

A Fixpoint Theorem For Complete Categories

May 5, 2025

Abstract

Consider an Endofunctor $\mathcal{F} : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$, where \mathcal{C} is some category. Then we say $X \in \mathcal{C}_0$ is a fixpoint of \mathcal{F} if $\mathcal{F}(X) \cong X$ *i.e.* The image of X under \mathcal{F} is isomorphic to itself in category \mathcal{C} . Now while \mathcal{F} is an Endofunctor of \mathcal{C} , what if we had multiple endofunctors, rather than just one. We introduce the idea of commutative set of functors, where functors in this set, commute with each other, and then we talk about the objects that are fixpoint of this whole set.

Important takes

One of the most exciting corollaries in this paper is that if \mathcal{C} is a locally small category then functor $F : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ has a fixpoint if and only if there exists a functor $U : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ such that $UT \cong TU$. This means that instead of looking directly for some object to be the fixpoint, we can look for some rather trivial U that commutes with T .

Some explanations on the approach

Suppose X is fixpoint of $T : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$. This means that $X \cong T(X)$, or in other words there exists an isomorphism between X and $T(X)$ in \mathcal{C} . Then we can start looking for all morphisms $X \rightarrow T(X)$. And find the conditions for this morphism to be an iso. This morphism is an object in the comma category $(1 \downarrow T)$, which we refer to by $(1, T)$. And it turns out that for this object to be an isomorphism, it should be a terminal object in the comma category mentioned. This explains the first 4 sections of this paper. While the fifth part is about completeness and cocompleteness of the category of fixpoints.

Fixpoint of a single endofunctor

Suppose $T : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ is an endofunctor. We construct a category $(1, T)$ such that its objects are maps from $X \rightarrow T(X)$, where X is an object of the category \mathcal{A} , and its morphisms are maps $(f, T(f))$ from $a : X \rightarrow T(X)$ to $a' : Y \rightarrow T(Y)$ such that the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{a} & TX \\ f \downarrow & & \downarrow \mathcal{T}(f) \\ Y & \xrightarrow{a'} & T(Y) \end{array}$$

Now we can have a canonical functor $\Delta : (1, T) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$, wherer $\Delta(a) = X$, where $a : X \rightarrow T(X)$, and $\Delta((f, T(f))) = f$.

Proposition 1. *Suppose \mathcal{J} is some index category with functor $\Gamma : \mathcal{J} \rightarrow (1, T)$, Then Γ is cocomplete, if $\Delta\Gamma : \mathcal{J} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ is cocomplete. In particular $(1, T)$ is cocomplete, if \mathcal{A} is.*

Proof. Suppose A is the colimit with maps $\{u_x\}_{x \in \mathcal{J}}$, where $u_x : \Delta\Gamma(x) \rightarrow A$. $T(A)$ also constructs a cocone with maps $T(u_x)\Gamma(x) : \Delta\Gamma(x) \rightarrow T(A)$. Since A is the colimit, then there exists a unique map $a : A \rightarrow T(A)$, in which all the diagrams, commute. In the end it's not hard to see that a is the colimit of Γ . \square

Proposition 2. *If $(1, T)$ has a terminal object, $f : F \rightarrow T(F)$, then f is an isomorphism.*

Proof. Some diagram chasing will do the work. \square

Now here we find some conditions that gives us a fixpoint of T , however this is not the necessary condition.

Proposition 3. *Assume \mathcal{A} is cocomplete, and $T : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$. Then there exists object F such that $T(F) \cong F$, if the following condition holds:*

\mathcal{A} has a small sub-category \mathcal{S} such that for any map $a : A \rightarrow T(A)$, with $A \in \mathcal{A}_0$, there exists map $x : A \rightarrow S$ and $s : S \rightarrow T(S)$, where $S \in \mathcal{S}_0$ such that $sx = T(x)a$. In other words, there exists a morphism from a to s in category $(1, T)$.

Proof. We know that if a cocomplete category has a small sub-category that admits a map from all objects, then it has a terminal object. This shows that $(1, T)$ has a small sub-category such that admits a map from all objects of $(1, T)$. Thus it has a terminal object. Now by Proposition 2 we know that this terminal object is a an isomorphism, therefore there exists a fixpoint for T . \square

Corollary 4. *This means that if \mathcal{A} is itself small and is cocomplete, then for any endofunctor $T : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ there exists a fixpoint.*

Althugh in this case \mathcal{A} is a complete lattice and it is proved in Tarski Theorem. But now we want to find the fixpoint of more than just one functor. For this we need to define the notion of commutative set of functors.

Commutative set of functors

Defintion 5. A commutative set of functors on \mathcal{A} is a pair (\mathcal{T}, g) where \mathcal{T} is a set of endofunctors and g is a famili of natrual iomorphisms $g(T, T') : TT' \rightarrow T'T$, satisfying the following three conditions:

1. $g(T, T) = 1_{TT}$,
2. $g(T, T')g(T', T) = 1_{TT}$,
3. $g(T'', T, T')g(T', T'', T)g(T, T', T'') = 1_{TT'T''}$ Where

$$g(T, T', T'') = (T'g(T, T''))(g(T, T')T'')$$

The last condition is the commutative diagram below:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & TT'T'' & \\
 & \nearrow & \searrow \\
 TT''T' & & T'TT'' \\
 \uparrow & & \downarrow \\
 T''TT' & & T'T''T \\
 & \nwarrow & \swarrow \\
 & T''T'T &
 \end{array}$$

Defintion 6. Given a commutative set of functors (\mathcal{T}, g) on \mathcal{A} , let $a = \{a_T | T \in \mathcal{T}\}$ be a family of maps $a_T : A \rightarrow T(A)$. We say that (A, a) is compatible with (\mathcal{T}, g) if, for any $T, T' \in \mathcal{T}$,

$$T(a_{T'})a_T = g(T', T)(A)T'(a_T)a_{T'}$$

If moreover the a_T are all isomorphisms, we call (A, a) a fixpoint of (\mathcal{T}, g) .

Proposition 7. Let (\mathcal{T}, g) be a commutative set of functors on \mathcal{A} , and $T \in \mathcal{T}$. If (A, a) is compatible with (\mathcal{T}, g) , the so is $(T(A), Ta)$.

Proof. Rewriting some definitions. □

Now similar to the category $(1, \mathcal{T})$ we need the category $(1, (\mathcal{T}, g))$. Its objects are family of maps $a = \{a_T | T \in \mathcal{T}\}$ such that (A, a) is compatible. And its maps are $x : A \rightarrow A'$ where (A, a) and (A', a') are both compatible such that for any $T \in \mathcal{T}$:

$$a'_T x = T(x)a_T$$

And again the canonical functor Δ is the same. We get the same propositions as 1 and 2 in generalized form:

Proposition 8. Assume (\mathcal{T}, g) is a commutative set of functors on \mathcal{A} , and \mathcal{J} is an index category with functor $\Gamma : \mathcal{J} \rightarrow (1, (\mathcal{T}, g))$. Then Γ is cocomplete, if $\Delta\Gamma$ is cocomplete. In particular if \mathcal{A} is cocomplete, then also $(1, (\mathcal{T}, g))$ is cocomplete.

Proposition 9. If $(1, (\mathcal{T}, g))$ has a terminal object $f = \{f_T | T \in \mathcal{T}\}$, $f_T : F \rightarrow T(F)$, Then all f_T are isomorphisms and so (F, f) is a fixpoint of (\mathcal{T}, g) .

Proof of both of these propositions is similar to the previous ones, with addition of checking the compatibility.

Proposition 10. Assume \mathcal{A} is a cocomplete and locally small category. Let (\mathcal{T}, g) is a commutative sset of functors on \mathcal{A} , and that $T^0 \in \mathcal{T}$ has a small image. Then (\mathcal{T}, g) has fixpoint (F, f) , the terminal object of $(1, (\mathcal{T}, g))$.

Proof. By Proposition 8 $(1, (\mathcal{T}, g))$ is cocomplete. If a is an object of this category, then by proposition 7, $T^0 a$ is also an object in this category. And it lies in the small sub-category of $(1, (\mathcal{T}, g))$, whose objects are family of maps $s_T : S \rightarrow T(S)$, with $S \in \mathcal{S}$. By compatibility there exists a map from a to $T^0 a$. This gives us that $(1, (\mathcal{T}, g))$ has a terminal object, since a small sub-category, admits, maps from all objects. By proposition 9, this map is an isomorphism and (\mathcal{T}, g) has a fixpoint. \square

Now here we can extend a commutative set of functors, with certain functors, including the constant functor C_F , where (F, f) is terminal object of $(1, (\mathcal{T}, g))$ (or fixpoint of (\mathcal{T}, g)). Constant functor maps every object to a constant object (F) and sends all maps to 1_F . For this we only have to extend g to g' :

$$\begin{aligned} g'(T, T') &= g(T, T'), & g'(C_F, C_F) &= 1, \\ g'(C_F, T) &= f_T, & g'(T, C_F) &= f_T^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Proposition 11. Assume that \mathcal{A} is cocomplete and is locally small, and that (\mathcal{T}, g) is a commutative set of functors on \mathcal{A} . Then the latter has a fixpoint if and only if it can be extended to a commutative set $(\mathcal{T} \cup U, g')$, where U has a small image.

Proof. If (\mathcal{T}, g) has a fixpoint (F, f) , then take $U = C_F$. Conversely If the condition holds, using proposition 10 $(\mathcal{T} \cup U, g')$ has fixpoint, therefore (\mathcal{T}, g) also has the same fixpoint. \square

Corollary 12. Suppose \mathcal{A} is cocomplete and locally small and $T : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$. Then there exists a fixpoint for T if and only if there exists a functor $U : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$, with small image such that $TU \cong UT$.

Completeness of the category of fixpoints

Proposition 13. Let (\mathcal{T}, g) be a commutative set of functors on the locally small and complete category \mathcal{A} , And assume $T^0 \in \mathcal{T}$ has small image. Then the category $(1, (\mathcal{T}, g))$ has a reflective sub-category $Fix(\mathcal{T}, g)$, whose objects are all families of isomorphisms $a_T : A \rightarrow T(A)$ in this category.

We refrain from writing the proof here, though there is a detailed proof in the notes.

Proposition 14. *Let (\mathcal{T}, g) be a commutative set of functors on complete and cocomplete and locally small category \mathcal{A} , and assume that $T^0 \in \mathcal{T}$ has small image. Then the category of fixpoints of (\mathcal{T}, g) is also complete and cocomplete.*

Proof. By proposition 13, $Fix(\mathcal{T}, g)$ is a reflective sub-category of $(1, (\mathcal{T}, g))$. Now the latter is cocomplete, since A is cocomplete, by proposition 8. Also since left adjoint preserves colimit, then this reflective sub-category also is cocomplete. Also it is easy to see that $Fix(\mathcal{T}, g) \cong Fix'(\mathcal{T}, g)$, the sub-category of $((\mathcal{T}, g), 1)$. By duality, the latter is complete, therefore it is both complete and cocomplete. \square

Observations

Some observations are pointed out in the paper itself, though as the writer mentinoed, there was no non-trivial usage of propositions at the time.