

Problem 1.

Let $f(n, m, x) = (x + m)^n$. This function is partial recursive since addition and power are both partial recursive functions. By s - m - n there exists a computable total function s such that $f(n, m, x) \cong \phi_{s(n,m)}(x)$. This proves the problem:

$$(x + m)^n = f(n, m, x) \cong \phi_{s(n,m)}(x)$$

Problem 2.

Since f is a total computable function there exists a standard URM -program F that computes f . Now we will propose an algorithm for a URM program that computes $h(x)$:

Let $r = \rho(F)$.

Start: T(1, r + 1)

Z(1)

Program: F

J(1, r + 1, End)

S(1)

J(1, 1, Program)

End: Z(1)

S(1)

This program runs over all of numbers, starting from 0. Since f is total then for any x , $f(x)$ halts. This program runs F over all numbers and if for some y , $f(y) = x$ then gives 1 as output and halts. If there is no such y such that $f(y) = x$ then the program never halts. Now if h is the function for this program we would have:

$$h(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if there exists } y \text{ such that } f(y) = x \iff x \in \text{Ran}(f) \\ \uparrow & \text{if there is no } y \text{ such that } f(y) = x \iff x \notin \text{Ran}(f) \end{cases}$$

This proves the problem.

Problem 3.

Let $f(u, v, x) = \phi_u(\phi_v(x))$. Since this function is computable (both ϕ_u and ϕ_v are computable), then by s - m - n theorem, there exists a total and computable $g : \mathbb{N}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ such that:

$$\phi_u(\phi_v(x)) = f(u, v, x) \cong \phi_{g(u,v)}(x)$$

Problem 4.

Let $g(x, y) = \phi_x(f(y))$. Since both f and ϕ_x are computable then g is also computable. By s - m - n theorem there exists a total computable k such that:

$$\begin{aligned} f(x, y) &\cong \phi_{k(x)}(y) \\ \implies \phi_{k(x)}(y) &= \phi_x(f(y)) \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have:

$$\begin{aligned} y \in W_{k(x)} &\iff f(y) \in W_x \iff y \in f^{-1}(W_x) \\ \implies W_{k(x)} &= f^{-1}(W_x) \end{aligned}$$

Problem 5.

(i) $\beta(J(m, n, q)) = 4(\pi(\pi(m-1, n-1), q-1)) + 3$:

$$\begin{aligned} \pi(3-1, 4-1) &= 2^2(2 \times 3 + 1) - 1 = 27 \\ \pi(27, 2-1) &= 2^{27}(2 \times 1 + 1) - 1 = 3 \cdot 2^{27} - 1 \\ \implies \beta(J(3, 4, 2)) &= 4(3 \cdot 2^{27} - 1) + 3 = 3 \cdot 2^{29} - 1 \end{aligned}$$

(ii) $503 = 4(125) + 3$. Therefore this code belongs to a jump instruction.

$$\begin{aligned} 125 &= 2^1(2 \times 31 + 1) - 1 \implies 125 = \pi(1, 31) \\ 1 &= 2^1(2 \times 0 + 1) - 1 \implies 1 = \pi(1, 0) \\ \implies 125 &= \zeta(2, 1, 32) \\ \implies \beta^{-1}(503) &= J(2, 1, 32) \end{aligned}$$

(iii) First we compute the code for each instruction, then we use them to compute the program code:

$$\begin{aligned} \beta(T(3, 4)) &= 4(\pi(3-1, 4-1)) + 2 = 4(\pi(2, 3)) + 2 = 4 \cdot 27 + 2 = 110 \\ \beta(S(3)) &= 4(3-1) + 1 = 9 \\ \beta(Z(1)) &= 4(1-1) = 0 \\ \tau(111, 9, 0) &= 2^{110} + 2^{120} + 2^{121} - 1 \end{aligned}$$

(iv) $100 = 2^0 + 2^2 + 2^5 + 2^6 - 1$. Thus $\tau^{-1}(100) = (0, 1, 2, 0)$

$$\begin{aligned} \beta^{-1}(0) &= Z(1) \\ \beta^{-1}(1) &= S(1) \\ \beta^{-1}(2) &= T(1, 1) \\ \beta^{-1}(0) &= Z(1) \end{aligned}$$

Thus the program is: $Z(1), S(1), T(1, 1), Z(1)$.

Problem 6.

Kleene's Normal Form: There exists a total computable function $U : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ such that for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ There exists a decidable predicate like $T_n(e, \vec{x}, z)$ such that for any computable function $f : \mathbb{N}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ we have:

$$f(\vec{x}) = U(\mu_z(T_n(e, \vec{x}, z)))$$

Proof: Let $T_n(e, \vec{x}, z) = S_n(e, \vec{x}, l(z), r(z))$ where e is the code of f and $U(x) = l(x)$, where l and r are inverse of π such that $x = \pi(l(x), r(x))$. Now if $f(\vec{x}) \downarrow$, then after t steps the program for f halts for some t and has an output k . then for $z = \pi(k, t)$ we have $T_n(e, \vec{x}, z) = 1$. Also for any z that $T_n(e, \vec{x}, z) = 1$, value of $l(z)$ is the same. Since it is the output of f over \vec{x} after t steps such that the computation is over. Thus in this case $f(\vec{x}) = U(\mu_z(T_n(e, \vec{x}, z)))$. Now if $f(\vec{x}) \uparrow$ then $T_n(e, \vec{x}, z) = 0$ for any z . Thus $U(\mu_z(T_n(e, \vec{x}, z))) \uparrow$. This proves the problem.

The importance of this theorem is that since the characteristic function for S_n is partial recursive then the characteristic function for T_n is also partial recursive, and U is also partial recursive, Therefore $f(\vec{x})$ can be written with only one usage of μ .