x_1^{p^{\alpha_1 - 1} k_1 + h_1}, \dots, x_n^{p^{\alpha_n - 1} k_n + h_n})) \\ &= (k_1 + h_1, \dots, k_n + h_n) \\ &= (k_1, \dots, k_n) + (h_1, \dots, h_n) \\ &= \phi((x_1^{p^{\alpha_1 - 1} k_1}, \dots, x_n^{p^{\alpha_n - 1} k_n})) \phi((x_1^{p^{\alpha_1 - 1} h_1}, \dots, x_n^{p^{\alpha_n - 1} h_n}))\end{aligned}$$

It is also trivial that φ is injective and surjective, therefore $\ker(\phi) \cong E_{p^n}$.

As for $A/\text{im}(\phi)$ we have that for any δ_i, σ_i such that with $1 \leq i \leq n$, $\sigma_i \stackrel{p}{\equiv} \delta_i$:

$$zv^{-1} = (x_1^{\sigma_1}, \dots, x_n^{\sigma_n})(x_1^{\delta_1}, \dots, x_n^{\delta_n})^{-1} = (x_1^{\sigma_1 - \delta_1}, \dots, x_n^{\sigma_n - \delta_n}) = (x_1^{pk_1}, \dots, x_n^{pk_n}) \in \text{im}(\phi)$$

Thus z and v are in the same coset: $A/\text{im}(\phi) = \{(x_1^{\alpha_1}, \dots, x_n^{\alpha_n}) | 1 \leq \alpha_i \leq p\}$. Now it is trivial that $A/\text{im}(\phi)$ is isomorphic to E_{p^n} . Now it only remains to show that E_{p^n} has rank n , which is easy since the set below is the basis for it:

$$\begin{aligned} &(1, 0, \dots, 0) \\ &(0, 1, \dots, 0) \\ &\vdots \\ &(0, 0, \dots, 1) \end{aligned}$$

And all are linearly independent.

Problem 4.

- (i) Since 5-sylow is not normal then we have more than 1 subgroup of order 5. Now we know that $n_5 \equiv 1 \pmod{5}$ and $n_5 \mid 12$. Since $n_5 \neq 1$ then we have $n_5 = 6$. We want to prove that G is simple. Suppose that $H \triangleleft G$ and $H \neq 1$.

Case 1) $5 \mid |H|$:

This shows that there exists some subgroup of order 5 in H , and since H is normal then all of its conjugates are also in H . Therefore all 6 5-sylow subgroups are in H . And since they all have different elements, then H has exactly 24 distinct elements of order 5. With addition of 1, we have $|H| \geq 25$. And also $|H| \mid 60$ therefore $|H| = 30$ or 60 . But we proved earlier in the course that any group with order 30 has only one 5-sylow. Which is a contradiction, therefore $|H| = 60$. This proves that G is simple.

Case 2) $5 \nmid |H|$:

Therefore $|H| \mid 12$. If $|H| = 12$:

$$\begin{aligned} n_3 &\equiv 1 \pmod{3} \quad n_3 \mid 4 \implies n_3 = 1 \text{ or } 4 \\ n_2 &\equiv 1 \pmod{2} \quad n_2 \mid 3 \implies n_2 = 1 \text{ or } 3 \end{aligned}$$

Now if $n_3 = 4$ then there exists 8 distinct elements with order 3. Which leaves only 4 other elements in H , that forces $n_2 = 1$. This shows that either $n_2 = 1$ or $n_3 = 1$. Since either 2-sylow or 3-sylow in H is unique and H is normal in G therefore one of them is normal in G . Thus in this case G has a normal subgroup of order 3 or 2. Let that subgroup be H .

If $|H| = 6$:

$$n_3 \equiv 1 \pmod{3} \quad n_3 \mid 2 \implies n_3 = 1$$

Since H has a unique subgroup of order 3 and H is normal in G then G has a normal subgroup of order 3. Let H be that subgroup.

Now if $|H| = 4$:

$$\left| \frac{G}{H} \right| = 15$$

Since group of order 15 has a unique subgroup of order 5 then by forth isomorphism theorem we have:

$$\left| \frac{N}{H} \right| = 5, \quad \frac{N}{H} \triangleleft \frac{G}{H} \implies N \triangleleft G, \quad 5 \mid |N|$$

But we solved this in case 1.

If $|H| = 3$:

$$\left| \frac{G}{H} \right| = 20$$

but in this group of order 20 we have:

$$n_5 \stackrel{5}{\equiv} 1 \quad n_5 \mid 4 \implies n_5 = 1$$

There is a subgroup of order 5:

$$\left| \frac{N}{H} \right| = 5, \quad \frac{N}{H} \triangleleft \frac{G}{H} \implies N \triangleleft G, \quad 5 \mid |N|$$

Which is solved in case 1.

If $|H| = 2$ then:

$$\left| \frac{G}{H} \right| = 30$$

We proved in the class that every group of order 30 has a normal subgroup of order 5:

$$\left| \frac{N}{H} \right| = 5, \quad \frac{N}{H} \triangleleft \frac{G}{H} \implies N \triangleleft G, \quad 5 \mid |N|$$

Which is also solved in case 1.

This proves that in both cases G is indeed simple. Now we prove that G has no subgroup of index 1, 2, 3, 4 or 5. Since if $H < G$ and $[G : H] = n$ then there exists some homomorphism π such that:

$$\pi : G \rightarrow S_n$$

For $n = 1, 2, 3, 4$ we have: $|S_n| \leq 24 < 60 = |G|$. This shows that $|\ker \pi| > 1$ and thus G has a non-trivial normal subgroup, but G is simple. This is a contradiction. Therefore G can't have a subgroup of index 1, 2, 3, 4. Now if $H < G$ and $[G : H] = 5$ then we have:

$$\pi : G \rightarrow S_5$$

But since $|S_5| = 120$, then we could have $|ker\pi| = 1$. In this case we have: $\pi(G) < S_5$ and $|\pi(G)| = 60$. Now we have $A_5 \triangleleft S_5$ and $|A_5| = 60$, Therefore:

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} A_5 < \pi(G)A_5 < S_5 \\ [S_5 : A_5] = 2 \end{array} \right\} \implies A_5 = \pi(G)A_5 \text{ or } S_5 = \pi(G)A_5$$

If $A_5 = \pi(G)A_5$ therefore $G < A_5$ and since they are of the same size then $\pi(G) = A_5$ therefore $G \cong A_5$.

If $S_5 = \pi(G)A_5$ therefore by second isomorphism theorem we have:

$$2 = \frac{|S_5|}{|A_5|} = \frac{|\pi(G)A_5|}{|A_5|} = \frac{|\pi(G)|}{|A_5 \cap \pi(G)|} \implies |A_5 \cap \pi(G)| = 30$$

$$A_5 \cap \pi(G) < \pi(G)$$

This shows that $\pi(G)$ has a subgroup with index 2, which is normal, but this can't happen since G is simple, therefore $\pi(G)$ is simple and can't have a normal subgroup. This contradiction shows that G also can't have a subgroup of index 5, unless $G \cong A_5$. Now consider in G consider the 2-sylow subgroups:

$$n_2 \stackrel{2}{\equiv} 1 \quad n_2 \mid 15 \implies n_2 = 1, 3, 5, 15$$

Since all 2-sylows are conjugate therefore they lie in the same orbit, thus we have:

$$\pi : G \rightarrow S_{n_2}$$

And with prvious parts, we can't have $n_2 = 3, 5$, unless $G \cong A_5$. $n_2 = 1$ is also not an option since G is simple. Therefore we have $n_2 = 15$. If these 15 subgroups of order 5 have no interesection, then we have $15 \times 3 = 45$ distinct elements with order 2 or 4. And since we have $n_5 = 6$ then we have 24 elements of order 5. $45 + 24 = 69 > 60$. which is a contradiction. Therefore there exists some two of these 2-sylow gruops that have a non-trivial intersection. Let P and Q be those two:

$$H = P \cap Q \quad |H| = 2$$

Now consider $N_G(H)$. We have $P < N_G(H)$, and $Q < N_G(H)$ since both P and Q are abelian (All groups or order 4 are abelian). Which implies $|N_G(H)| \geq 6$. We also have $|N_G(H)| \nmid 60$. And also $|N_G(H)| \neq 60$ since H is not normal in G since G is simple. Also $P < N_G(H)$ implies that $4 = |P| \mid |N_G(H)|$. Thus we have:

$$|N_G(H)| = 12 \text{ or } 20$$

If $|N_G(H)| = 20$ then it is a subgroup of index 3, which we proved to be impossible earlier. If $|N_G(H)| = 12$ then it is a subgroup of order 5, which can only happen if $G \cong A_5$. therefore $G \cong A_5$.

(ii)

(iii)

Problem 5.

First assume that $k > 0$ ($p > 3$) since we classified all groups of order 12 earlier in the class. By Cauchy's theorem we know that any group with $4p$ elements, has a subgroup of order 4 and a subgroup of order p . Now let n_p be the number of p -sylow subgroups in G :

$$n_p \equiv 1 \pmod{p} \quad n_p \mid 4 \implies n_p = 1, 2, 4$$

If $n_2 = 4$ then $4 \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ which implies $p \mid 3$ which is a contradiction knowing $p > 3$. If $n_2 = 2$ then $p \mid 1$ which is also a contradiction. Therefore we have $n_p = 1$. Which shows that p -sylow subgroup of G is normal in G . Now to find all semi-direct products of these two we have to find all homomorphisms:

$$\varphi : \mathbb{Z}_4 \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\mathbb{Z}_p) \cong \mathbb{Z}_{p-1}$$

If we let r to be the generator of \mathbb{Z}_4 then we should map r to an element of order 4, 2 or 1 in \mathbb{Z}_{p-1} . But since $4 \nmid p-1 = 4k+2$ then \mathbb{Z}_{p-1} has no element of order 4. And since all 2-sylow subgroups are conjugate to each other, therefore we only need to find one element of order 2 in \mathbb{Z}_{p-1} . Now consider $\alpha \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ such that:

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha(1) = p-1 &\implies \alpha(i) = p-i = i^{-1} \\ \alpha(\alpha(i)) &= p-(p-i) = i \end{aligned}$$

Therefore α is an element of order 2 in $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{Z}_p)$. Let $\varphi(r) = \alpha$ and $\langle s \rangle = \mathbb{Z}_p$:

$$\begin{aligned} G &= \mathbb{Z}_p \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_4 \\ G &= \langle r, s \mid r^4 = s^p = 1, rsr^{-1} = s^{-1} \rangle \end{aligned}$$

The other φ is where r is mapped to an element of order 1, where we have the direct product of these groups:

$$G = \mathbb{Z}_p \times \mathbb{Z}_4$$

Therefore there are only 2 non-isomorphic groups with order $4p$.

Problem 6.

- (i) Suppose for all $q \in Q$, $\theta'(q) = \alpha \circ \theta(q) \circ \alpha^{-1}$, where $\alpha, \theta(q), \theta'(q) \in \text{Aut}(N)$. We show that:

$$N \rtimes_{\theta} Q \equiv N \rtimes_{\theta'} Q$$

Let $\phi : N \rtimes_{\theta} Q \rightarrow N \rtimes_{\theta'} Q$, where $\phi(n, q) = (\alpha(n), q)$. To show that ϕ is homomorphism:

$$\begin{aligned} \phi((n, q) \cdot (n', q')) &= \phi(n\theta(q)(n'), qq') \\ &= (\alpha(n\theta(q)(n')), qq') \\ &= (\alpha(n)\alpha(\theta(q)(n')), qq') \\ &= (\alpha(n)\alpha \circ \theta(q) \circ \alpha^{-1} \circ \alpha(n'), qq') \\ &= (\alpha(n)\theta'(\alpha(n')), qq') \\ &= (\alpha(n), q)(\alpha(n'), q') \\ &= \phi(n, q)\phi(n', q') \end{aligned}$$

And since $\alpha \in \text{Aut}(N)$ then α^{-1} is also in $\text{Aut}(N)$. And since ϕ' with $\phi'(n, q) = (\alpha^{-1}(n), q)$ is the inverse of ϕ then ϕ is an isomorphism, therefore $N \rtimes_{\theta} Q \cong N \rtimes_{\theta'} Q$.

(ii) Suppose we have $\theta = \theta' \circ \alpha$ for some $\alpha \in \text{Aut}(Q)$. Let ϕ :

$$\begin{aligned}\phi : N \rtimes_{\theta} Q &\rightarrow N \rtimes_{\theta'} Q \\ (n, q) &\rightarrow (n, \alpha(q))\end{aligned}$$

To check the homomorphism:

$$\begin{aligned}\phi((n, q)(n', q')) &= \phi((n\theta(q)(n'), qq')) \\ &= (n\theta(q)(n'), \alpha(qq')) \\ &= (n\theta'(q) \circ \alpha(q)(n'), \alpha(q)\alpha(q')) \\ &= (n, \alpha(q))(n', \alpha(q')) \\ &= \phi(n, q)\phi(n', q')\end{aligned}$$

And since α^{-1} is also in $\text{Aut}(Q)$ and $\phi'(n, q) = (n, \alpha^{-1}(q))$ is the inverse of ϕ therefore ϕ is an isomorphism, and we have $N \rtimes_{\theta} Q \cong N \rtimes_{\theta'} Q$

(iii) Suppose Q is cyclic and the subgroup $\theta(Q)$ of $\text{Aut}(N)$ is conjugate to $\theta'(Q)$. Since $\theta(Q)$ is conjugate with $\theta'(Q)$ therefore there exists some $\alpha \in \text{Aut}(N)$ such that:

$$\alpha \circ \theta(a) \circ \alpha^{-1} \in \theta'(Q)$$

Where a is the generator of Q . And since Q is cyclic then there exists some i such that:

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha \circ \theta(a) \circ \alpha^{-1} &= \theta'(a^i) \\ \alpha \circ \theta(a^j) \circ \alpha^{-1} &= \theta'(a^i)^j = \theta'(a^{ij})\end{aligned}$$

Now consider:

$$\begin{aligned}\phi : N \rtimes_{\theta} Q &\rightarrow N \rtimes_{\theta'} Q \\ (n, q) &\rightarrow (\alpha(n), q^i)\end{aligned}$$

To prove the homomorphism:

$$\begin{aligned}\phi((n, a^j)(n', a^k)) &= \phi(n\theta(a^j)(n'), a^{j+k}) \\ &= (\alpha(n)\alpha(\theta(a^j)(n')), a^{i(j+k)}) \\ &= (\alpha(n)\alpha(\theta(a^j)(\alpha^{-1}\alpha(n'))), a^{ij}a^{ik}) \\ &= (\alpha(n)\theta'(a^{ij})\alpha(n'), a^{ij}a^{ik}) \\ &= (\alpha(n), a^{ij})(\alpha(n'), a^{ik}) \\ &= \phi(n, a^j)\phi(n', a^k)\end{aligned}$$

Therefore ϕ is a homomorphism. Similarly we have:

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha^{-1} \circ \theta'(a) \circ \alpha &\in \theta(Q) \\ \exists j : \alpha^{-1} \circ \theta'(a) \circ \alpha &= \theta(a^j)\end{aligned}$$

Therefore $\phi'(n, q) = (\alpha^{-1}(n), q^j)$ is the inverse of ϕ . Therefore ϕ is an isomorphism and we have $N \rtimes_{\theta} Q \cong N \rtimes_{\theta'} Q$.